Mississippi State University Scholars Junction

Theses and Dissertations

Theses and Dissertations

12-9-2016

Antigenic and Genetic Evolution of Emerging Avian Origin Influenza A Viruses

Yifei Xu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/td

Recommended Citation

Xu, Yifei, "Antigenic and Genetic Evolution of Emerging Avian Origin Influenza A Viruses" (2016). *Theses and Dissertations*. 690. https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/td/690

This Dissertation - Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses and Dissertations at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.



Antigenic and genetic evolution of emerging avian origin influenza A viruses

By

Yifei Xu

A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of Mississippi State University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Veterinary Medical Sciences in the College of Veterinary Medicine

Mississippi State, Mississippi

December 2016



Copyright by

Yifei Xu



Antigenic and genetic evolution of emerging avian origin influenza A viruses

By

Yifei Xu

Approved:

Xiu-Feng (Henry) Wan (Major Professor)

Larry Hanson (Committee Member/Graduate Coordinator)

> Andy D. Perkins (Committee Member)

Federico G. Hoffmann (Committee Member)

Nan (Alan) Zhao (Committee Member)

Kent H. Hoblet Dean College of Veterinary Medicine



Name: Yifei Xu

Date of Degree: December 9, 2016

Institution: Mississippi State University

Major Field: Veterinary Medical Sciences

Major Professor: Dr. Xiu-Feng (Henry) Wan

Title of Study: Antigenic and genetic evolution of emerging avian origin influenza A viruses

Pages in Study 174

Candidate for Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Periodic introductions of influenza A viruses (IAVs) from wild birds contribute to emergence of novel strains that infect domestic poultry, lower mammals, and humans, but the mechanisms of emergence are unclear. The objectives of this dissertation research are to infer the genesis of two emerging IAVs, low pathogenic avian influenza (LPAI) H10N8 and highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H7N8 viruses, and to characterize the antigenic diversity and genetic evolution of contemporary H7 avian influenza viruses (AIVs) from North America.

First, AIVs that are genetically close to the human H10N8 isolate were recovered at the live poultry market (LPM) visited by the first H10N8 patient. High seroprevalence of H10 virus was observed in ducks and chickens from five LPMs in the region. These findings suggested that LPM was the most probable source of human infection with the H10N8 virus, and this virus appeared to be present throughout the LPM system in the city.

Second, the novel H7N8 virus most likely circulated among diving ducks in the Mississippi flyway during autumn 2015 and was subsequently introduced to Indiana



turkey, in which it evolved from LPAI into HPAI. H4N8 IAVs from diving ducks possess a gene constellation comprising five H7N8–like gene segments. These findings suggest that viral gene constellations circulating among diving ducks could contribute towards the emergence of IAVs that can affect poultry. Diving ducks may serve as a unique reservoir, contributing to the maintenance, diversification, and transmission of IAVs in wild birds.

Third, antigenic and genetic characterization of 93 H7 AIVs from North America showed limited antigenic diversity. Gradual accumulation of nucleotide and amino acid substitutions in the H7 gene of AIVs from wild and domestic birds caused a wide genetic diversity. These findings suggested that continuous genetic evolution has not led to significant antigenic diversity for contemporary H7 AIVs isolated from wild and domestic birds in North America.

In summary, these findings not only improve our understanding of the ecology and evolution of IAVs but also provide information for formulation of effective disease prevention and control strategies.



DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my always encouraging and ever faithful father and mother.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to my academic advisor, Dr. Xiu-Feng (Henry) Wan. During the past over four years, Dr. Wan had put enormous effort in guiding me through my Ph.D. study and training me to become an independent scientist. Dr. Wan has established himself as an example of a successful scientist and also showed me what it takes to achieve that.

I also would love to thank four dissertation committee members, Dr. Larry Hanson, Dr. Andy Perkins, Dr. Federico Hoffmann, and Dr. Nan Zhao. They have generously given their time and expertise to help me with my research. I thank them for their critical comments and suggestions to this dissertation.

My thanks go to everyone I know in SystemsBio Lab: Bianca Quade, Brigitte Martin, Feng Wen, Hao Chen, Hui Wang, Kaitlyn Walters, Karen Nguyen, Liping Long, Lucas Ferguson, Mandy Guan, R. Tangwangvivat, Shelby Harris, Sherry Blackmon, Alison Stokley, Elizabeth Bailey, Jillian Harris, Drs. Chun-Kai Yang, Hailiang Sun, Jing Han, Junrong Luo, Kaijian Luo, Lei Li, Lei Zhong, Lei Han, Wenjie You, Yong Li, Guohua Yang, Huabin Cao, Jialiang Yang, Jianli Xue, Jianqiang Ye, Yulong Zhao, Zhixia Yang, and Zhixin Feng.

Finally, and by no means least, I would especially like to acknowledge my collaborators. In chapter II, Jing Han from College of Veterinary Medicine, Mississippi State University built the web-based application of the influenza genomic sequence



iii

assembly pipeline. In chapter III, Huabin Cao, Hongyan Liu, Qi Wang, Guangfu Deng, Yibo Zong, Jing Zhu, and Guoliang Hu from College of Animal Science and Technology, Jiangxi Agricultural University collected the samples. Hongyan Liu from College of Animal Science and Technology, Jiangxi Agricultural University performed viral isolation and hemagglutination inhibition assays. Hailiang Sun, Brigitte Martin, Jianli Xue, and Feng Wen from Department of Basic Sciences, Mississippi State University and Sook-San Wong from Department of Infectious Diseases, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital performed genomic sequencing. In chapter IV, Andrew M. Ramey and Andrew B. Reeves from U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center, Andrew S. Bowman and Jacqueline M. Nolting from Department of Veterinary Preventive Medicine, The Ohio State University, Mary L. Killian and Mia Kim Torchetti from National Veterinary Services Laboratories, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Scott Krauss and Richard J. Webby from Department of Infectious Diseases, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Thomas J. DeLiberto from National Wildlife Disease Program, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and David E. Stallknecht from Southeastern Cooperative Wildlife Disease Study, Department of Population Health, University of Georgia performed surveillance and generated the genomic sequences. In chapter VI, John A. Baroch and Thomas J. DeLiberto from National Wildlife Disease Program, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture provided the isolates. Tao Li and Xiaoxu Lin from Viral Diseases Branch, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research conducted genomic sequencing. Elizabeth Bailey from Department of Basic Sciences, Mississippi State University, and Erica Spackman from Exotic and Emerging Avian Viral Diseases Unit, Southeast Poultry Research Laboratory,



iv

U.S. Department of Agriculture performed hemagglutination inhibition assays. It was great for me to have the opportunity to work with these scientists from institutions and universities in the United States and China. My Ph.D. study and this dissertation would not have been possible without their input and contribution.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	ii	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiii		
LIST OF TABLES	ix	
LIST OF FIGURES		
ABBREVIATIONS	xiii	
CHAPTER		
I. INTRODUCTION	1	
The Biology of Influenza A Virus	1	
Impacts of Influenza Disease Caused by IAVs	2	
Ecology of IAVs	4	
Wild Birds	4	
Domestic Poultry	7	
Swine	9	
Humans	10	
Evolution of IAVs	12	
Population and Quasispecies	12	
Evolutionary Mechanisms		
Selection Pressure	14	
Immune Pressure	14	
Host Switch	16	
Vaccination	17	
Knowledge Gaps and Objectives of this Dissertation	20	
Dissertation Organization	25	
II. A WEB-BASED PIPELINE FOR ANALYZING AND ASSEMBLING	•	
NGS DATA OF IAVS		
Introduction	27	
Materials and Methods	28	
Data Preprocessing	28	
Genome Assembly	28	
Web-based Application	29	



vi

	Testing Datasets and Performance Evaluation	32
	Results	33
	Discussion	37
Ш	THE GENESIS OF A NOVEL LPATH10N8 VIRUS AND THE	
	SOURCE OF H10N8 HUMAN INFECTIONS IN CHINA	38
	Introduction	
	Materials and Methods	41
	Sample Collection	41
	RNA Extraction and IAV Screening	42
	Viral Isolation	43
	Hemagglutination and Hemagglutination Inhibition Assays	43
	Reverse Transcription, PCR, and Genomic Sequencing	43
	Genomic Assembly	44
	Phylogenetic Analysis and Molecular Characterization	45
	Serological Surveillance	45
	Results	45
	Discussion	61
IV.	EVOLUTIONARY PATHWAY LEADING TO OUTBREAK AMONG DOMESTIC POULTRY IN INDIANA, THE UNITED STATES	64
	Introduction	65
	Materials and Methods	67
	Viruses	67
	Phylogenetic Analyses	69
	Molecular Clock	70
	Discrete Phylogeographic Analyses	70
	Phylogenetic Network	71
	Genotyping	
	Results	72
	H7N8 Virus Originated From IAVs in North American Wild Birds	72
	Gene Constellation of H4N8 Virus in Diving Ducks Contributed to	
	Emergence of The Novel H7N8 Virus	80
	IAVs From Diving Ducks Are The Probable Genetic Source of The	
	H7N8 Virus in Indiana Turkeys.	85
	H7N8 LPAI Virus Evolved Into An HPAI Strain In Turkeys	91
	Discussion	93
V.	THE ANTIGENIC DIVERSITY AND GENETIC EVOLUTION OF	
	DURING 1971 to 2012	102
	$DUNINU 17/1 W 2012 \dots$	102



	Introduction	103
	Materials and Methods	105
	Ethics Statement	105
	Viruses	105
	Generation of Reference Antisera in Chicken	106
	HA and HI Assays	106
	Full Genome Sequencing	113
	Genomic Assembly	113
	Evolutionary Analysis	114
	Inference of Amino Acid Sites under Positive Selection	116
	Molecular Dating	117
	Construction of the Antigenic Cartography and Molecular	
	Characterization	117
Results		118
	H7 HA Genes are Genetically Diverse	118
	Limited Antigenic Diversity among Tested H7 AIVs	120
	Genetic Evolution Dynamics of the HA Gene	126
	Natural Selection for HA Gene of H7 AIVs in North America	131
	Frequent Reassortment of Internal Genes of H7 AIVs in NA-WB	
	Lineage	133
	Discussion	139
VI.	CONCLUSIONS	147
REFER	ENCES	149
APPEN	IDIX	
A.	SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS	173



viii

LIST OF TABLES

1	Statistics of influenza surveillance conducted in the LPM visited by the first H10N8 patient.	48
2	Summary of genomic sequences recovered from the LPM visited by the first H10N8 patient	49
3	The amino acid diversity in the influenza biomarkers of viruses recovered from the LPM visited by the first H10N8 patient	57
4	Most closely related genes to eight gene segments of the novel highly pathogenic avian influenza strain A/turkey/Indiana/16-001403-1/2016(H7N8).	78
5	Estimated time to most recent common ancestor and nucleotide substitution rates for eight gene segments of H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys.	79
6	Sequence identity between A/turkey/Indiana/16-001403-1/2016 and influenza A virus strains isolated from diving ducks	84
7	Diffusion pathway of influenza A virus between wild birds and Indian turkeys.	89
8	Diffusion pathway of influenza A virus among different host groups in wild birds	90
9	List of H7 AIVs isolated in North America that were subjected to antigenic characterization.	108
10	Sequence identity of HA1 protein of H7 AIVs from distinct genetic clusters from North America.	119
11	Cross-HI data obtained for testing H7 avian influenza viruses against representative chicken sera. The homologous titers were underlined.	121
12	Estimated rates of nucleotide substitution and time to most recent common ancestor for H7 AIVs from distinct genetic clusters from North America	129



13	Cross HI data obtained for representative H7 AIVs against chicken serum.	.130
14	Selection pressure in HA1 protein of H7 AIVs from North America	.132
15	Amino acid polymorphism at position 189 (H3 numbering) in HA1 protein for H7 AIVs from North America and Eurasia.	.132



LIST OF FIGURES

1	Pipeline for analyzing and assembling NGS data of influenza virus	29
2	Reference-based assembly mode submission interface.	31
3	Job status page (job complete)	32
4	Reference-based assembly mode result page	35
5	Coverage of resulting sequences of <i>de novo</i> assembly mode	36
6	Avian origin influenza A viruses that caused zoonotic infections in Southern China during the past two decades	41
7	Isolation of H10 influenza A virus from the LPM visited by the first H10N8 patient.	46
8	Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees of H10 genes recovered from the samples collected at the LPM.	54
9	Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees of N8 genes recovered from the samples collected at the LPM.	55
10	Distribution of H10 seropositive samples collected from February 25 to March 27, 2014 and across five LPMs in Nanchang city	61
11	Geographic, temporal, and taxa distribution of 441 influenza A virus strains subjected to genomic sequencing in this study	68
12	Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for eight gene segments of H7N8 turkey strains and influenza A viruses representing North American and Eurasian genetic lineages	73
13	Maximum clade credibility phylogenetic trees for HA (a) and NA (b) gene segments.	77
14	Estimated time to most recent common ancestor and nucleotide substitution rates for eight gene segments of H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys.	79



15	Phylogenetic network of H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys in red font.	81
16	Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree for N8 gene segment of the H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys and H4N8 influenza A viruses isolated from wild birds.	83
17	Diffusion of influenza A virus between different host groups of wild birds and Indiana turkey	86
18	Insertion patterns in the HA cleavage region of the H7 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys and those from four previous outbreaks in the Americas.	92
19	Amino acid substitutions among eight gene segments of the H7N8 strains detected in Indiana turkey.	93
20	Proposed evolutionary pathway leading to the generation of highly pathogenic avian influenza(H7N8) virus that caused outbreak in Indiana turkeys	96
21	Statistics of influenza A viruses isolated from wild and domestic birds in the Americas.	97
22	Geographic distribution of H7 AIVs selected for antigenic characterization.	112
23	Comparison of genetic and antigenic evolution of H7 AIVs from North America.	125
24	Comparison of temporal genetic and antigenic evolution dynamics for H7 AIVs from North America	127
25	Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for internal gene segments of H7 AIVs from Eurasian and North American lineage	135
26	Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for internal gene segments of H7 AIVs derived from wild birds in North America	136
27	Congruence in topology among six internal gene segment phylogenetic trees for H7 AIVs derived from wild birds in North America	137
28	Year-by-year analysis of the evolution dynamics of internal gene constellations for H7 AIVs derived from wild birds in North America during 2001–2013.	138



ABBREVIATIONS

AIV	Avian influenza virus
APHIS	The United States Department of Agriculture's Animal
	and Plant Health Inspection Service
BF	Bayes Factor
Gal	Galactose
HA	Hemagglutinin
HI	Hemagglutination inhibition
HPAI	Highly pathogenic avian influenza
HPD	Highest posterior density
IAV	Influenza A virus
LPAI	Low pathogenic avian influenza
LPM	Live poultry market
М	Matrix
NA	Neuraminidase
NGS	Next generation sequencing
NP	Nucleoprotein
NS	Nonstructural
PA	Polymerase acidic
PB1	Polymerase basic 1
PB2	Polymerase basic 2
SA	Sialic acid
SIV	Swine influenza virus
TMRC	Time to most recent common ancestor
WHO	World Health Organization





xiii

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The Biology of Influenza A Virus

Emerging infectious diseases can be defined as "infections that have newly appeared in a population or have existed previously but are rapidly increasing in incidence or geographic range" (1). Among many infectious agents, few have as profound effect on humans as influenza viruses (2).

Influenza viruses belong to the *Orthomyxoviridae* family and are enveloped, single-stranded, negative sense RNA viruses containing seven to eight gene segments (3, 4). Influenza viruses are categorized into four distinct serotypes, A, B, C, and D on the basis of antigenic difference in the nucleoprotein (NP) and matrix (M1) protein (5). Among them, serotype A is the most important one due to its wide host range (4). Serotype B, C, and D are reported to infect only mammals (6-8).

The genome of influenza A virus (IAV) comprises eight RNA gene segments (segment one to eight) with varying lengths of 890–2,341 nucleotides. These gene segments encode at least 11 proteins: polymerase basic 2 (PB2), polymerase basic 1 (PB1) and polymerase basic 1 - Frame 2 (PB1-F2), polymerase acidic (PA), hemagglutinin (HA), NP, neuraminidase (NA), matrix (M) 1 and 2, and nonstructural (NS) 1 and 2 proteins are encoded by gene segments one to eight, respectively. IAV contains a host cell-derived lipid membrane, which is embedded with three virus proteins,



HA, NA, and M2. An inner coat comprised of the M1 protein is found underlying the membrane. Nucleocapsid of the viral genome lies at the center of the virus. Each of eight gene segments is encapsidated by multiple NP proteins to form a nucleocapsid, while three polymerase proteins (PB2, PB1, and PA) sit at the end of the nucleocapsid (4, 9, 10). IAVs could be further divided into distinct subtypes on the basis of the antigenicity of two surface glycoproteins, HA and NA. To date, 18 HA and 11 NA subtypes have been reported (11).

Impacts of Influenza Disease Caused by IAVs

IAVs present a typical one-health challenge, where human health and animal health meet and influence each other (4). IAVs infecting humans could cause both global pandemic and seasonal epidemic. The occasional influenza pandemic could infect 20% to 40% of the world population and cause a significant number of deaths (12). For example, the 1918 influenza pandemic was considered one of the most devastating infectious diseases in human history and it was estimated to have claimed at least 50 million human lives around the world (13, 14). In addition to sporadic pandemic outbreaks, the seasonal epidemic causes a number of morbidity and mortality in humans worldwide annually, including an average of more than 200,000 hospitalizations and 23,000 deaths in the United States alone (15, 16). The direct medical cost associated with seasonal influenza in the United States averages over ten billion US dollars annually (17).

The diseases caused by IAV infection among domestic poultry include asymptomatic infections, mild to severe respiratory disease, and severe disease with high morbidity and mortality. On the basis of virulence in chicken, avian influenza viruses (AIVs) could be divided into two groups: highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) and



low pathogenic avian influenza (LPAI). HPAI could cause devastating disease among domestic poultry and the mortality may be as high as 100%. HPAI viruses are associated with direct and indirect losses that may be incurred by the poultry industry through mortality of affected birds, culling of flocks to prevent spread, trade restrictions imposed of detection, and increased costs associated with outbreak response. For example, 17 HPAI outbreaks were reported among domestic poultry worldwide during 1955-2000, including six outbreaks among domestic turkey and 11 outbreaks among domestic chicken (18). Among them, seven outbreaks caused more than 100,000 birds affection or depopulation. In 2003, 255 poultry flocks in the Netherlands was affected by HPAI H7N7 virus and it has led to the death or culling of more than 30 million birds (19). The HPAI outbreak among domestic poultry caused by H5 AIVs in the northwestern and mid-western United States in 2015 led to depopulation of more than 48 million birds (https://www.aphis.usda.gov/publications/animal_health/2015/fs-hpai-vaccine-use.pdf).

AIV, such as the HPAI H5N1 virus, could also transmit from birds to humans and cause fatal disease. In spring of 1996, the precursor of currently circulating HPAI H5N1 viruses was identified in farmed geese in Guangdong, China; the mortality caused by the virus among geese was about 40%. Outbreaks among chicken were detected in Hong Kong one year later and led to depopulation of about 1.5 million birds. The first case of human infection with the H5N1 virus was reported in May 1997; H5N1 virus strain was isolated from a boy died of respiratory illness in Hong Kong (20). 17 additional cases of human infection with H5N1 viruses were reported in Hong Kong in November and December of the same year and five cases were fatal. In March 1999, H5N1 viruses were isolated from environmental samples collected from cages that housed geese in Hong



www.manaraa.com

Kong (21). In 2003, fatal human infections with H5N1 virus were reported for the first time after the outbreak in Hong Kong in 1997 (22). Since December 2003, HPAI H5N1 virus has caused outbreaks among domestic poultry and repeated zoonotic transmissions in Asia (23-26). In May 2005, HPAI H5N1 virus were detected among migratory birds at Qinghai Lake, China (27) and were subsequently found to spread to Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (28, 29). To date, the HPAI H5N1 virus has cause more than 800 laboratory-confirmed human cases worldwide, including over 400 deaths (http://www.who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/H5N1_cumulative_table_archive s/en/).

Ecology of IAVs

IAVs exist in a complex ecosystem that involves various hosts, including humans, swine, equine, canine, sea mammals, and numerous wild and domestic birds (4, 9, 30).

Wild Birds

The first isolation of IAV from wild bird was reported in 1961 (31). A HPAI strain, A/tern/South Africa/61(H5N3), was isolated from a common tern in South Africa; and this virus caused infection among 1,300 common terns (18, 31). LPAI strains have been isolated from wild waterfowl since the mid-1970s (30-32). World-wide, LPAI viruses have been recovered from at least 105 wild bird species representing 26 different taxonomic families (33). Most of the 26 families are in the Order *Anseriformes*, followed by the Order *Charadriiformes*; birds in these two orders include ducks, geese, and swans, and shorebirds, gulls, and terns, respectively, which are considered major natural



reservoirs for IAVs (4, 33, 34). IAVs representing 16 HA and 9 NA subtypes have been identified in wild birds throughout the world (33).

In North America, the southern migration of birds begins as early as August for some species, and in later September, October, and early November for most species. The highest prevalence of IAVs is found in dabbling ducks, particular mallards, which have been the most extensively studied bird species in the ecosystem of IAVs (33). The prevalence of IAVs in mallards fluctuates during the bird migration cycle. Influenza prevalence could reach as high as 60% among the mallards sampled in the breeding areas in Canada prior to the migration in early fall (35). Influenza prevalence falls to less than 2% by the time when mallards reach the wintering ground in lower Mississippi and Louisiana usually around December and January of the following year. Influenza virus were brought back by mallards to the breeding areas in Canada in spring, although the prevalence may fall to as low as 0.25% (4).

The infectious pattern of IAVs in shorebirds and gulls is different from that in waterfowl in the following two major aspects. First, the highest prevalence of IAVs in shorebirds and gulls is usually found in the later spring and early summer (4, 36). Shorebirds and gulls are proposed to bring the virus back to the northern breeding area (36). Thus, shorebirds and gulls may contribute to the overwinter maintenance and long-term persistence of IAVs in wild birds. Second, in addition to H1 to H12, IAVs of the subtype H13 and H16 were primarily isolated from shorebirds and gulls (33, 37, 38). Substantial difference was observed between the genomic sequences of the H13 and H16 gene segments and those of other subtypes, suggest the long time isolation of IAVs of these two HA subtypes in shorebirds and gulls (37).



The infection caused by most IAV strains in wild birds is asymptomatic, which may due to the long-term adaptation of IAVs in these birds (4). In wild birds, IAVs replicate mainly in the intestinal tract and are excreted in high level in the feces (39, 40). For example, a study in 1978 showed that the load of influenza virus in the feces of mallards could be up to 10^{8.7} 50% egg infectious doses per gram (40). In addition, influenza virus could remain infectious for over 30 days in water at 0 degree Celsius (°C) and four days at 22 °C (40, 41). Wild waterfowl shed virus into the water through feces and fecal-oral route is considered the major way for the transmission of IAVs between waterfowls (4, 9).

The genetic pool of IAVs is divided into two major independently evolving lineages, Eurasian and American, probably due to geographical separation of host species (42-44). The migration of birds between different continents allows the occasional gene flow between these two genetic pools (45). For example, Beringian Crucible is recognized as a common breeding grounds shared by migratory birds from Eurasia and America. Early research showed that around 1.5 to 2.9 million birds migrate from Asia to Alaska annually (46). A 2008 study characterized 38 IAVs isolated from Alaska and found that nearly half (44.7%) possess at least one gene segment more closely related to those recovered from Eurasia instead of those from North America (47). Evidence for intercontinental gene flow was also observed in another study of 25 IAVs isolated from North America, among them, five isolates have two Eurasian origin gene segments and 20 isolates have one Eurasian origin gene segment (48). In addition, there were evidences showing that Eurasian origin H6 IAVs invaded the North American wild bird population and caused outbreaks among domestic poultry in California during 2000-2002 (49, 50).



www.manaraa.com

Domestic Poultry

Through migration, wild birds can carry IAVs from one area to another and present risks to the host species along the migratory flyway, including domestic poultry, lower mammals, and humans. Frequent introductions of wild bird-origin IAVs to domestic poultry have been well-documented. In Minnesota alone during 1978-2000, there were at least 108 laboratory-confirmed introductions of influenza viruses from wild birds to domestic poultry (51). The direct transmission of IAVs from migratory birds to range-reared turkey was recognized as a major source of IAV outbreaks among domestic turkey in the United States; and this dynamic was also suggested to contribute to the IAV outbreaks among chickens (52). Alternatively, range-reared domestic ducks and geese could serve as intermediate hosts that bridge the ecological gap between wild birds and domestic chickens and turkeys.

Following introduction to domestic poultry, IAVs could cause disease and circulate in domestic poultry for a long time (30, 53); and AIVs of two HA subtypes, H5 and H7, are known to could give rise to HPAI virus. HPAI virus was historically known as fowl plague, which was reported for the first time among chickens in Italy in 1878 (18, 54). The virus was later isolated in 1902, A/Chicken/Brescia/1902(H7N7). Fowl plague was classified as IAV in 1955 and referred to HPAI ever since (18). The major difference in the pathogenicity of HPAI and LPAI viruses is their ability to cause systemic replication in the host. The cleavability of the HA protein is one of the major determinants of the tissue tropism of AIVs (55). The HA protein of HPAI virus can be cleaved by a broad range of enzymes that present in most cells of the body and caused systemic infection. In contrast, the HA protein of LPAI virus can only be cleaved by



trypsin-like proteases and infection is restricted in the respiratory or intestinal tract (56). The presence of multiple basic amino acids in the cleavage region of the HA protein is the major molecular feature for HPAI strains.

Seventeen HPAI outbreaks were reported among domestic poultry worldwide during 1955-2000 (18). HPAI outbreaks among domestic poultry were also reported in multiple countries after the year 2000. For example, during 2003-2008, HPAI H5N1 virus was reported in at least ten countries in East and Southeast Asia, including China, Japan, South Korea, Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Thailand, and Vietnam (57). Outside of the region, HPAI H5N1 virus were reported in multiple countries in Middle East, Europe, and Africa, including Israel, Iraq, Russia, United Kingdom, Germany, France, Egypt, and Nigeria (58, 59). Detection of HPAI H7 viruses were reported in Chile (H7N3, 2002) (60), the Netherlands (H7N7, 2003) (19), Canada (H7N3, 2004 and 2007) (61, 62), and Mexico (H7N3, 2012) (63, 64).

In January 2014, a novel Eurasian lineage clade 2.3.4.4 HPAI H5N8 virus was detected in wild bird and domestic poultry in South Korea (65), and was subsequently detected in China and Japan (66). Since November 2014, the novel HPAI H5N8 virus has been detected in wild bird and domestic poultry in multiple countries in Europe, including Germany, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and Italy, (http://www.oie.int/en/animal-health-in-the-world/update-on-avian-influenza/2014/). In December 2014, HPAI H5N8 virus was first detected in wild bird in the United States (67). Two novel reassortant viruses of the subtype H5N1 and H5N2 have been isolated from wild bird and domestic poultry in the United States and Canada since December 2014 (68-71). The HPAI H5 outbreaks caused depopulation of approximately 7.5 million



turkeys and 42.1 million chickens in the United States

(https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/emergency_management/downloads/hpai/lan dfillsandhpairesponse.pdf).

Swine

The infection of influenza virus in swine was first clinically recognized in 1918 in the United States, which overlaps the time period of the 1918 influenza pandemic (72). The first swine influenza virus (SIV) was isolated in 1930 (73, 74); this virus, refers to the classical swine H1N1 virus, has continued to circulate and cause diseases in swine population worldwide. The classical swine H1N1 virus was found to be predominant in the North American swine population until the mid-1990s (75-77); however, this trend changed dramatically with the emergence and establishment of the triple reassortant SIVs of the subtypes H1N2 and H3N2 since 1998 (78-80). The eight gene segments of the triple reassortant SIVs were derived from multiple sources, including the classical swine H1N1, human H3N2, and avian origin IAVs (78, 79). In addition, introduction of AIVs to swine population has been frequently reported. For example, an avian like H1N1 IAVs emerged and led to enzootic disease in the European swine population since 1979 (81-83). In China, serological surveillance results suggested the prevalence of avian origin H3, H4 and H6 IAVs in the swine population (84). Introduction of avian origin H1N1 IAV to swine was reported in Hong Kong in 1993 (85). There were also reported sporadic cases of avian origin IAVs infection in swine, including H4N1 (86), H4N8 (87), H5N1 (88), H6N6 (89, 90), H9N2 (91), and H10N5 viruses (92).

Swine are suggested to play an important role in the ecology of IAVs. The cell of swine respiratory tract possesses receptors recognized by both avian (sialic acid $\alpha 2,3$



galactose, SA2,3Gal) and human (SA2,6Gal) IAVs (93), and this provides the biological basis for the susceptibility of swine to both avian and human IAVs. Thus, swine is proposed to function as the intermediate hosts, or mixing vessels, for the generation of pandemic strains, including both the 1957 and 1968 pandemic virus (94). The emergence of the 2009 pandemic virus provided further evidence supporting this hypothesis (95); and this virus will be described in the next section.

Humans

The introduction of avian origin influenza gene(s) to influenza viruses that circulating in humans has been associated with the emergence of four documented pandemic strains, including 1918 H1N1, 1957 H2N2, 1968 H3N2, and 2009 H1N1 viruses (95-98).

The 1918 influenza pandemic emerged and swept globally since September, 1918 (13, 99). The origin of the 1918 H1N1 virus remained controversial and probably could never be resolved (12, 100). Although no virus was isolated prior or during the 1918 influenza pandemic, genetic analysis of the reconstructed genome of 1918 H1N1 virus in the 1990s showed that the virus was probably an avian like influenza virus (101). There are still a few key questions not answered, including the origin host of the virus and the potential existence of an intermediate host (12, 13). In contrast to the HPAI viruses, multiple basic amino acids were not observed at the cleavage site of the HA protein of the 1918 H1N1 virus (102, 103).

The 1957 H2N2 and 1968 H3N2 pandemic strains were both originated from southeastern Asia. These two pandemic strains were reassortants generated through reassortment between pre-existing human IAVs and AIVs (96-98). The PB1, HA, and



NA gene segments of the 1957 H2N2 virus were derived from an AIV, and the remaining five gene segments were the descendant of the 1918 H1N1 strain (97). The 1968 H3N2 virus possess two gene segments (PB1 and HA) originated from an AIV, and the remaining six gene segments were derived from the circulating human H2N2 virus (96).

The first influenza pandemic in the 21th century occurred in 2009. A novel human H1N1 virus was first detected in Mexico in March 2009 (104, 105) and this virus quickly spread to the rest of the world. By July 2009, 162,300 laboratory-confirmed cases and over 1,100 human deaths caused by the 2009 H1N1 pandemic virus were reported in 168 countries (106). The pandemic was estimated to have caused more than 123,000 deaths around the world by the end of 2009 (107). Subsequently, the 2009 H1N1 virus started to co-circulate with seasonal H3N2 and influenza B virus around the world (108-110). Phylogenetic analysis results showed that the 2009 H1N1 virus was a reassortant with the eight gene segments originated from multiple sources (95). The NA and MP gene segments were derived from the European avian like H1N1 SIV, and the remaining six gene segments were originated from the North American triple reassortant SIV; specifically, the HA, NP, and NS gene segments were derived from the classical swine H1N1 virus, PB1 gene segment was originated from seasonal H3N2 virus, and PB2 and PA gene segments were derived from AIVs (111, 112). Each precursor gene segment of the 2009 H1N1 virus had been circulated in the swine population for more than a decade and the direct precursor of the virus may had emerged a few months prior to the detection of the outbreak in humans (112, 113).



Evolution of IAVs

IAVs are notorious for their capability of rapid evolution in response to the adapting hosts. The evolutionary dynamics of IAVs is largely determined by rapid mutation, frequent reassortment, and multiple selection pressures, including host immune response and host switch (4).

Population and Quasispecies

Infection of IAV could start with a small number of virions and then generated a large number of progeny viruses. An individually-infected cell could release more than 10⁴ influenza viruses, although this number is subjected to variation due to difference in host cells (114). This large number of influenza viruses exists as a quasispecies, similar to other RNA viruses (115). Quasispecies is defined as "a cloud of diverse variants that are genetically linked through mutation, interact cooperatively on a functional level, and collectively contribute to the characteristics of the population" (116). Selection pressure acts upon this whole unit rather than individual virus. In addition, the survival of a population is not only determined by its own fitness but also its flexibility to mutate and give rise to progenies that are more fit on average.

Evolutionary Mechanisms

There are three mechanisms that contribute to the evolution and genetic diversity of IAVs: mutation, reassortment, and recombination.

Mutation refers to substitution, insertion, and deletion due to the intrinsic error of the replication. Viral RNA polymerase lacks the proofreading ability and leads to the error-prone replication of RNA viruses. The mutation rate of RNA viruses is



approximately 10⁻⁴ mutations per nucleotide copied (117), which is significantly higher than that of DNA viruses (10⁻⁹ mutations per nucleotide copied). Based on this mutation rate, approximately one mutation is made across the IAV genome during one replication cycle. Given the large population size, mutation contributes to the great genetic diversity of IAVs.

The segmented nature of IAV genome allows exchange of individual gene segment or combination of gene segments between IAVs during mixed infection in the same host cell. **Reassortment** occurs frequently between IAVs and permits rapid generation of progeny viruses with gene segment combination significantly different from that of the parental viruses (48, 118, 119). Reassortment enhances the genetic diversity of IAV, in turn, this increased diversity may facilitate the generation of novel pandemic and epidemic strains. Reassortment has been associated with the emergence of at least three out of four documented pandemic strains as described earlier, including 1957 H2N2, 1968 H3N2, and 2009 H1N1 viruses (95, 96). Moreover, genome-scale evolutionary study showed high degree of reassortment and periodic genome-wide sweeps for the H3N2 seasonal influenza virus (120, 121).

Recombination refers to the exchange of pieces of genetic information between RNA molecules during replication and leads to the production of a RNA molecule with mixed ancestry. Recombination that occurs between regions with high sequence identity is referred as homologous, while recombination between two genetically different regions or non-related RNA molecules is referred as non-homologous. Early study with genomic dataset of human IAV showed that homologous recombination, if occurring at all, is very rare (122). On the other hand, non-homologous recombination has been reported and



associated with increased pathogenicity of a few AIV strains. The HA gene segment of the HPAI H7N3 viruses isolated during the outbreak in Chile (2002) and Canada (2004) obtained multi-basic amino acids at the cleavage region through non-homologous recombination with NP (60) and MP (123) gene segment, respectively. In addition, HPAI H7N3 virus isolated during the outbreak in Mexico (2012) obtained insert at the HA cleavage region through non-homologous recombination with host genomes (63).

Selection Pressure

Mutation, reassortment, and recombination lead to great genetic diversity of IAVs. This increased genetic diversity provides a platform for selection pressure to select for or against.

Immune Pressure

Although multiple selection pressures were suggested to determine the long-term success of any lineage of IAV, host immune pressure is considered to play a critical role. IAVs could escape the host immune response through its antigenic evolution known as antigenic drift and antigenic shift. Two surface glycoproteins, HA and NA, are the primary targets of the humoral immune response. Accumulation of point mutations within antibody-binding sites in HA and/or NA proteins can lead to a small antigenic change, so called antigenic drift. The switch of HA and/or NA gene segment by reassortment can cause a large antigenic change, so called antigenic shift.

In wild birds, IAVs are proposed to have reached evolutionary stasis and maintained a stable antigenic status (4). For example, a study in 1987 showed that H3 AIVs isolated from mallards within the Pacific flyway during 1977-1985 are highly



conserved in antigenic properties (124). Similar to that, a limited antigenic diversity was found among 37 H3 AIV strains isolated from migratory birds from Atlantic, Central, Mississippi, and Pacific flyways during 2007-2011 (125). Another study found that antigenic difference among 26 H7 AIVs isolated from shorebirds and gulls at Delaware Bay was within 2-fold change in the hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assays; among the 26 isolates, 24 are of the subtype H7N3, one of subtype H7N4 and one of subtype H7N5 (38). The same study also observed that four mallard-origin H5 AIVs isolated from Sweden and the Netherlands in 1999 and 2002 showed antigenic differences of less than 4-fold change in HI assays.

Probably due to the selection pressure from host immune response and/or host adaptation, the HA protein of IAVs were found to evolve faster in a few species, inducing humans (126), swine (127), and domestic poultry (128, 129). In humans, there are two subtypes of seasonal IAVs, H1N1 and H3N2 viruses. Seasonal H3N2 IAV originated from the 1968 pandemic strain and has continued to circulate ever since. Seasonal H3N2 IAV has undergone considerable antigenic evolution and 11 distinct antigenic clusters were observed during 1968-2003 (130). Antigenic drift between different clusters could be caused by single and multiple amino acid substitutions in the HA protein; and 39 antigenicity associated sites were identified (131). The history of seasonal H1N1 IAV could date back to the 1918 pandemic and it had circulated among humans until replaced by H2N2 virus in 1957 (96, 132, 133). During the post-pandemic period, a major antigenic change was reported in 1947 (134). After 20 years of being undetected, H1N1 virus which is identical to that from the 1950s re-emerged in 1977 (135, 136) and has been co-circulating with H3N2 virus ever since. Compared to the rapid evolution



www.manaraa.com

demonstrated by seasonal H3N2 IAV, H1N1 virus showed less frequent antigenic drifts (137). In addition to mutations at the antibody binding sites, the change in antigenicity of H1N1 virus was associated with glycosylation in the globular head of the HA protein (138).

Antigenic drift has also been reported for IAVs that infect swine. For example, antigenic variants of classical H1N1 SIVs were reported in the United States during 1992-1994 (139-141). H1N1 SIVs isolated from the North American swine population were divided into multiple antigenic clusters, inducing α , β , γ , δ -1, and δ -2 (142). Similar to that, at least two distinct antigenic clusters, H3N2 SIV-alpha and H3N2 SIV-beta, were identified in the H3N2 SIVs that circulate among the swine population in the United States during 2006-2012 (143). In Europe, antigenic drift was reported for the H3N2 SIVs from multiple countries in the 1990s, including the Netherlands and Belgium (144), and Italy (145).

Host Switch

IAVs present partial restriction in terms of host range with occurrences of interspecies transmission. HA glycoprotein is considered the major determinant for host range due to its role in recognition of and binding to the host cell receptor (9). HAs of human IAVs prefer to bind to SA2,6Gal, whereas those of AIVs preferentially bind to SA2,3Gal. Amino acid mutations in the receptor binding site could confer the change in receptor binding specificity, and specific mutations vary among the HAs of different viruses. For example, amino acid mutations Q226L and G228S (H3 numbering) are linked with increased binding affinity to SA2,6Gal for human H2 and H3 IAVs (146, 147). Amino acid mutations E190D and G225D (H3 numbering) are crucial for increased



binding affinity to SA2,6Gal for H1 IAVs infecting humans and swine (148). In addition to the HA gene segment, molecular features associated with host switch were identified in other gene segments. For example, approximately 20 amino acids deletion in the stalk region of NA protein is considered one characteristic feature in the host switch of AIVs from waterfowl to domestic poultry (149, 150); and this molecular feature could be a compensatory change to maintain the functional compatibility between HA and NA proteins (151). Molecular changes in the genes that code polymerase proteins, including E627K and D701N substitutions in PB2 gene segment, could be associated with increased replication ability in the cells of new hosts (152-155).

Vaccination

Vaccination is considered one of the primary options to prevent and control influenza outbreaks among humans. The World Health Organization (WHO) Influenza Program was established to address the threat brought to public health by influenza since 1948 (156, 157). Vaccine against influenza A and B viruses were invented in the 1940s (158). The trivalent inactivated vaccine was developed after the 1968 influenza pandemic (159). Currently, the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System comprises more than 100 national influenza centers and six collaborating centers around the world (160). A large number of influenza samples were collected and analyzed through this network on a yearly basis. Recommendation of the viral seed strain in the vaccine for the upcoming influenza season was made on the basis of antigenic, genetic, and epidemiological data. The vaccine seed strain is determined to be updated when there is significant antigenic difference between the existing vaccine strain and the emerging strain; and the emerging strain may cause human infections in a wide geographic region.



The WHO consultation is head twice a year, every February for the upcoming Northern hemisphere influenza season and every September for the upcoming Southern hemisphere influenza season, respectively. The trivalent vaccines are recommended to contain two influenza A strains and one influenza B strain; and the quadrivalent vaccines are recommended to include an additional influenza B strain.

Although the existing WHO influenza surveillance program is considered one of the best-developed systems for infectious disease, it still has several shortcomings. First, the selection of vaccine seed strain is based on the prediction of the predominant viral strain for the upcoming influenza season; however, a new antigenic variant could emerge and quickly spread after recommendation of the vaccine seed strain is made. Second, ferret sera were used for antigenic characterization in the existing system, and this may have limitation in accurately predicting vaccination-induced responses in humans (161-163). This was shown by the poor performance of the 2014-2015 Northern Hemisphere influenza vaccines attributed to a mismatch of H3N2 vaccine component with epidemic strains in circulation (161). Third, the existing system mainly consider the two surface glycoproteins, HA and NA; however, genome wide interaction may contribute to the evolutionary dynamics of seasonal influenza virus (120). Fourth, antigenic characterization through current laboratory methods is relatively time-consuming, hence, only a relatively small number of influenza samples can be antigenically characterized.

The conventional strategy for controlling the spread of avian influenza outbreaks among domestic poultry involves enforcement of biosecurity measures, diagnostics and surveillance, and culling of infected birds. Culling of infected birds is one of the conventional approaches to control the HPAI outbreaks. The strategies for control of


LPAI outbreaks vary from no action to active eradication programs including culling of infected birds. In addition to these conventional methods, vaccination programs have been implemented in multiple countries to control AIV outbreaks among domestic poultry. In North America, vaccine program against AIV was introduced in 1995 to control the HPAI H5N2 virus in Mexico (164); vaccine was used against a 2003 H7N2 AIV outbreak in Connecticut, the United States, and against the on-going H7N3 AIV outbreak in Mexico. In Eurasia, vaccine has been used in Pakistan since 1995 to control H7N3 virus; vaccine was used against H7N1 virus in 2000 and against H7N3 virus in 2002 in Italy. Vaccination program against LPAI H9N2 virus has been implemented in China since 1998. Vaccination program against HPAI H5N1 virus was initially implemented in Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China in 2012. Afterwards, more than 113 billion doses of vaccine have been used against HPAI H5N1 virus in 14 countries during 2002-2010. Nationwide vaccination programs were carried out in China, Vietnam, Indonesia, and Egypt, all of which account for 99% of the vaccine used against HPAI H5N1 virus (165). The use of vaccine can reduce or prevent clinical disease; reduce or eliminate virus shedding into the environment from infected birds, which would help prevent the spread of virus to uninfected flocks; and increase the resistance of birds to becoming infected. Early experience showed that vaccination program could serve as a valuable component in a successful AIV control strategy.

The implementation of vaccination program has been associated with the antigenic evolution of AIVs circulating among domestic poultry. For instance, vaccination program was suggested to facilitate the genetic evolution of HPAI H5 viruses circulating among domestic poultry; a 2011 study showed that HA gene of HPAI H5N1



virus isolated from countries where vaccination was implemented genetically evolved faster than those from countries where vaccination was not implemented (166). In addition, vaccination program has been associated with the antigenic evolution of HPAI H5N2 virus in Mexico. Vaccination program was introduced to control the HPAI H5N2 outbreak among domestic chicken in 1995 (167). After that, two novel genetic lineages emerged; viruses in these two lineages underwent antigenic drift and acquired a more than 4-fold antigenic difference from the vaccine strain (168). Moreover, antigenic characterization of 41 H7N3 AIV strains isolated during the 2002-2004 epidemic in Italy showed significant antigenic difference between the viruses isolated prior to the implementation of vaccination program and those after the vaccination program (169).

Knowledge Gaps and Objectives of this Dissertation

Collectively, periodic introductions of IAVs from wild birds contribute to the emergence of novel strains that cause infections in humans, lower mammals, and domestic poultry. Vaccination is considered one of the primary options to prevent and control human influenza outbreaks; and vaccination program could also contribute to a successful AIV control strategy. Antigenic match between vaccine seed strain and circulating viral strains is one of the keys to a successful influenza vaccination program. Thus, it is critical to characterize the genesis of emerging avian origin IAVs and understand the antigenic diversity of contemporary IAVs. Such analyses could provide important information for formulation of effective disease control and prevention strategies. Although our understanding has been greatly improved, there are a few questions not addressed regarding the antigenic and genetic evolution of emerging avian origin IAVs.



First, a novel LPAI H10N8 virus emerged and caused three human infections in Jiangxi, China since December 2013 (170). Genetic analysis showed that the novel virus was a reassortant with HA and NA gene segments originating from wild bird origin IAVs and the six internal gene segments from the H9N2 virus circulating among domestic poultry in the region. However, the source of human infection with the H10N8 virus was not determined.

Southern China is hypothesized to be an influenza epicenter (171), and the emergence and zoonotic transmission of novel AIVs were favored by a unique set of ecological conditions, including the large domestic duck population and the widespread live poultry market (LPM) system (172-175). The threat brought by the ecosystem in the region was repeatedly demonstrated by the emergence and zoonotic transmission of H5N1, H9N2, and H7N9 viruses (20, 176, 177).

LPMs have been recognized as playing an important role in the epidemiology of IAVs (173, 178, 179). LPMs host various avian species from different sources in a dense environment and serve as an optimal site for maintenance and diversification of influenza virus. For example, LPAI H5N2 viruses had been isolated from LPMs in the United States during 1986–1989 (178, 180). LPAI H7N2 virus was first identified in the LPMs in the Northeast United States in 1994, and it has been continued to circulate until 2006 (129, 181). Evidence for reassortment of H7N2 AIVs with other AIVs circulating in the LPMs was observed. In addition, LPMs provide an environment for contact between humans and infected live animals, which allows the potential zoonotic transmission of AIVs. The association between LPMs and zoonotic transmission was suggested by the earlier human infections of HPAI H5N1 and LPAI H9N2 viruses (182-184). In March



2013, a novel LPAI H7N9 virus emerged in eastern China (177) and has become enzootic in the region. Since the emergence of H7N9 virus, more than 500 laboratory-confirmed cases in human have been reported, of which more than 100 were fatal (http://www.who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/influenza_h7n9/en/). LPM was identified as the most probable source of human infections with H7N9 virus (177, 185). This was further supported by the evidence showing temporally closure of LPMs in major Chinese cities after the initial outbreak of H7N9 virus significantly reduced the number of human infections (186, 187). We hypothesized that LPM is the source of H10N8 human infections.

Second, the emergence of HPAI viruses in domestic poultry has been associated with introductions of LPAI viruses from wild birds, but the mechanisms of emergence are unclear. In January 2016, a novel HPAI H7N8 virus emerged and caused high mortality among turkey flock in Indiana, United States. The genesis of this H7N8 virus is not understood.

Following introductions into domestic poultry, LPAI H5 and H7 viruses have the potential to evolve into HPAI viruses through two mechanisms: 1) acquisition of basic amino acids in the cleavage region of the HA protein by insertion or substitution (188), and 2) recombination with another gene segment(s) or host genome (60, 63, 123). HPAI viruses are of concern because of the direct and indirect losses that may be incurred by the poultry industry through mortality of affected birds, culling of flocks to prevent spread, trade restrictions, and increased costs associated with outbreak response.

The consequences of introductions of IAVs from wild birds to domestic poultry has been repeatedly demonstrated by the emergence of novel HPAIs in Eurasia, including



H5N1 (20), H7N1 (189), and H7N7 viruses (19). In the Americas, HPAI H5 viruses caused outbreaks among domestic poultry in 1983 (190), 1994 (191), and 2014 and 2015 (71). In addition to subtype H5 viruses, HPAI H7 viruses have been frequently reported in the Americas. There were four reported HPAI H7N3 outbreaks between 2002 and present: one outbreak in Chile (2002) (60), two distinct outbreaks in Canada (2004 and 2007) (61, 62), and one outbreak in Mexico (2012). In addition to affect domestic poultry, HPAIs H5N1, H7N3 (Canada in 2004 and Mexico in 2012), and H7N7 viruses also caused human infections (20, 64, 192, 193).

Retrospective studies have often been conducted to determine the putative precursor viruses leading to the emergence of IAV strains that cause outbreak among domestic poultry. Wild birds have been recognized as the most probable source for outbreak strains or at least a few of the gene segments that were incorporated into the genome of the outbreak strains (194, 195). However, in many instances, evidence is lacking regarding the time of emergence, location of reassortment, and bird species associated with the genesis of a particular IAV outbreak strain; limited availability of contemporary wild bird-origin IAV isolates or sequences impedes any attempt to investigate the potential mechanisms underlying the emergence.

We hypothesized that 1) the H7N8 virus identified in turkeys in Indiana was initially introduced from wild birds and developed high pathogenicity within poultry production systems; 2) genetic analysis of a large number of available contemporary wild bird–origin IAV isolates would indicate what wild bird species and IAVs contributed to the emergence of the HPAI H7N8 virus.



Third, earlier studies showed minor antigenic diversity for H7 AIVs isolated from wild birds in Eurasia (195, 196); however, limited data is available for antigenic diversity of contemporary H7 AIVs from North America. H7 AIVs present a continuous threat to both public and animal health. Vaccination program is considered a useful component of a successful control strategy against AIV and has been implemented in multiple countries. Because IAVs frequently undergo antigenic change, circulating influenza strains should be continually monitored to optimize the antigenic matches between vaccine seed strain and circulating strains. Thus, understating the antigenic diversity of contemporary H7 AIVs is crucial for a successful vaccination program.

The objectives of this dissertation research were to infer the genesis of two emerging avian origin IAVs, LPAI H10N8 and HPAI H7N8 viruses, and to investigate the antigenic diversity and genetic evolution of H7 AIVs from North America. The overall hypotheses of this dissertation were: 1) genetically, a persistent gene constellation of IAVs circulating among wild birds favors the emergence of novel IAVs that cause outbreaks among domestic poultry and human; 2) antigenically, IAVs have reached a point of stasis in wild birds but not in domestic poultry. To validate the hypotheses, four specific objectives were proposed.

First, develop and validate a web-based pipeline for analyzing and assembling next generation sequencing (NGS) data for influenza viruses.

Second, characterize the genesis of a novel LPAI H10N8 virus and identify the source of H10N8 human infection in China.



Third, characterize the genesis of a novel HPAI H7N8 virus and recover the evolutionary pathway leading to the outbreak of disease among domestic turkey in Indiana, the United States.

Last, characterize the antigenic diversity and genetic evolution of contemporary H7 AIVs isolated from North American wild and domestic birds during 1971-2012.

Dissertation Organization

This dissertation is organized into six chapters. Chapter I introduces the background of IAVs and states the hypotheses, and objectives of this dissertation research. A web-based pipeline for analyzing and assembling NGS data for influenza viruses is presented in Chapter II. Chapter III investigates the genesis of a novel LPAI H10N8 virus that emerged and caused three human infections in China, 2013. Study in chapter IV investigates the potential origins, evolutionary pathways, and introduction routes of a novel HPAI H7N8 virus that caused disease outbreak among turkey flocks in Indiana, the United States, 2016. Chapter V describes the antigenic diversity and genetic evolution of contemporary H7 AIVs from North America. Conclusions of this dissertation study are provided in chapter VI.



CHAPTER II

A WEB-BASED PIPELINE FOR

ANALYZING AND ASSEMBLING NGS DATA OF IAVS

NGS has become a routine method in influenza virus research. To date, there is a lack of publically available pipelines for analyzing and assembling NGS data of influenza virus. There are two major challenges for development of such a pipeline: rapid identification of eight optimal reference sequences from a large influenza database corresponding to eight gene segments in the influenza genome; determination of the origin of short reads and assembly of homologous genomic sequences in mixed infection sample. In this chapter, a web-based pipeline for analyzing and assembling NGS data of influenza viruses is developed and validated. This pipeline could be applied to evaluate the quality of NGS data, to identify the eight suitable reference sequences for influenza genome, to assemble the full genome, and to evaluate whether there is a mixed infection of multiple IAVs in the sample. In addition, the web-based application provides a userfriendly graphic interface and visualization for users. This pipeline is comparable in performance to the commercially available software represented by CLC Genomics Workbench but enabled the genomic analysis and assembly of influenza NGS data in a high throughput manner.



Introduction

The availability of NGS technology has allowed us to rapidly sequence influenza genomes; and NGS has become a routine method in molecular diagnosis, surveillance, vaccine strain selection, and laboratory research for influenza viruses. The NGS data are characterized by high throughput of relative short reads. For example, the read length is usually less than 300 bp for NGS data generated by Illumina. The challenge in NGS data analyses is to accurately assemble these short reads into the IAV genomic sequences and still represent the polymorphisms of these sequences.

To date, there still lacks of publically available pipelines for analyzing and assembling NGS data of influenza virus. Influenza virus genome is comprised of eight single strand negative-sense RNA gene segments, and thus genomic assembly will require eight closely matched reference sequences. Moreover, influenza virus assembly could be impeded by the mixed infection of multiple influenza viruses in the sample. Considering the relative conservation of influenza gene segments, especially six internal gene segments, it is not a trivial task to differentiate the origin of short reads and then assembly them into homologous genomic sequences.

The objective of this chapter is to develop and validate a web-based pipeline for analyzing and assembling NGS data for influenza viruses. The pipeline is designed to aggregate a series of existing computational tools for NGS data preprocessing, template matching, and genomic assembly, enabling the assembly of influenza genome and the detection of mixed infection in a high throughput manner. The web-based application is expected to provide user-friendly interface and visualization for the users.



Materials and Methods

Data Preprocessing

The designed web-based pipeline comprises multiple steps, including quality control, trimming, reference search, genome assembly, and mix-infection detection (Figure 1). The pipeline starts with investigation of the overall quality of the raw NGS data with FastQC v0.10.1 (197). It generates composition statistics of the raw data, including read number, read length, and base quality. The reads in the raw data are trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.32 (198). In this step, nucleotide bases are removed from both ends of the read if their quality falls below a pre-defined threshold; and the read is clipped if the average quality within a sliding window falls below a threshold quality. After that, reads above a specified length are retained for further analyses. The quality of the trimmed reads is once again investigated with FastQC v0.10.1.

Genome Assembly

This pipeline enables two modes for sequence assembly: reference-based assembly and *de novo* assembly. The reference-based assembly refers to the case when a reference influenza genome is provided, and then trimmed reads could be directly mapped to the reference with the implementation of Bowtie v2.0 (199). Local alignment and pair-end mode are used for reads mapping. The *de novo* assembly mode is initiated when a reference genome is not available beforehand. In this case, trimmed reads are *de novo* assembled to create contiguous sequence with Velvet v1.2.10 (200). The resulting contigs are searched against the influenza nucleotide database by BLAST (201) and eight reference sequences corresponding to eight gene segments are selected. Subsequently, the influenza genome is assembled as described in the reference-based assembly mode. Each



nucleotide base in the consensus sequence is determined on the basis of two criteria: (1) it is supported by a specified mapping coverage, and (2) consensus is reached among reads cover this position. The subtype of a sample is determined on the basis of the reference sequences selected for the HA and NA gene segments. When de novo assembly generates multiple HA and/or NA gene segments corresponding to distinct subtypes, the sample is identified as a mixed infection sample.



Figure 1 Pipeline for analyzing and assembling NGS data of influenza virus.

Web-based Application

To provide a user-friendly graphic interface and visualization tool, a web-based application was developed for this influenza genome assembly pipeline. Two assembly modes, reference-based assembly and *de novo* assembly, are provided to users as an option. In the reference-based mode (Figure 2), the user will provide NGS data and a table that comprises the GenBank accession numbers of the eight reference sequences for



each sample. Reference sequences will then be obtained from influenza nucleotide database by the given accession numbers. In addition, users will be asked to provide parameters for each step in the analysis. For example, threshold quality, sliding window size, and read threshold length are needed for quality trimming; threshold coverage and level of consensus are needed for determining the nucleotide base for each position in the consensus sequence. In the de novo assembly mode, users will provide k-mer length for de novo assembly.



Dashboard	About De	Flu Assembly	Jobs Cite	eUs			
Flu Assembly	Dataset:						
			Reference Ba	sed Mode Ex	ample	·	
	Data: viru	us info table					
			Browse	splTable.txt			
	Ves, I have	e reference genomes					
	Data: refe	erence genomes					
			Browse	refTable.txt			
	Paramete	er configuration: refere	ence-based mo	de			
	Quality (Control and Trimming	leading 30		trailing 30		
			window 10	:28	min length 10	C	
		Coverage Cutoff	10				
		Consensus Cutoff	0.9				
			Submit Job	Reset			

Figure 2 Reference-based assembly mode submission interface.

After the analysis, a number of statistics will be provided to users in form of graphics. These statistics include read number and average base quality in the raw NGS data and trimmed data, percentile of raw reads retained following quality trimming, percentile of read that were mapped to the reference genome, and coverage of the consensus sequences. Users could download these plots and various formats are supported. The resulting genomic sequence files are provided in both gene-specific and



sample-specific manners. In addition, the mapping profiles of each sample are available for users to assess the mapping quality. On the website, users could monitor the progress of the job and each of its stages in real-time (Figure 3). Our server will notify users when the job starts and completes via emails. The web-based application was written in PHP with a core written in Perl. It is freely accessible on SystemsBio Lab website (http://sysbio.cvm.msstate.edu).

Systems	Bio Lab	News About -	People - Research -	Software - User -
Dashboard	About Demo Flu As	sembly Jobs Ci	te Us	
Flu Assembly	Job #1268 is comple	te. See Results		
	Stage Quality Control and	Trimming is complete.		
	Stage Search references i	s complete.		
	Stage Genome Assembly	is complete.		
	Overal Progress			
		100% (Complete	
© 2016 SystemsBio Lab ⋅ C	ontact Us ·			Back to to

Figure 3 Job status page (job complete).

Testing Datasets and Performance Evaluation

Two datasets were used to evaluate the performance of this web-based influenza genome assembly pipeline. The reference-based mode was investigated with the first dataset that comprises NGS data for five influenza reassortants generated through



reassortment between a canine H3N2 virus (A/canine/Guangdong/1/2006, H3N2, Guangdong/1) and a 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus (A/California/04/2009, H1N1, California/04). The HA gene segment of these reassortants originated from the canine H3N2 virus and the other seven gene segments were derived from either parental virus. The complete genomes of these two parental strains were downloaded from GenBank under the accession number GU433345 to GU433352 and FJ966079 to FJ966086 and used as references for genome assembly.

The second dataset comprises NGS data for five wild bird origin AIV strains obtained from earlier surveillance. The subtype of these samples and origin of each gene segment are unknown. The genomes of these samples were assembled with the de novo mode imbedded in this pipeline. The resulting genomic sequences were compared with those generated by CLC Genomics Workbench 9.0.1 (CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark), which is a commercially available software that provides genomic analyses and assembly package. In CLC Genomics Workbench, trimmed reads were de novo assembled to generate continuous sequences using the contig sequences (fast) mode and the contig length threshold was set to 200. Reads were mapped to the references that were found by BLAST the resulting contigs to influenza nucleotide database.

Results

Under the reference-based assembly mode, this pipeline first investigates the quality of the raw NGS data for the five reassortant viruses. Quality control results showed that the number of read pair for each sample ranges from 289,554 to 347,858. The length of reads falls in the range from 32 bp to 301 bp. The average quality score for each position range from 34.45 to 36.64. The quality of the raw NGS data was improved



through quality trimming. Quality trimming retained around 85% of the reads in the raw data and increased the average base quality to the range between 36.59 and 37.43 (Figure 4).

Examination of the mapping profile showed that more than 98.95% of the trimmed reads were successfully mapped to the eight reference sequences for each sample. A 100% coverage was observed for all eight reference sequences for each sample and the average mapping depth for each reference sequence ranges from 2,855 to 7,642. The consensus sequences generated by this pipeline were compared with those obtained from GenBank. Among the 40 newly generated genomic sequences, 19 sequences corresponding to six gene segments were identical to those from GenBank; and 21 sequences corresponding to the remaining nine gene segments possess one to six nucleotide substitutions. The nucleotide substitutions were consistent for genomic sequences corresponding to gene segment derived from the same parental virus. For example, five genomic sequences of the HA gene segment originated from the canine H3N2 virus all have two nucleotide differences: A695T and T713G. Further investigation of the mapping profile showed adequate mapping depth and consensus for these positions. The nucleotide substitutions were not introduced by this pipeline but may originate from manipulation of these samples such as adaptation during viral culture. These results suggested that the reference-based assembly mode in this pipeline could successfully recover the complete genome from raw NGS data of influenza virus.





Figure 4 Reference-based assembly mode result page.

Next, the performance of the *de novo* assembly mode was investigated. Among the five tested samples, eight reference sequences corresponding to eight gene segments were found for four samples. Thus, these four samples were designed as single infection.



More than 99.00% of the trimmed reads were successfully mapped to the references. A 100% coverage was observed for the eight reference sequences of four single infection samples and the average mapping depth for each reference sequence ranges from 862 to 6,817 (Figure 5). Genomic sequences generated by this pipeline were compared with those by Genomics Workbench and results showed a 100% sequence identity. For the last sample, this pipeline found nine reference sequences comprising two references for the NA gene segment corresponding to the subtype N2 and N7, respectively. The resulting sequences from this pipeline comprise two complete NA sequences of the subtype N2 and N7. In addition, one reference sequence of the subtype H7 was identified for the HA gene segment. Thus, this sample was assigned to mixed infection on the basis of these results.



Figure 5 Coverage of resulting sequences of *de novo* assembly mode.



Discussion

In summary, a publically available web-based pipeline for analyzing and assembling NGS data of influenza virus was developed. This pipeline enables the detection of eight closely matched references, full genomic assembly for influenza genome, and detection of the presence of mixed infection of multiple IAVs in a sample. The web-based application provides a user-friendly graphic interface and visualization for users. The pitfall of this study is that, for a sample with mixed infections, this pipeline still has difficulties in classifying the short reads and recovering homologous genomic sequences.



www.manaraa.com

CHAPTER III

THE GENESIS OF A NOVEL LPAI H10N8 VIRUS AND THE SOURCE OF H10N8 HUMAN INFECTIONS IN CHINA

In December 2013, a novel LPAI H10N8 virus emerged, causing three human infections in Jiangxi, China (170). Genetic analysis showed that the novel virus was a reassortant comprising HA and NA gene segments from wild bird origin IAVs and the six internal gene segments from the H9N2 viruses circulating among domestic poultry in the region; however, the source of human infections with the novel LPAI H10N8 virus was not determined. LPMs have been identified as a high risk factor for the zoonotic transmission of AIVs in Southern China (182) and we hypothesized that LPMs are the source of H10N8 human infections. We characterized 361 samples collected from April 2013 to January 2014, including 217 samples collected prior to the detection of H10N8 human infection, at the LPM visited by the first index case. Results showed a gradual increase in IAV prevalence and detection of H10 viruses. AIVs that are genetically close to the human H10N8 isolate were recovered from samples collected in this LPM. In addition, we performed HI assays for 800 sera samples collected from chickens and ducks at five LPMs in Nanchang and observed high seroprevalence of H10 virus. These findings suggested that LPM is the most probable source of human infection with this novel H10N8 virus, and this virus appears to present throughout the LPM system in the city. These findings highlight the role of LPMs in the zoonotic transmission of AIVs, and



suggest influenza ecosystem in Southern China could favor the emergence of novel IAV strains that potentially present risk to both animal and human health. Reduction of the influenza virus burden in LPMs is essential in preventing future emergence of novel IAV strains with zoonotic and pandemic potential.

Introduction

LPMs are considered as an important link in the ecology and epidemiology of IAVs (173). LPMs host a large number of birds among different species in a high-density setting. Live animals are usually brought into the LPMs on a daily basis, and live animals could be carried over in LPMs from one day to the next and even up to weeks for some birds. Thus, LPMs provide an ideal environment for maintenance and transmission of IAVs among these birds and facilitate diversification of IAVs through reassortment. In addition, LPMs provide an environment for direct contact between humans and infected live animals and could serve as the source of potential zoonotic transmission of IAVs.

In the United States, LPAI H7N2 virus was detected in the LPMs in the northeast in 1994, and this virus continued to circulate in the LPMs until 2006 (129, 181). Reassortment was observed between LPAI H7N2 and other IAVs circulating in the LPMs. In 2003, a LPAI H7N2 virus strain was isolated from an immunocompromised man with fever and community-acquired pneumonia in New York (202). Although the source of this human infection case could not be determined, the virus was suspected to originate from the LPMs.

In China, the association between LPMs and zoonotic transmission of AIVs was suggested by reported human infections of HPAI H5N1 and LPAI H9N2 viruses (Figure 6). In May 1997, an avian origin H5N1 IAV strain was isolated from a boy died of



respiratory illness in Hong Kong (20). A total of 18 cases of human infection with the H5N1 virus were reported in Hong Kong by the end of the year and six cases were fatal. Human infections with LPAI H9N2 virus were reported in China in 1999 (176), 2003 (203), and 2013 (204), respectively. LPMs were indicated to be the source of human infections with H5N1 and H9N2 viruses (182). Human infection with a novel LPAI H7N9 virus was first reported in eastern China in March 2013 (177, 185). LPAI H7N9 virus has caused more than 500 human cases and claimed >100 lives ever since (http://www.who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/influenza_h7n9/en/). LPMs were also indicated as the most likely source of human infections with the H7N9 virus. This was supported by the significant decrease of mean daily number of infections in major cities in China following measures taken to close LPMs (186).

In December 2013, human infection with a novel avian origin H10N8 virus was reported in Jiangxi, China. The first patient infected with H10N8 virus was hospitalized on November 30, three days after illness onset (170). The patient had visited a local LPM four days prior to the illness onset. Genetic analysis results showed that the novel H10N8 virus was a reassortant possessing HA and NA gene segments from wild bird origin IAVs and six internal gene segments from poultry-origin H9N2 virus. Two more cases of human infections with this novel H10N8 virus were reported in January and February 2014, in Jiangxi. The source of human infections with this virus was not determined. We hypothesize that local LPMs are the source of human infections and we analyzed 361 influenza virus samples collected in the LPM visited by the first patient to infer the possible source of the zoonotic transmission of the novel H10N8 virus.





Figure 6 Avian origin influenza A viruses that caused zoonotic infections in Southern China during the past two decades.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

Sampling was conducted in the LPM at the Donghu District of Nanchang City, Jiangxi from April 5, 2013 to January 1, 2014. A total of 361 swabs were collected on ten individual time periods, April 5 (number of samples, 47), April 9 (13), June 16 (23), July 25 (64), August 10 (57), August 24 (13), December 12 (46), December 17 (35), December 22, 2013 (36), and January 1, 2014 (27) (Table 1). These samples were collected predominantly from chicken, duck, goose, and four minor species, including pheasant, guinea fowl, pigeon, and turtle doves. In addition, 47 environmental samples



were collected by swabbing feces in the cages and on the floor of this LPM, especially when samples from live birds were not accessible. The rectal swabs were collected from waterfowl species such as ducks and geese, whereas both oropharyngeal and rectal swabs were taken from the terrestrial birds, including chickens, pigeons, guinea fowl, and turtle doves.

The swabs from each bird or environmental specimen were placed in a tube with M199 transport medium (GIBCO, location) containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and Penicillin G (2 X 106 U/liter), Streptomycin 200 mg/liter. Samples were kept in an ice box before and during shipment to the laboratory and then stored at -80 °C. All manipulations of these samples were conducted under enhanced Biosafety Level 2 (BSL-2) containment facilities at the College of Animal Science and Technology, Jiangxi Agricultural University.

RNA Extraction and IAV Screening

Viral RNA was extracted from the clinical swabs using the QIAamp Viral RNA mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. These viral RNA samples were subject to M-gene based IAV screening followed by H7 subtype specific screening using the AgPath-IDTM One-Step RT-PCR Reagent according to the diagnosis manual from WHO)

(http://www.who.int/influenza/resources/documents/molecular_diagnosis_ influenza_virus_humans_update_201108.pdf). The H7 specific primer was adapted from (205).



Viral Isolation

The non-H7 positive swabs were inoculated into ten day old specific pathogen free chicken embryonated eggs. The eggs were inoculated for 72 hours at 37°C before harvesting.

Hemagglutination and Hemagglutination Inhibition Assays

Both hemagglutination and hemagglutination inhibition assays were conducted according the instruction described in the OIE manual for avian influenza diagnosis using 0.5% chicken red blood cells (http://web.oie.int/fr/normes/mmanual/2008/pdf/2.03.04 AI.pdf). The goat anti-H10

polyclonal antisera were diluted to 1:40 before the HI assays.

Reverse Transcription, PCR, and Genomic Sequencing

The full-length cDNA were amplified from the swabs using SuperScript[™] One-Step RT-PCR (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY). Briefly, total RNA 5 ul, uni-12 2 ul, dNTP 1 ul, and RNase/DNase free water 5 ul, were incubated at 65°C for five minutes and then one minute on ice. Then 1 ul of DTT, 1 ul of RNAse, and 4 ul of buffer, and 1 ul of RT superscript III were added and incubated at 55°C for one hour and then 75°C for 15 minutes. PCR amplification was performed with universal primers U-12 and U-13 Phusion® High-fidelity PCR MMW/HF buffer (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) according to the manufacturer's instruction and followed by eight pairs of gene specific primers (206). PCR product was then purified using QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.



All M-gene positive samples were subjective to full genome sequencing using next generation sequencing. Sequencing libraries were prepared from 1 ng cDNA using the Nextera XT kit according to manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq using V2 reagent kits according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sanger sequencing was utilized to fill sequence gaps from the MiSeq run using gene specific primers for the corresponding gene segment. The PCR product was purified by QIAquick® Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to manufacturer's protocol and subjected to sequencing using ABI 3730x1 capillary DNA Analyzers.

Genomic Assembly

Genomic assembly was conducted with CLC Genomics Workbench 6 (CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark). Quality trimming was first conducted to remove reads with two or more ambiguous nucleotides and those below a quality cutoff value of 20. The qualityfiltered reads were *de novo* assembled in the fast contig mode and then each assembled contig was BLAST searched against the influenza database to select the best-matched reference sequence. Quality-filtered reads were mapped to the reference sequence and consensus sequences were generated. If two or more genetically distinct references were identified, the quality-filtered reads were matched to each and multiple consensus sequences were generated. If these consensus sequences from a single sample were genetically distinct, this sample was defined as a mixed infection. Each consensus sequence was examined manually to correct potential assembly errors, such as single nucleotide deletions and insertions.



Phylogenetic Analysis and Molecular Characterization

To recover evolutionary history of H10N8 virus, sequences recovered from this study were combined with those from public database for phylogenetic analyses. Phylogenetic tree was inferred using Maximum Likelihood method implemented in GARLI version 0.951 (207).

Serological Surveillance

To investigate the spread of H10N8 virus in the LPMs system in Nanchang, we collected a total of 800 sera samples from chickens and ducks in five LPMs, from February 25, 2014, to March 27, 2014. These five LPMs cover a geographic area of about 160 square kilometers and the major metropolitan area of Nanchang. Sera positive was determined using HI assay with a H10N8 virus isolated from the surveillance.

Results

The LPM that the first H10N8 patient visited is in the Donghu district of Nanchang City; and we conducted sporadic virologic surveillance at this LPM since April 2013. The number of poultry retailers within this LPM ranges from 20 to 30, and a total of around 1,500 birds are sold per day. The number of poultry retailers and birds being sold vary with season change and other factors. Typically about 90% of birds sold in this market are chickens and ducks with wild birds occasionally being sold as well. Between April 5, 2013, and January 1, 2014, we collected 361 samples from this LPM on ten separate sampling occasions (Table 1). These samples included 192 paired oropharyngeal and cloacal swabs from chickens, pheasant, guinea fowl, pigeon, and turtle doves, as well



as 122 cloacal swabs from ducks and geese. In addition, 47 environmental samples were also collected by swabbing feces in the cages and from the floor of this LPM.

The proportion of IAV positive samples among these collected samples, as measured by M-gene real time PCR, increased from 4.3% on April 5, to 38.5% on August 24, and to 87.0% on December 12, 2013. The proportion of IAV positive samples, albeit still high, dropped to 48.0% on January 1, 2014 (Table 1). Among these M-gene positive specimens, ten were subtyped as H7 by H7 specific real time PCR (205) and 16 as H10 by H10 specific HI assays (Figure 7, Table 1).



Figure 7 Isolation of H10 influenza A virus from the LPM visited by the first H10N8 patient.

To determine if H10N8 viruses, had been and had continued to circulate in this market, we sequenced positive samples collected on the April, December, and January



sampling occasions (the first index case was admitted to the hospital in late November). From the April sampling, we sequenced all five positive samples and detected H7 and H9 HA genes in conjunction with N2 and N9 NA genes. Although no H10 or N8 genes were detected, we found PB2, PA, NP, MP, and NS genes were genetically similar to the human H10N8 virus. From the December sampling, we successfully generated sequences from 44 of the 86 positive samples. Twelve samples contained H10 genes, all of which also contained N8 genes, though some did appear to be mixed infections containing gene segments from other viruses such as H9N2. From the January sampling, six samples had both H10 and N8 genes (Table 2).



Table 1	Statistics	of influenz	a surveilla	nce condue	cted in the	LPM visite	ed by the f	irst H10N8	s patient.		
Sample type	4/5/13	4/9/13	6/16/13	7/25/13	8/10/13	8/24/13	12/12/13	12/17/13	12/22/13	1/1/14	Total
					Number :	sampled (%)	positive				
Chicken	7 (0%0)		6 (%0)	29 (48.3%)	21 (57.1%)		25 (92%)	19 (78.9%)	16 (50%)	15 (40%)	141 (55.3%)
Pheasant	5 (0%)	5 (20%)	1 (100%)								11 (18.2%)
Guinea Fowl	11 (9.1%)										11 (9.1%)
Duck			8 (12.5%)	30 (20%)	18 (0%)		10 (80%)	16 (56.3%)	15 (73.3%)	12 (58.3%)	109 (38.5%)
Pigeon	16 (6.3%)			5 (40%)							21 (14.3%)
esoog 48		8 (12.5%)	5 (0%)								13 (7.7%)
Turtle Doves	8 (0%)										8 (0%)
Environmental					18 (16.7%)	13 (38.5%)	11 (81.8%)		5 (60%)		47 (42.6%)
Total	47 (4.3%)	13 (15.4%)	23 (8.7%)	64 (34.4%)	57 (26.3%)	13 (38.5%)	46 (87%)	35 (68.6%)	36 (61.1%)	27 (48.0%)	361 (40.7%)
H10							L	2	1	9	16
H7							4		1	5	10

www.manaraa.com

		GenBank Accession 1	Number							
Name	Date	HA NA	PB2	PB1 F	Ve	dN	MP	I SN	A N	[A
A/pigeon/Jiangxi/JXA130010/2013(mixed,H7,H9,N2,N9)) 4/5/13		KM234652	I	cM234653	KM234654	KM234655	KM234656; I	N 6H/LI	12/N
A/turtledoves/Jiangxi/JXA130022/2013(H?N?)	4/5/13						KM234660	KM234661	r	
A/pheasant/Jiangxi/JXA130232/2013(mixed,H9,N2)	4/9/13			KM234662		KM234663	KM234665	KM234666 I	N 6H	12
A/goose/Jiangxi/JXA130250/2013(mixed, H9,N2)	4/9/13					KM234667	KM234669	KM234671 I	N 6H	12
A/goose/Jiangxi/JXA130246/2013(mixed, H?,N1,N2)	4/9/13		KM234672	Ŧ	CM234673	KM234674	KM234677		Z	N/I
A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131855/2013(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	12/12/13	KM234678 KM2346	580			KM234679	KM234681	KM234682 I	110 N	12/N
A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131869/2013(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	12/12/13	KM234686 KM2346	588 KM234683	KM234684 F	CM234685	KM234687	KM234689	KM234690 I	e Norra de N	[2/N
A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131890/2013(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	12/12/13	KM234696 KM2346	598 KM234691;	KM234693; F	CM234695	KM234697	KM234699	KM234700 I	e Norra de N	[2/N
A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131916/2013(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	12/12/13	KM234701 KM2347	NNL234092 703	N.M.2.34094		KM234702	KM234704	KM234705 I	HIO S	12/N
A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131919/2013(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	12/12/13	KM234709 KM2347	711 KM234706	KM234707 H	KM234708	KM234710	KM234712	KM234713 I	N OII	12/N
A/environment/Jiangxi/JXA131877/2013(mixed,H10,N8,	, 12/12/13	KM234718 KM2347	720 KM234714;	KM234716 H	KM234717	KM234719	KM234721	KM234722 I	。N。 110 N	12/N
Nc) Aenvironment/Jiangxi/JXA131921/2013(mixed,H10,N2,	, 12/12/13	KM234726	KM234723 KM234723	KM234724 F	KM234725	KM234727	KM234728	KM234729 I	o No No No No No No No No No No No No No N	12/N
Ne) A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131901/2013(mixed,H10,H9,N2,N	N 12/12/13	KM234733 KM2347	735 KM234730	KM234731 F	CM234732	KM234734	KM234736	KM234737 I	o N6H/01E	12/N
8) A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131906/2013(mixed,H10,H9,N2,N	N 12/12/13	KM234742 KM2347	144 KM234738;	KM234740 F	CM234741	KM234743	KM234745	KM234746 I	8 N6H/01I	12/N
8) A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131864/2013(H9N2)	12/12/13		KM234739 KM234747	KM234748 F	CM234749	KM234750	KM234751	KM234752 I	8 N 8	12
A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131923/2013(H9N2)	12/12/13		KM234753	KM234754 H	KM234755	KM234756;	KM234758	KM234759; I	N 6I	2
A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131875/2013(H?N2)	12/12/13					KM234761		KM234762	Z	2
A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131893/2013(H?N2)	12/12/13							KM234763	Z	12

Summary of genomic sequences recovered from the LPM visited by the first H10N8 patient. Table 2

المنسارات

ت										
ستشارا										
W 2	Table 2 (continued)									
j	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131964/2013(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	12/17/13 KM2	34767	KM234764	KM234765	KM234766	KM234768	KM234769	KM234770 H10	N2/N
L	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131985/2013(H6N2)	12/17/13		KM234771	KM234772;	KM234774	KM234775	KM234776	KM234777 H6	8 N2
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131963/2013(H9N2)	12/17/13		KM234778	KM234779 KM234779	KM234780	KM234781	KM234782	KM234783 H9	N2
1	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131973/2013(H9N2)	12/17/13		KM234784	KM234785	KM234786	KM234787	KM234788	KM234789 H9	N2
S	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA131977/2013(H9N2)	12/17/13		KM234790	KM234791	KM234792	KM234793	KM234794	KM234795 H9	N2
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA131981/2013(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	12/17/13 KM2	34800 KM234802	KM234796;	KM234798	KM234799	KM234801	KM234803;	KM234805; H10	N2/N 8
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA131996/2013(H?N2)	12/17/13		KM234807 KM234807		KM234808	KM234809	KM234804 KM234810	KM234806 KM234811	8 N2
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132023/2013(H?N2)	12/17/13		KM234812	KM234813	KM234814	KM234815	KM234816	KM234817	N2
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA131986/2013(mixed,H6,N2)	12/17/13		KM234818;	KM234820	KM234821	KM234822;	KM234824;	KM234826 H6	N2
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132379/2013(H10,N8,N2)	12/22/13 KM2	34830 KM234832	KM234819 KM234827	KM234828	KM234829	KM234831 KM234831	KM234823 KM234833	KM234834 H10	N/8N
	65 A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132304/2013(H9N2)	12/22/13		KM234835	KM234836	KM234837	KM234838	KM234839	KM234840 H9	N2 N2
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132363/2013(H9N2)	12/22/13		KM234841	KM234842	KM234843	KM234844	KM234845	KM234846 H9	N2
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132308/2013(H9N2)	12/22/13		KM234847	KM234848	KM234849	KM234850	KM234851	KM234852 H9	N2
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132362/2013(mixed,H9,N2,N8)	12/22/13	KM234857	KM234853	KM234854	KM234855	KM234856	KM234858	KM234859 H9	N2/N o
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132322/2013(H?N8)	12/22/13	KM234861				KM234860	KM234862	KM234863	o N8
	A/environment/Jiangxi/JXA132335/2013(H?N2)	12/22/13							KM234864	N2
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132328/2013(H?N2)	12/22/13		KM234865	KM234866	KM234867	KM234868	KM234869	KM234870	N2
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132321/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13		KM234871	KM234872	KM234873	KM234874	KM234875	KM234876	
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132323/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13					KM234877			
ww	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132348/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13							KM234878	
/w.n	A/environment/Jiangxi/JXA132330/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13					KM234879		KM234880	

شارات												
دست												
N Ż	Table 2 (continued)											
j	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132357/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13										
L	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132359/2013(H?N2)	12/22/13						KM234881				N2
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132388/2013(H?N2)	12/22/13			KM234882	KM234883	KM234884	KM234885		KM234886		N2
i	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132309/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13								KM234887		
5	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132338/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13										
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132339/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13										
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132366/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13										
	A/environment/Jiangxi/JXA132326/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13								KM234888		
	A/environment/Jiangxi/JXA132327/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13										
	A/environment/Jiangxi/JXA132345/2013(H?N?)	12/22/13								KM234889		
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132713/2014(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	1/1/14 KJ	M234893 K	M234895	KM234890	KM234891	KM234892	KM234894	KM234896	KM234897	H10	N2/N8
	Vchicken/Jiangxi/JXA132717/2014(H10N8)	1/1/14 KI	M234901 K	M234903	KM234898	KM234899	KM234900	KM234902	KM234904	KM234905	H10	N8
	A/chicken/Jiangxi/JXA132731/2014(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	1/1/14 KI	M234909 K	M234911	KM234906	KM234907	KM234908	KM234910	KM234912	KM234913	H10	N2/N8
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132727/2014(H10N8)	1/1/14 KJ	M234917 K	M234919	KM234914	KM234915	KM234916	KM234918	KM234920	KM234921	H10	N8
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132719/2014(H9N2)	1/1/14			KM234922	KM234923	KM234924	KM234925	KM234926	KM234927	6H	N2
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132718/2014(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	1/1/14 KJ	M234931 K	M234933	KM234928	KM234929	KM234930	KM234932	KM234934	KM234935	H10	N2/N8
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132712/2014(H10N8)	1/1/14 KI	M234936 K	M234937					KM234938	KM234939	H10	N8
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132762/2014(mixed, H?,N8,N2)	1/4/14	X	M234944	KM234940	KM234941	KM234942	KM234943	KM234945	KM234946		N2/N8
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132719/2014(H9N2)	1/1/14			KM234922	KM234923	KM234924	KM234925	KM234926	KM234927	6H	N2
	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132718/2014(mixed,H10,N2,N8)	1/1/14 KI	M234931 K	M234933	KM234928	KM234929	KM234930	KM234932	KM234934	KM234935	H10	N2/N8
W	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132712/2014(H10N8)	1/1/14 K1	M234936 K	M234937					KM234938	KM234939	H10	N8
vw	A/duck/Jiangxi/JXA132762/2014(mixed, H?,N8,N2)	1/4/14	Х	M234944	KM234940	KM234941	KM234942	KM234943	KM234945	KM234946		N2/N8

The presence of mixed infections makes it difficult to determine the exact genotypes of the viruses. For example, there were 16 samples that contained H10 genes, 12 that contained H9 genes, two that contained H6 genes, and three that contained two HA genes (two H10/H9 and one H7/H9). Among the 16 samples that contained H10 viruses, only two were of the N8 subtype; the remaining 14 samples were mixed infections with both N2 and N8 genes present. The two mixed H10/H9 samples had both N2 and N8 genes as well. Besides the mixed HA and NA samples, 12 had at least two copies of the same gene segments, such as PB2, PB1, NP, MP, and NS, and these gene segments were genetically distinct. Of the 57 samples sequenced, 25 samples were determined to have at least two genetically distinct influenza viruses.

Phylogenetic analyses of the generated sequences showed that 18 H10 and 19 N8 genes forming a monophyletic clade with the corresponding genes of the human H10N8 virus (Figure 8, Figure 9). The H10 and N8 genes were genetically close to those of viruses isolated from wild birds: H10 gene from the Eurasian lineage and N8 gene from the North American lineage. In contrast, the internal genes sequenced were close to those derived from AIVs circulating among domestic poultry and some are similar to those present in the human H10N8 virus

(Phylogenetic_trees_of_internal_genes_recovered_from_the_samples_collected_at_the_ LPM.pdf). These data confirm that nucleotide sequences of viruses more than 99% identical to those of the human H10N8 virus were circulating in this LPM, supporting the likelihood that the zoonotic transmission had occurred here.

The diversity of internal gene segments revealed that multiple distinct viruses were circulating in this individual LPM. The PB2 genes were clustered into seven distinct



genetic lineages, PB1 into five, PA into four, NP into six, MP into six, and NS into three (Phylogenetic_trees_of_internal_genes_recovered_from_the_samples_collected_at_the_ LPM.pdf). Among these genes, PB2 from the 18 samples alone belong to five distinct genetic lineages. In contrast, NP, MP, and NS gene of those samples with H10 gene were similar. None of the internal genes of these H10N8 samples were unique from those found in H9 and other subtypes of IAV from this LPM. The genetic lineages containing the samples collected on April 5, 2013, were surprisingly associated with the H10 viruses in December 2013.







The genes of IAV recovered from our surveillance are marked in red and that from the human H10N8 isolate are in green.




Figure 9 Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees of N8 genes recovered from the samples collected at the LPM.

The genes of IAV recovered from our surveillance are marked in red and that from the human H10N8 isolate are in green.

The amino acid sequences at the receptor binding sites of the H10 proteins

encoded by the genes from this LPM were identical to those in the human H10N8 isolate,



and the majority of these sites except 128 (137, H3 position) and 181 (193, H3 position) were shown to be divergent from H10 AIVs from public databases: the H10 viruses recovered in this study had R128 (100%) and I181 (61%) while those from public database predominantly had K (67.8%) and T (97.7%), respectively (Table 3). The human H10N8 isolate has R128 and T181. The impact of I181T on host or tissue tropism of the H10N8 virus is unknown; nevertheless, the receptor binding properties are predicted to be avian-like.



The amino acid diversity in the influenza biomarkers of viruses recovered from the LPM visited by the first H10N8 patient. Table 3

المنسارات

H10: r	esidues in the 1	receptor binding	sites	
Resid				
ne #	H3 residue #	JXA	Donghu	Animal host H10
88	98	$Y \ 100\%$	Υ	Y 100%
125	134	G 100%	G	G 100%
126	135	T 100%	Τ	T 97.6%,N 0.2%,D 1.5%,A 0.4%
127	136	T 100%	Τ	T 100%
128	137	R 100%	R	K 67.8%,R 20.9%,Q 8.5%,I 0.4%,G 0.6%,E 0.6%
129	138	A 100%	A	A 96.7%,S 3.3%
144	153	W 100%	W	W 100%
176	183	H 100%	Η	H 100%
181	188	I 61%, T 39%	L	T 97.7%,I 0.6%,A 1.7%
182	189	Q 100%	ð	Q 97.5%,K 2.1%,E 0.2%,H 0.2%
183	190	E 100%	Ш	E 100%
184	191	K 100%	K	K 99.6%,R 0.2%,T 0.2%
185	192	N 100%	Z	N 99.6%,S 0.2%,D 0.2%
186	193	D 100%	D	D 97.7%,K 0.2%,N 1.7%,E 0.4%
187	194	L 100%	L	L 100%
188	195	Y 100%	Y	Y 100%
214	221	P 100%	Р	P 100%
215	222	Q 100%	0	Q 99.7%,L 0.2%
216	223	V 100%		V 100%
217	224	N 100%	Z	N 99.4%,D 0.2%,K 0.4%
218	225	G 100%	Ū	G 99.7%,S 0.2%
219	226	Q 100%	0	Q 100%
220	227	${ m S}\;100\%$	S	S 99.2%,G 0.2%,R 0.6%
221	228	G 100%	Ū	G 99.7%,A 0.2%

(continued)
Table 3 (

المنارات المستشارات

1. 014		1	
N8: residues pote	ntially being invo	Ived in oseitar	nivir resistance
H3 resid	due		
Residue # #	JXA	Donghu	Animal host H10
115	I 100%	Ι	I 100%
116	$ m R \ 100\%$	R	I 100%
117	E 100%	Ц	E 100%
134	${ m Q}~100\%$	0	Q 100%
149	D 100%	D	D 100%
150	R 100%	R	R 100%
221	$I \ 100\%$	Ι	I 100%
223	$ m R \ 100\%$	R	R 100%
273	H 100%	Н	H 100%
275	E 100%	Ц	E 100%
291	$ m R \ 100\%$	R	R 100%
293	N 100%	Z	N 100%
312	V 100%	Λ	V 100%
368	$ m R \ 100\%$	R	R 100%
PB2: residues pot	entially increase	viral pathogen	esis and enhance viral transmission
H3 resid	due		

Animal host H10	V 99.6%,M 0.4%	H 99.6%,N 0.4%	E 99.3%,0.7%	D 99.3%,N 0.7%	siency		Animal host H10	V 99.8%,I 0.2%	$L \ 100\%$
Donghu	Λ	Н	K	D	replication effic		Donghu	Λ	L
JXA	V 100%	H 100%	E 100%	D 100%	entially increase 1	ue	JXA	V100%	L 100%
Residue # #	89	357	627	701	PB1: residues pote	H3 resid	Residue # #	473	598

i

للاستشارات

PA: residues potential	ly increase replicat	tion efficienc.	
Residue # H3 residue #	JXA	Donghu	Animal host H10
36	A 100%	Α	A 99.2%, T 0.4%, V 0.2%, S 0.2%
M1: residues potentia	lly increase influen	ıza pathogene	SiS
Residue # H3 residue #	JXA	Donghu	Animal host H10
30	D 100%	D	D 100%
215	A 100%	А	A 100%
M2: residues potentia	lly being involved	in amantadine	e resistance
Residue # H3 residue #	JXA	Donghu	Animal host H10
26	L 100%	L	L 99.8%,I 0.2%
27	V~100%	>	V 98.2%,I 1.8%
30	A 100%	Α	A 100%
31	N 94.1%,S 5.9%	Z	S 99.8%,N 0.2%
34	G 100%	Ū	G 100%
NS1: residues potentia	ally increase viral p	oathogenesis	
Residue # H3 residue #	JXA	Donghu	Animal host H10
42	S 94.4%,A 5.6%	S	S 90.3%, A 9.7%

Analysis of NA gene sequences suggests that these viruses are sensitive to oseltamivir and other neuraminidase inhibitors (208). However, 94.1% of the M2 sequences have S31N (209) indicating that they are resistant to amantadine, as was the human H10N8 isolate (210) (Table 3). All PB2 genes had E627 whereas the PB2 gene of the human H10N8 isolate had K627, which is considered a marker of mammalian adaptation (211) (Table 3).

That we were able to detect H10N8 virus in December and early January following human infection raised the question of how widely this virus might be spread within the LPM system in the region. To estimate this, we conducted serologic surveillance at four additional LPMs in Nanchang city. We collected a total of 800 sera from chickens and ducks at these five LPMs, from February 25, 2014, to March 27, 2014 (Figure 10). These five LPMs cover a geographic area of about 160 square kilometers and the major metropolitan area of Nanchang. Using HI assays with a H10N8 virus isolated from the surveillance described above and a cutoff of 1:20, we found that 9.4% of the 800 sera samples were positive. The H10 positive sera were distributed across all five sampled LPMs and from both ducks and chickens. The highest H10 positive percentile on a single market and a single sampling period (34.0%) was detected on March 9, at LPM A, which the index patient visited (Figure 10). Of note, LPM B located across the Gan River from LPM A, had close to 50% H10 positivity by the end of March. These data showed that H10 viruses were widespread in the region's LPM system. Although we are unable to determine the exact nature of the H10 virus from these serologic studies, two human cases with H10N8 infection in Nanchang (in January and February 2014) are consistent with it being the zoonotic virus. Of these two additional



human cases, the second human case was documented with a visit to a local LPM prior to illness onset whereas the exposure history of the third human case was unclear.



Figure 10 Distribution of H10 seropositive samples collected from February 25 to March 27, 2014 and across five LPMs in Nanchang city.

The seropositive samples were determined by HI assays with a H10N8 virus. LPM A was the one that the first index H10N8 patient visited in November 2013. The highest H10 positive percentile in a single sampling period was marked for each LPM.

Discussion

In summary, we show that the LPM visited by the index H10N8 female patient

was very likely the source of her infection and that the virus appears to have been present

throughout the LPM system in Nanchang, China. However, the findings in this study



were limited by the small number of samples we collected and by the fact that the H10N8 virus prior to the emergence of the first human case was lacking. It is unclear whether these H10N8 viruses were introduced into the LPM system or were generated in the LPMs. Continuous influenza surveillance is needed to monitor the epidemiology of the novel H10N8 virus in Nanchang as well as those areas that share poultry movements with Nanchang. In addition to those minor poultry species, there are a variety of chicken and duck species in the LPM; further studies will be needed to identify the reservoir for the H10N8 virus among these bird species, and such information will be useful for developing effective strategies for prevention and control of the H10N8 virus at the LPM.

AIVs were identified in LPMs in China a few decades ago. The first LPAI H9N2 virus was initially isolated from domestic poultry in 1994 (212), and has since been found to be endemic among domestic poultry in China (213). Besides infecting poultry, this H9N2 virus has caused sporadic infections in humans (176, 203). The H9N2 virus has undergone rapid evolution and contemporary H9N2 viruses are both genetically and antigenically diverse (214-216). The internal gene segments of H9N2 viruses contributed to the genomic diversity of HPAI H5N1 viruses in China (217, 218). The novel LPAI H7N9 viruses emerged in Yangze Delta possess six gene segments derived from H9N2 viruses, and mixed infections of H7N9 and H9N2 viruses are very common (185). This study suggested further that novel H10N8 IAVs were frequently co-infected with H9N2 viruses, and their internal gene constellations were similar to each other. It seems that this diverse genetic pool is potentially more dangerous than any single virus. With any chance to interact with other subtypes of HA and NA genes, novel reassortants could emerge, including some strains that are antigenically distinct from the contemporary human IAVs.



These emerging viruses, including H5N1, H7N9, H9N2, and H10N8, are continuous threats to public health.

A large influenza vaccine campaign against HPAI H5N1 viruses has been conducted in China since 2004. H9N2 vaccines have also been distributed to domestic poultry farms but their implementation has been comparatively less effective. With the substantial subtype and genetic diversity of viruses within LPMs, vaccination is not a realistic strategy to reduce the levels of virus circulation and subsequent zoonotic infections. Other intervention strategies must, therefore, be used to control viral flow into the LPMs. As it seems impossible to close all LPMs simultaneously, more practical policies and approaches such as routine surveillance and regular market disinfection should be urgently implemented.



CHAPTER IV

THE GENESIS OF A NOVEL HPAI H7N8 VIRUS AND THE EVOLUTIONARY PATHWAY LEADING TO OUTBREAK AMONG DOMESTIC POULTRY IN INDIANA, THE UNITED STATES

Introductions of LPAI viruses of subtypes H5/H7 into poultry from wild birds have the potential to mutate to HPAI, but such viruses' origin is often unclear. In January 2016, a novel H7N8 HPAI virus caused an outbreak in turkeys in Indiana, USA. To determine the virus's origin, we sequenced genomes of 441 wild bird–origin IAVs from North America and subjected them to evolutionary analyses. Results showed that the H7N8 LPAI virus most likely circulated among diving ducks in the Mississippi flyway during autumn 2015 and was subsequently introduced to Indiana turkeys, in which it evolved into a HPAI form. Preceding the outbreak, an isolate with six gene segments (except NP and MP) sharing >99% sequence identity with those of H7N8 turkey viruses was recovered from a diving duck. H4N8 IAVs from diving duck possessed five H7N8– like gene segments (PB2, PB1, NA, MP, and NS). Our findings suggest that viral gene constellations circulating among diving ducks can contribute towards the emergence of IAVs that can affect poultry. Diving ducks may serve as a unique reservoir, contributing to the maintenance, diversification, and transmission of IAVs in wild birds.



Introduction

IAVs exist in a complex ecosystem that involves various hosts, including humans, swine, horses, dogs, sea mammals, and numerous wild and domestic bird species. Among these hosts, wild aquatic birds are considered the natural reservoir for IAVs and bird migration plays an important role in the dispersal of IAVs. Interactions of migratory bird at congregation sites enable transmission of IAVs and facilitate genetic diversity through reassortment. Periodic introduction of IAVs from wild birds to domestic poultry contributes to emergence of novel IAV strains that occasionally cause outbreaks among domestic poultry. Following such introductions into domestic poultry, LPAI H5 and H7 viruses have the potential to evolve into HPAI viruses through two mechanisms: 1) acquisition of basic amino acids in the cleavage region of the HA protein by insertion or substitution (188), and 2) recombination with another gene segment(s) or host genome (60, 63, 123). HPAI virus is of particular concern because of the devastating consequence it brings to the poultry industry; in some outbreaks, mortality could be as high as 100%.

The threats brought by the introduction of entire IAVs or IAV gene segments from wild birds to domestic poultry has been repeatedly demonstrated by the emergence of novel HPAIs in the Americas. HPAI H5 viruses caused outbreaks of disease among domestic poultry in 1983 (190), 1994 (191), and 2014 and 2015 (71). In addition to subtype H5 viruses, HPAI H7 viruses have been frequently reported in the Americas. There were four reported HPAI H7N3 outbreaks between 2002 and 2016: one outbreak in Chile (2002) (60), two distinct outbreaks in Canada (2004 and 2007) (61, 62), and one outbreak in Mexico (2012). High mortality among domestic poultry was reported for these four HPAI H7N3 outbreaks. Moreover, HPAI H7N3 strains isolated from the



outbreak in Canada (2004) caused mild respiratory symptoms and conjunctivitis in at least two humans (192). HPAI H7N3 strains from the outbreak in Mexico (2012) caused conjunctivitis in two poultry workers (64).

Studies conducted after outbreaks among domestic poultry have usually been retrospective analyses to determine the identities of the putative precursors of the outbreak strains. However, direct evidence is lacking on the time of emergence, location for reassortment, and wild bird species that contribute to the genesis of a particular HPAI strain. In most cases, the limited surveillance in wild birds prior to the detection of a novel HPAI strain impedes our understanding of the mechanisms underlining the emergence.

On January 15, 2016, The United States Department of Agriculture's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) announced the detection of a novel HPAI H7N8 virus in a commercial turkey flock experiencing significant mortality in Dubois Country, Indiana. APHIS reported the identification of LPAI H7N8 virus in eight turkey flocks in the control area surrounding the location of the initial HPAI case. The detection of this virus represents the first identification of HPAI H7N8 virus in domestic species. The objective of study in this chapter was to understand the molecular mechanisms leading to the emergence of this novel H7N8 virus.

We hypothesized that 1) the H7N8 virus identified in turkeys in Indiana was initially introduced from wild birds and developed high pathogenicity within poultry production systems; 2) genetic analysis of a large number of available contemporary wild bird-origin IAV isolates would indicate what wild bird species and IAVs contributed to the emergence of the HPAI H7N8 virus. In a collaborative effort, our team sequenced



441 IAVs obtained through wild bird influenza surveillance during 2007–2016 across North America. We used the sequence data generated in this study together with the IAV genomes recovered in association with the H7N8 outbreak in Indiana turkey and public sequence data to infer the possible origin(s), evolutionary pathway(s), and transmission route(s) of this novel HPAI H7N8 virus.

Materials and Methods

Viruses

To determine the genetic ancestry of the H7N8 IAV strains associated with the outbreak of disease in Indiana turkeys, we sequenced a collection of 441 archived wild bird–origin IAVs obtained throughout North America from influenza surveillance during 2007–2016 (Figure 11). The wild bird–origin isolates represent strains recovered from Alberta, Canada, and from 38 states within the United States of America. IAVs from migratory birds from the Atlantic, Central, Mississippi, and Pacific flyways were included in our dataset. Complete genomes of H7N8 IAVs detected in Indiana turkeys were downloaded from GenBank: (accession nos. KU558903–KU558910 and KU585905–KU585920). One of the strains was a HPAI virus, A/turkey/Indiana/16-001403-1/2016(H7N8) (Indiana/16-001403-1), and the other two strains were LPAI viruses, A/turkey/Indiana/16-001573-2/2016(H7N8) (Indiana/16-001573-2) and A/turkey/Indiana/16-001574-7/2016(H7N8) (16-001574-7).





🕻 للاستشارات

Geographic, temporal, and taxa distribution of 441 influenza A virus strains subjected to genomic sequencing in this study. Figure 11

number of influenza A virus isolates from each year. (c) Distribution of strains among distinct host groups. Map of North America (a) Red triangles indicate the state/province where the samples were collected. (b) Numbers above each column indicate the with US States, Canadian Provinces, and Mexico by FreeVectorMaps.com, https://freevectormaps.com/world-maps/northamerica/WRLD-NA-02-0003.

Phylogenetic Analyses

Preliminary phylogenetic analyses were performed with the complete genomes of three H7N8 strains originating from turkeys in Indiana and a genomic sequence dataset (219) that represents the two major geographically dependent genetic lineages, North American and Eurasian, for eight gene segments. The next round of phylogenetic analyses were conducted with an integrated dataset that comprised the complete genomes of three H7N8 turkey strains, sequence data for 441 IAVs generated in this study, and sequence data downloaded in May 2016 from the Influenza Research Database (220) for IAVs isolated from wild and domestic birds in the Americas. The number of genomic sequences used in analyses for each gene segment is as follows: PB2 (number=7762), PB1 (n=7813), PA (n=7859), HA (n=1132), NP (n=7327), NA (n=1611), MP (n=7776), and NS (n=7586). In order to perform more detailed analysis on the timing of the emergence of the H7N8 viruses, sequences closely related to the H7N8 turkey strains (referred to henceforth as 'the H7N8–outbreak lineage') were selected for each gene segment from the phylogenetic trees. The number of genomic sequences used in analyses for each gene segment is as follows: PB2 (number=796), PB1 (n=709), PA (n=757), HA (n=721), NP (n=651), NA (n=281), MP (n=742), and NS (n=526).

Gene segment–specific phylogenetic trees were generated using the maximum– likelihood method implemented in RAxML v8.1.17 (221). A general time–reversible model of nucleotide substitution and a gamma–distributed rate variation among sites was applied throughout the analyses. Sequence alignments were performed by using MUSCLE v3.8 (222). We manually examined alignments to ensure accuracy and retained only the coding region for phylogenetic analyses.



Molecular Clock

We estimated the time-scaled phylogenies, nucleotide substitution rate, and time to most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) using the Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo method implemented in BEAST v1.8.0 (223). Genomic sequences with complete sampling dates (exact month, day, year) were selected for each gene segment from the H7N8–outbreak lineage on the basis of phylogenetic trees, and the number of genomic sequences used in analyses for each gene segment is as follows: PB2 (number=268), PB1 (n=227), PA (n=225), HA (n=206), NP (n=224), NA (n=102), MP (n=220), and NS (n=160). We used the SRD06 partitioned substitution model, uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock model, and Bayesian skyline coalescent tree prior in the analyses. For each gene segment, we performed two independent runs with a chain length of 100-300million steps sampled every 10,000 steps (results in >10,000 samples per run). The results were analyzed in Tracer v1.6 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/). Adequate burn-in was determined from the trace of each run, and 2%–10% of the initial steps, representing poor configuration, were removed from further analysis. Convergence of each run and consistency between two runs was assessed, and results from two runs were combined for analyses to ensure an adequate effective sample size (>200) was reached for relevant parameters. The maximum clade credibility trees were summarized with TreeAnnotator v1.8.0 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/TreeAnnotator/) and edited in FigTree v1.4.0 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Discrete Phylogeographic Analyses

The hosts of IAVs in our study were categorized into 11 different groups: dabbling duck, diving duck (including sea duck), goose and swan, gull and tern,



passerine, poultry, raptor, seabird, shorebird, other avian, and other non-avian hosts. In an attempt to keep the number of sequences per host group as balanced as possible, we conducted sub-sampling for sequences within the H7N8-outbreak lineage for each gene segment. For each sampling year, 20 sequences were selected for each host group; if a host group had <20 sequences, all sequences were retained. For the analysis, we used an asymmetric substitution model with the Bayesian Stochastic Search Variable Selection and a strict clock model. Two independent runs of chain length of 150 million steps with sampling every 10,000 steps (results in 15,000 samples per run) were performed for each gene segment. Similar approach for analyzing the resulting log files of molecular clock data was applied to analyze burn-in, convergence of each run, and generate maximum clade credibility phylogenetic trees. Specifically, >10% of initial steps representing poor configuration were removed as burn-in. Mean transition rate and the corresponding indicator were calculated from the resulting log files. Bayes Factor (BF) support was calculated with the indicator to assess statistical support. Significant transition between host groups was determined based on the combination of BF >3 and mean indicator >0.5. Therefore, support levels were defined as support (3≤BF<10); strong support $(10 \le BF \le 100)$; very strong support $(100 \le BF \le 1,000)$; and decisive support $(BF \ge 1,000)$.

Phylogenetic Network

A phylogenetic network was reconstructed using the quartet–based method implemented in QuartetMethods (224). A sequence dataset was built by concatenating the sequences of six internal genes for 6,693 IAVs isolated from wild and domestic birds in the Americas. The sequences of six internal genes of H7N8 HPAI strain were concatenated and the resulting sequence was BLASTed (201) against the dataset. Fifty



www.manaraa.com

IAV strains that were most closely related to the H7N8 HPAI stain were selected and included in the analysis.

Genotyping

Genotypes were defined on the basis of the gene segment–specific phylogenetic trees for H7N8 turkey strains and 135 H4N8 IAV strains. A monophyletic clade was identified by two criteria: 1) it was supported by a bootstrap value above 70, and 2) all sequences in the clade had nucleotide sequence identities >98%, as determined by using the hierarchical clustering method implemented in R (https://www.r-project.org/). We implemented a stringent cutoff of 98% to identify IAV strains closely related to the H7N8 turkey strains. The genotype of a genome is the combination of the cluster assignment of eight gene segments.

Results

H7N8 Virus Originated From IAVs in North American Wild Birds

To infer the ancestry of each gene segment of the H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys, we first performed preliminary phylogenetic analyses with a collection of representative genomic sequences to identify the major genetic lineage to which the H7N8 turkey strains belong. Phylogenetic trees support two geographically dependent lineages of IAV (North American and Eurasian) for eight gene segments (Figure 12). The H7N8 turkey strains were found to share genetic ancestry with IAVs from North America for all eight gene segments.





Figure 12 Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for eight gene segments of H7N8 turkey strains and influenza A viruses representing North American and Eurasian genetic lineages.

H7N8 strains associated with the outbreak of disease in Indiana turkeys are indicated by red circles. In (h), black bars indicate two alleles for the NS gene segment.



We then performed phylogenetic analyses, focusing on genomic sequences of IAVs isolated from wild and domestic birds in the Americas. The phylogenetic tree for the H7 gene showed that IAV strains from the Americas could be divided into two genetic sub–lineages: those from North America and those from South America (Phylogenetic_trees_of_IAVs_isolated_from_wild_and_domestic_birds_in_the_America s.pdf). The South American sub–lineage included H7N3 HPAI viruses isolated during an outbreak in Chile in 2002. Three major genetic clusters were identified in the North American sub–lineage: cluster I was comprised of viruses isolated from wild and domestic birds in North America during the 1970s to the early 1990s; cluster II contained H7N2 IAVs isolated from the live–poultry markets in the northeastern United States during1994–2006; cluster III represents contemporary H7 IAVs circulating in North America, including viruses isolated from wild and domestic birds. The novel H7N8 turkey strains grouped with H7 viruses in cluster III and were most closely related to IAV strains isolated from wild birds

(Phylogenetic_trees_of_IAVs_isolated_from_wild_and_domestic_birds_in_the_America s.pdf, Time_scale_phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf). The H7N3 HPAI viruses isolated during three previous outbreaks in North America also grouped with contemporary H7 IAVs in cluster III; however, these viruses were associated with monophyletic clades divergent from the clade containing H7N8 turkey strains. Phylogenetic analyses of the other seven gene segments also showed that the H7N8 turkey strains clustered with North American wild bird–origin IAV strains and in monophyletic clades different from those containing sequences for H7N3 HPAI viruses (Phylogenetic_trees_of_IAVs_isolated_from_wild_and_domestic_birds_in_the_America



s.pdf, Time_scale_phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf). Moreover, in the phylogenetic trees for eight gene segments, no poultry–origin IAV gene segments were found to be closely related to the H7N8 turkey strains.

To infer the more detailed evolutionary history of the novel H7N8 viruses, we conducted molecular clock analyses for each gene segment using sequences in the clades containing the H7N8 turkey strains and those most closely related strains. The resulting time-scale maximum clade credibility phylogenetic trees were used to identify viruses most closely related to H7N8 turkey strains for each gene segment (Figure 13, Time scale phylogenetic trees for eight gene segments.pdf). The most closely related gene segments to H7N8 turkey strains originated from a single isolate, A/Lesser scaup/Kentucky/AH0012935/2015(H7N8) (Kentucky/AH0012935), for six gene segments (PB2, PB1, PA, HA, NA, and NS) (Table 4). This isolate was recovered from a sample collected in Kentucky, USA, on November 28, 2015, approximately seven weeks before the outbreak and approximately 200 kilometers from the Indiana turkey farm where outbreak was detected. The close relatedness between Kentucky/AH0012935 and the novel H7N8 HPAI strain in six gene segments was supported by high nucleotide sequence identities, ranging from 99.00% (HA gene) to 99.95% (PA gene) (Table 4). The NP gene segments of two isolates recovered from samples collected in Ohio, on October 18, 2014, A/Northern pintail/Ohio/14OS2209/2014(H5N9) (Ohio/14OS2209) and A/Northern pintail/Ohio/14OS2210/2014(H5N9) (Ohio/14OS2210), were most closely related (98.40% nucleotide sequence identity) to the NP gene of the novel H7N8 HPAI strain (Table 4). The MP gene segment of A/Bufflehead/Illinois/14OS3567/2014(H4N8)



was most closely related (99.19% nucleotide sequence identity) to that of the H7N8 HPAI strain (Table 4).





Time_scale_phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf. H7N8 strains associated with the outbreak of disease in Indiana turkeys are indicated by a red box and the highly pathogenic strain is marked with an asterisk. Clade posterior probabilities \geq The trees are constructed on the basis of the maximum clade credibility phylogenetic trees shown in 0.7 are shown. Horizontal bars indicate 95% highest posterior density of age estimates of tree nodes.

77

🞽 للاستشارات

Table 4Most closely related genes to eight gene segments of the novel highly
pathogenic avian influenza strain A/turkey/Indiana/16-001403-
1/2016(H7N8).

Segment	Virus	Sequence Identity	Subtype	Sampling Date
PB2	A/Lesser scaup/Kentucky/AH0012935/2015	0.9987	H7N8	11-28-2015
PB1	A/Lesser scaup/Kentucky/AH0012935/2015	0.9987	H7N8	11-28-2015
PA	A/Lesser scaup/Kentucky/AH0012935/2015	0.9995	H7N8	11-28-2015
HA	A/Lessers caup/Kentucky/AH0012935/2015	0.9900	H7N8	11-28-2015
NP	A/Northern pintail/Ohio/14OS2209/2014 A/Northern pintail/Ohio/14OS2210/2014	0.9840	H5N9	10-18-2014
NA	A/Lesser scaup/Kentucky/AH0012935/2015	0.9979	H7N8	11-28-2015
MP	A/Bufflehead/Illinois/14OS3567/2014	0.9919	H4N8	11-15-2014
NS	A/Lesser scaup/Kentucky/AH0012935/2015	0.9952	H7N8	11-28-2015

Three H7N8 turkey strains were estimated to share a common ancestor among eight gene segments between March 2015 (MP gene) and December 2015 (HA gene) (Figure 14, Table 5). The mean estimated TMRCAs for seven of eight gene segments (excluding MP gene) was summer and fall (30 June–4 December) of 2015, which overlaps the late breeding and autumn migration period of many wild birds inhabiting North America. The HA gene was estimated to have the latest TMRCA (mean December 4, 2015, 95% highest posterior density [HPD] October 30, 2014–January 4, 2016), which is close to the time when Kentucky/AH0012935 was collected. The mean evolutionary rate for the H7 gene was estimated to be 6.55 x 10–3 substitutions per site per year (95% HPD, 5.74–7.52 x 10–3), which is significantly higher than that for the other seven gene segments (Figure 14, Table 5).





Figure 14 Estimated time to most recent common ancestor and nucleotide substitution rates for eight gene segments of H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys.

Green circles indicate the estimated mean date (a) or rate (b) and grey bars indicate 95% highest posterior density. Blue circles indicate the sample collection time of influenza A virus isolate containing the most closely related gene segment to the H7N8 turkey strains. Red dash line indicates the sample collection time of the highly pathogenic avian influenza H7N8 virus isolated from Indiana turkeys.

	(Substitution ra x10 ⁻³ subs/site/y	te ear)		TMRCA	
Segment	Mean	95% HPD lower	95% HPD upper	Mean	95% HPD lower	95% HPD upper
PB2	2.99	2.69	3.29	2015-08-22	2015-04-30	2015-11-25
PB1	3.39	3.03	3.77	2015-09-22	2015-06-21	2015-12-13
PA	2.66	2.28	3.06	2015-11-06	2015-09-01	2015-12-28
HA	6.55	5.74	7.52	2015-12-04	2015-10-30	2016-01-04
NP	2.89	2.53	3.27	2015-08-07	2015-02-28	2015-12-13
NA	2.28	1.90	2.69	2015-08-27	2015-04-02	2015-12-24
MP	2.28	1.89	2.70	2015-03-31	2014-06-28	2015-11-13
NS	2.00	1.56	2.46	2015-06-30	2014-11-30	2015-12-24

Table 5	Estimated time to most recent common ancestor and nucleotide substitution
	rates for eight gene segments of H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys.



The sampling time of the most closely related strain for NP gene segment does not overlap with the estimated TMRCA (between February 28, 2015 and August 7, 2015) (Figure 14, Table 5), suggesting a gap in surveillance and that neither Ohio/14OS2209 nor Ohio/14OS2210 are the direct predecessor of H7N8 turkey strains. This observation is consistent with the genetic distance separating H7N8 turkey strains from other viruses in the NP phylogenetic tree

(Time_scale_phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf).

Gene Constellation of H4N8 Virus in Diving Ducks Contributed to Emergence of The Novel H7N8 Virus

We investigated possible genetic events that contributed to generation of the H7N8 precursor virus in wild birds. The phylogenetic network showed that, in addition to Kentucky/AH0012935, a group of H4N8 IAVs isolated from diving ducks (referred to as H4N8–DD) during 2011–2014 were closely related, across six gene segments, to the H7N8 turkey strains (Figure 15). Further examination of the eight gene segment–specific phylogenetic trees indicated that the H4N8–DD viruses had five gene segments (PB2, PB1, NA, MP, and NS) closely clustered with the H7N8 turkey strains. The NA, MP, and NS genes of H4N8–DD viruses consistently formed a monophyletic clade with those of the H7N8 turkey strains in phylogenetic trees (Figure 13, Time_scale_phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf). The PB2 gene of H4N8–

DD viruses isolated in 2014 and that of the H7N8 turkey strains, and PB1 gene of H4N8-

DD viruses isolated in 2012 and 2014 and that of the H7N8 turkey strains were

phylogenetically closely related

(Time_scale_phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf).







The network was reconstructed by concatenating sequences of six internal gene segments for each virus strain. Strain names are color-coded with the host group colors of Figure 13. The highly pathogenic H7N8 strain detected in Indiana turkey is marked with an asterisk. H4N8 influenza A viruses isolated from diving ducks in 2011, 2012, and 2014 are indicated by purple, blue, and green shade, respectively. Host species are: AGWT (american green winged teal), BUFF (bufflehead), BWTE (blue winged teal), COGO (common goldeneye), GRSC (greater scaup), LESC (lesser scaup), MALL (mallard), NOSH (northern shoveler), TK (turkey), and WODU (wood duck). Geographic locations are: IL (Illinois), IN (Indiana), KY (Kentucky), LA (Louisiana), MN (Minnesota), OH (Ohio), TX (Texas), and WI (Wisconsin).



To better understand the contribution of H4N8–DD viruses to the generation of the H7N8 precursor virus, we assigned genotypes to H4N8 IAVs based on the results of phylogenetic analyses. We analyzed the temporal dynamics of gene constellations that possessed at least one gene segment that was assigned to the same cluster as a gene segment in the H7N8 turkey strains. Gene constellation A possessed three gene segments (NA, MP, and NS) that were of the same genotype as those in the H7N8 turkey strains; this constellation was first detected at the end of 2011 and persisted for the next three years (Figure 16). Gene constellation B possessed the same three H7N8–like gene segments as constellation A plus an H7N8–like PB1 gene segment; this constellation was first detected at the end of 2012 and continued to exist in H4N8–DD viruses isolated in 2014. Gene constellation C possessed five H7N8–like gene segments (PB2, PB1, NA, MP, and NS) and was first detected in late 2014. The close genetic relationship between H4N8–DD viruses and the H7N8 HPAI turkey strain at these five gene segments was supported by high nucleotide sequence identities (>99.00%) (Table 6). Taken together, these results provide evidence that gene constellations of H4N8 IAVs isolated primarily from diving ducks contributed to the generation of a H7N8 precursor virus in wild birds. Furthermore, a relatively persistent gene constellation possessing H7N8–like gene segments emerged in or before 2011 and apparently acquired additional gene segments closely related to the H7N8 outbreak strain during 2011–2014.





Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree for N8 gene segment of the H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys and H4N8 influenza A viruses isolated from wild birds. Figure 16

H7N8 strains associated with the outbreak of disease in Indiana turkeys are indicated in red and the highly pathogenic strain is marked with an asterisk. Genotype of each strain is shown to the right of the tree, with eight columns of blocks representing H7N8 turkey strains in genotyping analysis. Three gene constellations, A, B, and C are indicated by purple, blue, and green assignment and color code among different columns are independent. Grey indicates gene segment that are not same to the eight gene segments (from left to right, PB2, PB1, PA, HA, NP, NA, MP, and NS). Distinct colors denote the cluster oox, respectively.



ducks.									
Virus	Subtype	Sampling date	PB2	PB1	ΡA	NP	NA	MP	NS
A/turkey/Indiana/16-001403-1/2016	H7N8	1-14-2016							
A/lesser scaup/Kentucky/AH0012935/2015	H7N8	11-28-2015	0.9987	0.9987	0.9995	0.9305	0.9979	0.9745	0.9952
A/bufflehead/ Illinois /140S3609/2014	H4N8	11-16-2014	0.9947	0.9943	0.9786	0.9352	0.9908	0.9888	0.9917
A/ bufflehead /Illinois/140S3567/2014	H4N8	11-15-2014	0.9969	0.9965	0.9791	0.9352	0.9958	0.9919	0.9952
A/bufflehead/Illinois/12OS4798/2012	N8	11-23-2012	0.9776	0.9947	0.9809	0.9315	0.9946	0.9929	0.9928
A/bufflehead/California/3118/2011	H4N8	12-7-2011	0.9794	0.9736	0.9066	0.9446	0.9915	0.9919	0.9881
A/common goldeneye/Wisconsin/110S5764/2011	H4N8	12-4-2011	0.9790	0.9776	0.9823	0.9299	0.9915	0.9919	0.9881
A/common goldeneye/Wisconsin/110S4503/2011	H4N8	11-20-2011	0.9798	0.9776	0.9828	0.9292	0.9915	0.9929	0.9869
A/bufflehead/Wisconsin/110S3570/2011	H4N8	10-30-2011	0.9790	0.9780	0.9805	0.9285	0.9767	0.9929	0.9881
A/bufflehead/Illinois/4016/2009	H4N8	11-28-2009	0.9000	0.9701	0.9154	0.9886	0.9844	0.9786	0.9451

Sequence identity between A/turkey/Indiana/16-001403-1/2016 and influenza A virus strains isolated from diving Table 6

المنسلة للاستشارات

IAVs From Diving Ducks Are The Probable Genetic Source of The H7N8 Virus in Indiana Turkeys.

Our phylogenetic and genotyping analyses provided evidence that IAVs isolated from diving ducks may have contributed to the generation of the H7N8 virus that was detected in Indiana turkeys. Using discrete phylogeographic analyses, we sought to further understand if diving ducks were the most likely source of H7N8 turkey strains. Results showed significant diffusion pathways from diving ducks to domestic turkey flocks in Indiana for seven gene segments: transition with decisive support was observed for the NS gene segment; strongly supported transition was observed for the PB2, PB1, HA, and NA gene segments; and supported transition was observed for the PA and MP gene segments (Figure 17, Table 7, Phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf). No significant transition for NP gene segment was observed between any wild bird species and Indiana turkeys (Figure 17, Table 7,

Phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf). In total, the resulting transition patterns suggested IAV gene flow from diving ducks to Indiana turkeys and provide evidence that IAVs from diving ducks were the most likely genetic source of the H7N8 virus that was detected in turkeys in Indiana.

To gain insight into the gene flow pattern of IAVs among various wild bird species, we examined the diffusion pathways among different host groups. Bidirectional transmission was observed between dabbling duck and diving duck, and between gull/tern and shorebird; and gene transmission from diving duck to goose/swan was also observed (Table 8), suggesting extensive mixing of IAVs between these bird species groups in wild birds.





Figure 17 Diffusion of influenza A virus between different host groups of wild birds and Indiana turkey.











Figure 17 (continued)

(a) - (h) are maximum clade credibility phylogenetic trees for eight gene segments. The trees are constructed on the basis of the maximum clade credibility phylogenetic trees shown in Phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf. (I) summarizes the diffusion pathway of influenza A virus between diving duck and Indiana turkey.



Segment	Host group	Transition rate	Indicator	Bayes factor	Support level	
PB2	Diving duck	0.70	0.82	24.12	Strong support	
PB1	Diving duck	0.57	0.73	14.39	Strong support	
PA	Diving duck	0.73	0.65	9.99	Support	
HA	Diving duck	0.93	0.80	24.81	Strong support	
NP	No significant transition was observed					
NA	Diving duck	0.44	0.92	37.89	Strong support	
MP	Diving duck	0.68	0.55	3.91	Support	
NS	Diving duck	0.35	1.00	1045.21	Decisive	

Table 7Diffusion pathway of influenza A virus between wild birds and Indian
turkeys.



شارات							
, للاست							
äj	Table 8	Diffusion pathwa	ıy of influenza A v	irus among differ	ent host group	s in wild birds	
	Segment	Bird-A	Bird-B	Transition rate	Indicator	Bayes factor	Support level
		Dabbling duck	Diving duck	0.54	0.73	14.51	Strong support
i	PB2	Gull Tern	Shorebird	1.19	0.55	6.50	Support
		Shorebird	Gull Tern	1.05	0.52	5.61	Support
	PB1	Dabbling duck	Diving duck	0.34	1.00	1113.82	Decisive
		Dabbling duck	Diving duck	0.62	1.00	142441.30	Decisive
	PA	Diving duck	Dabbling duck	1.84	0.66	10.46	Strong support
		Diving duck	Goose Swan	2.13	0.97	192.56	Very strong support
		Dabbling duck	Diving duck	0.28	0.94	100.76	Very strong support
	HA	Diving duck	Goose Swan	1.14	0.57	8.49	Support
		Shorebird	Gull Tern	0.93	1.00	50232.67	Decisive
	ал 90	Dabbling duck	Diving duck	0.24	0.92	62.62	Strong support
		Diving duck	Dabbling duck	1.36	0.57	6.85	Support
	NA	Dabbling duck	Diving duck	0.39	0.83	15.97	Strong support
	4	Diving duck	Dabbling duck	1.13	0.74	9.33	Support
	MP	Dabbling duck	Diving duck	0.35	0.94	53.01	Strong support
		Diving duck	Dabbling duck	1.11	0.56	4.07	Support

•	Ы	
	σ	
	5	
	2	
	Ħ	
	2	
	8	
	2	
	0	
	H	
	ω	
	÷	
	$\mathbf{\tilde{s}}$	
	0	
,	Ч	
	ب	
	5	
	Ľ	
	Ð.	
- 2	+	
	Ξ.	
,	.	
	<u> </u>	
	20)
	Ц	
	Ö	
	E.	
	b	
	24	
	~	
	<u> </u>	
	н	
•	VII	
•	VII	
•	A VII	
•	I A VII	
	a A vir	
	iza A vif	
	nza A vir	
	enza A vir	
	uenza A vir	
	fluenza A vir	
,	ntluenza A vir	
, ,	influenza A vir	
	influenza A vir	
	of influenza A vir	
	of influenza A vir	
	y of influenza A vir	
	ay of influenza A vir	
	vay of influenza A vir	•
	way of influenza A vir	•
	hway of influenza A vir	•
	athway of influenza A vir	
	bathway of influenza A vir	•
	pathway of influenza A vir	
	n pathway of influenza A vir	
	on pathway of influenza A vir	
	ion pathway of influenza A vir	
	sion pathway of influenza A vir	
	usion pathway of influenza A vir	
	tusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	iffusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	Diffusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	Diffusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	Diffusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	Diffusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	Diffusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	Diffusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	8 Diffusion pathway of influenza A vir	
	s 8 Diffusion pathway of influenza A vir	

www.manaraa.com
H7N8 LPAI Virus Evolved Into An HPAI Strain In Turkeys

We also investigated how the H7N8 virus evolved into a highly pathogenic form after its introduction into domestic turkeys. The cleavability of HA protein is considered a major determinant of pathogenicity, although the pathogenicity of IAVs is polygenic. We investigated the sequence in the cleavage region of the HA protein of H7N8 turkey strains. Indiana/16-001403-1 has a three basic amino acids (KRK) insert at the cleavage site that results in a protein sequence motif: PENPKKRKTRGLF (Figure 18). The other two H7N8 turkey strains, Indiana/16-001573-2 and Indiana/16-001574-7, have an identical and typical LPAI cleavage site with no insert. Analyses of H7 HPAI strains from previous outbreaks in the Americas identified multiple insertion patterns and insertions of six to ten amino acids (Figure 18). Comparison showed that the novel H7N8 HPAI strain has a unique cleavage site different from earlier H7 HPAI strains in the Americas.

Comparison of the genome of H7N8 HPAI strain and those of the two H7N8 LPAI turkey strains identified five amino acid substitutions in five distinct proteins (Figure 19). Moreover, five amino acid substitutions in three different proteins were detected between the genome of three H7N8 turkey strains and that of Kentucky/AH0012935 (Figure 19). The profile of three amino acid positions (E105K, F260L, and E278K [H3 numbering]; 95, 251, and 269 [H7 numbering]) in the HA1 protein were analyzed for all H7 IAVs isolated from wild and domestic birds in the influenza database. It is interesting that amino acid K at position 278 [H3 numbering] in the HA1 protein was observed in one poultry–origin isolate,

A/chicken/Guanajuato/07437-15/2015(H7N3), which presents multiple basic amino acids

91

المنسارات



in the HA protein cleavage region. Amino acid K was also observed in six H7N3 HPAI strains isolated during an outbreak in Pakistan in 2004. These amino acid substitutions were potentially linked to the host adaptation of H7N8 viruses from wild waterfowl to turkey and evolution from low pathogenic to highly pathogenic form.

							Cle	avag	ge re	egio	n					,	ł		
A/Citl/Bolivia/4537/01(H7N3)	ΡE	К	Ρ	К	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/Chile/4957/02(H7N3)	ΡE	Κ	Р	Κ	Т	С	S	Р	L	S	R	С	R	K	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/Chile/184240-1/02(H7N3)	ΡE	Κ	Ρ	Κ	Т	С	S	Р	L	S	R	С	R	Ε	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Bwt/TX/578585/02(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/BC/NS-1319-2/04(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	Q	А	Y	Н	K	R	Μ	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/BC/NS-2035-12/04(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	Q	А	С	Q	K	R	Μ	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/BC/NS-1479-1/04(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	R	-	-	-	Q	А	Y	R	K	R	Μ	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Canada/rv504/04(H7N3)	P E	Ν	Р	Κ	-	-	-	Q	А	Y	Q	K	R	Μ	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/BC/CN-7/04(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	Q	А	Y	R	Κ	R	Μ	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/BC/04(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	Q	А	Y	Q	K	Q	М	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/BC/NS1337-1/04(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Р	Κ	-	-	-	Q	А	Y	K	K	R	Μ	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/BC/CN12/04(H7N3)	P E	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	Q	А	Η	Q	K	R	М	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Gadw/AZ/A00663934/09(H7N7)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/SK/HR-00011/07(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Р	Κ	-	-	-	-	Т	Т	K	Ρ	R	Ρ	R	R	G	L	F
A/Redh/OH/13OS0406/13(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Р	Κ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Τ	R	G	L	F
A/Ck/Jalisco/12283/12(H7N3)	ΡE	Ν	Р	Κ	-	-	D	R	K	S	R	Η	R	R	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Mexico/InDRE7218/12(H7N3)	P E	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	D	R	K	S	R	Η	R	R	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Lesc/KY/AH0012935/15(H7N8)	ΡE	Ν	Р	Κ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Tk/IN/16-001403-1/16(H7N8)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	K	R	K	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Tk/IN/16-001573-2/16(H7N8)	ΡE	Ν	Р	Κ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	R	G	L	F
A/Tk/IN/16-001574-7/16(H7N8)	ΡE	Ν	Ρ	Κ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Т	R	G	L	F

Figure 18 Insertion patterns in the HA cleavage region of the H7 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys and those from four previous outbreaks in the Americas.

The H7N8 viruses detected in Indiana turkeys are indicated in red, highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses isolated from previous outbreaks are indicated in green. Wild bird-origin influenza A viruses containing HA gene segment that is most closely related to outbreak strains are indicated in black. Insert of basic amino acids are color-coded accordingly. Host species are: BWTE (blue winged teal), CITE (cinnamon teal), CK (chicken), GADW (gadwall), LESC (lesser scaup), REDH (redhead), and TK (turkey). Geographic locations are: AZ (Arizona), BC (British Columbia), IN (Indiana), KY (Kentucky), OH (Ohio), SK (Saskatchewan), and TX (Texas).



	PB2	PA	HA	NP	NA	M1	NS1
	54 740	347	105 260 278	377	357	609	90
A/Lesc/KY/AH0012935/15(H7N8)	R D	D	E F E	S	V	G	L
A/Tk/IN/16-001403-1/16(H7N8)	K N	G	K L K	Ν	L	Α	
A/Tk/IN/16-001573-2/16(H7N8)	KD	D	K L K	S	V	G	
A/Tk/IN/16-001574-7/16(H7N8)	KD	D	K L K	S	V	G	

Figure 19 Amino acid substitutions among eight gene segments of the H7N8 strains detected in Indiana turkey.

The position of amino acid substitution between Kentucky/AH0012935 and the H7N8 turkey strains are shown in bold, and other positions represent amino acid substitutions between the highly pathogenic avian influenza H7N8 strain and two low pathogenic avian influenza H7N8 strains associated with the outbreak of disease in Indiana turkeys. Amino acids are colored-coded on the basis of Rasmol coloring scheme in CLC sequence Viewer 7, and colors represent the different properties of amino acids. Host species are: LESC (lesser scaup) and TK (turkey). Geographic locations are: IN (Indiana) and KY (Kentucky).

Discussion

Introduction of IAVs from wild birds to domestic poultry presents a continuous threat to livestock health. In this study, we provided evidence that the H7N8 virus associated with the outbreak of disease in Indiana turkeys was generated through a series of genetic events likely occurring in wild birds and subsequently introduced into an Indiana turkey flock, where the virus evolved into a highly pathogenic form.

We propose one possible evolutionary model leading to the generation of H7N8 HPAI virus in Indiana turkeys on the basis of available evidence and emphasize that other models cannot be excluded. The H7N8 precursor virus may have been generated in wild birds through two phase sequential reassortment events (Figure 20). The first phase of reassortment may have occurred between H4N8–DD viruses and other IAVs circulating in North American wild birds during 2011–2014, generating



A/Bufflehead/Illinois/14OS3609/2014–like virus, possessing five H7N8–like gene segments (PB2, PB1, NA, MP, and NS), in diving ducks. In the second phase, further reassortment between A/Bufflehead/Illinois/14OS3609/2014-like virus and locally circulating IAVs in wild birds that have H7N8–like PA, HA, and NP gene segments lead to the generation of H7N8 precursor virus. Although the direct predecessor virus of the H7N8 turkey viruses could not be identified, we identified one IAV strain that possess six gene segments most closely related to that of the H7N8 HPAI virus, with nucleotide sequence identity \geq 99.00%, in a diving duck sampled in Kentucky. IAVs that are most closely related to the H7N8 turkey strains, including Kentucky/AH0012935 and H4N8-DD isolates, were mainly recovered from samples collected in Kentucky, Wisconsin, Illinois, Ohio, and Louisiana, all of which are included within the Mississippi flyway. In addition, sample collection sites for IAVs isolated from diving ducks included locations within all four major flyways of North America (Figure 21). Thus, we predict that the reassortment events leading to the generation of H7N8 precursor viruses likely occurred within the Mississippi flyway. Regarding the time of introduction of H7N8 virus to Indiana turkeys, we observed that the TMRCAs for three H7N8 turkey strains among seven of eight gene segments were summer and fall of 2015. In North America, waterfowl generally begin staging for autumn migration in July and August. Southern migration begins as early as August for blue-winged teal and for most waterfowl in late September, October, and early November. It was likely that waterfowl, including diving ducks, may have carried the H7N8 precursor virus during their southern migration and introduced this virus to Indiana turkeys through an unidentified interface between wild waterfowl and domestic poultry. After introduction to Indiana turkeys, H7N8 LPAI virus



evolved into highly pathogenic form through acquisition of three basic amino acids (KRK) in the cleavage region of the HA protein.







pathogenic avian influenza, and LPAI = low pathogenic avian influenza. A, B, and C indicate three gene constellations shown in Figure 16. Influenza A viruses are represented by ovals containing horizontal bars that indicate eight gene segments (from top to bottom, PB2, PB1, hypothetical virus strains. A broken bar in segment four (HA) indicates insert of basic amino acids at the cleavage site. HPAI = highly PA, HA, NP, NA, MP, and NS). Solid ovals represent virus strains isolated from wild and domestic birds, and broken ovals represent Genetic events occurring in wild and domestic birds are indicated by blue and red shaded boxes, respectively.

96

🟅 للاستشارات



Figure 21 Statistics of influenza A viruses isolated from wild and domestic birds in the Americas.

(a) Distribution of 8,845 influenza A virus strains isolated from wild and domestic birds in the Americas among different bird groups. (b) Distribution of 133 influenza A virus strains isolated from American diving ducks among four bird migratory flyways and South America. (c) Distribution of 133 influenza A virus strains isolated from American diving ducks among different sampling years.

Worldwide, LPAI viruses have been recovered from at least 105 wild bird species representing 26 different taxonomic families (33). Most of the 26 families are in the Order Anseriformes (including ducks, geese, and swans), followed by the Order Charadriiformes (including shorebirds and gulls); both are considered major natural reservoirs for IAVs (4). Among these bird species, shorebirds and gulls were previously suggested to be the source of the precursor of H5 and H7 HPAI viruses in North America



(225). A more recent study indicated that the precursors of H7N3 HPAI viruses in the Americas most likely originated from wild waterfowl, particularly dabbling ducks (194). In Eurasia, precursors of H7 HPAI viruses were generally found in dabbling ducks (195). Our findings add to the knowledge supporting that introduction of IAVs from wild birds plays an important role in the emergence of IAVs in domestic poultry. This study differs from previous work, though, in providing evidence that diving ducks may also contribute to the emergence of a HPAI strain as compared to dabbling ducks, shorebirds and gulls, and other bird species. Diving ducks appeared to have contributed five gene segments (PB2, PB1, NA, MP, and NS) to the novel H7N8 virus, may have harbored the H7N8 LPAI precursor virus; however, the mechanism of exposure to Indiana turkeys remains unclear. The behavior of diving ducks may contribute to the long-term perpetuation of specific IAV genes or strains. Compared with dabbling ducks and many other birds, diving ducks utilize open water habitats that can include deeper water. It has been shown that IAVs may remain infectious in water for several months (41), but infectivity is adversely affected by repeated freeze-thaw cycles that would be more likely to occur at the shallower water utilized by dabbling ducks (226). In aquatic habitats highly contaminated by waterfowl feces, deeper water habitats that undergo limited or no freezethaw cycles could also increase the risk for IAV co-infection, which could lead to virus reassortment and the generation of novel IAV strains as occurred with the H7N8 precursor viruses.

Mutation and reassortment have been two major mechanisms for IAVs to maintain circulation and expand host ranges. Reassortment events have been well documented for IAVs that infect humans, swine, equine, canines, and wild and domestic



birds. Persistence of a gene constellation has been shown to be associated with emergence of novel IAV strains that infect mammals. The existence of triple-reassortant internal gene constellations has been well established in multiple subtypes of IAVs that infect swine, and such constellations contributed to the genesis of the pandemic influenza A(H1N1) pdm09 virus (95). This internal gene constellation was suggested to possess a selective advantage over other strains (227). In contrast, IAVs that infect wild birds generally form diverse and transient genotypes through reassortment of functionally equivalent gene segments (48). Specific studies devoted to H7 IAVs in wild birds inhabiting North America and Eurasia found similar patterns (196, 219). In addition, extensive gene flow between IAVs in North American wild birds could further facilitate genetic diversity, although the migratory flyway and geographic distance may impose short-term restrictions on gene flow (228, 229). We observed that as many as five H7N8– like gene segments (PB2, PB1, NA, MP, and NS) formed a relatively persistent gene constellation in H4N8 IAVs isolated from diving ducks. This finding suggests that reassortment between IAVs in wild birds may not be random: some gene segments may be more likely to form specific linkages within the context of diverse and transient genotypes. Alternatively, reassortment may be restricted in some groups of birds, like diving ducks, if prevalence and the probability of infection with two or more viruses is unlikely. The significance of the relatively persistent gene constellation, within the context of transient genotypes of IAVs in wild birds, observed in this study is not known.

Influenza surveillance in wild birds is critical for understanding the natural history of IAVs and assessing the ancestry of IAVs infecting domestic poultry, however, the existing surveillance system in wild birds could have led to considerable bias toward bird



species that are present in large numbers in nature or that are easily caught (33). Diving ducks are underrepresented in both historic and current IAV surveillance. We investigated the host origin of genomic sequences deposited in the Influenza Research Database (220) and found that diving duck-origin strains comprise around 1.50% of the total number of IAV strains isolated from wild and domestic birds in the Americas (Figure 21). Among the 441 IAV strains that we sequenced in this study, only six originated from diving ducks (Figure 11). In addition, data from a large scale surveillance program in wild birds throughout the United States showed that, among the 197,885 samples collected from over 200 wild bird species (2007–2011), diving ducks accounted for only 7.72% of all samples collected and 3.58% of the IAV positive samples determined by M gene based rRT-PCR; dabbling ducks accounted for 62.73% of the samples collected and 86.44% of the IAV positive samples (230). Although data from the same study showed that the IAV positive rate for diving ducks was 5.30% compared to 15.80% for dabbling ducks, our study highlights the contribution of diving ducks to the emergence of the novel H7N8 virus and suggests that diving ducks may serve as a potentially unique IAV reservoir or uniquely contribute to the maintenance, diversification, and transmission of IAVs in wild birds. Thus, we recommend additional surveillance sampling of diving ducks and other bird species of specific ecological significance for IAVs, but acknowledge the difficulty in obtaining these samples from hunter harvested birds since dabblers are usually the preferred bird species of hunters. Moreover, we observed a relatively persistent gene constellation that may be associated with the emergence of the novel H7N8 virus. Genome sequencing and characterization of gene constellation of IAVs in wild birds could serve as a valuable component of a



successful surveillance strategy. The genomes of 441 wild bird–origin IAVs were sequenced in a collaborative effort in this study. This large number of IAV isolates and sequences enabled us to conduct detailed evolutionary analyses and revealed a few key aspects regarding the evolutionary pathway leading to the novel H7N8 virus. The experience from this study demonstrates the need for a coordinated, systematic, and collaborative approach to active surveillance in wild birds.

In summary, our investigation provides information on the putative viral ancestors, possible evolutionary pathways, and probable host species involved in the emergence of a novel H7N8 virus that caused outbreaks among turkey flocks in Indiana during 2016. Our findings indicate that diving ducks contributed to the emergence of the novel H7N8 virus and may contribute to the maintenance, diversification, and transmission of IAVs in wild birds. The repeated introduction of IAVs into domestic poultry from wild birds highlights important gaps in existing biosecurity systems and provides evidence that surveillance in wild birds can be useful for understanding possible evolutionary pathways of emergence.



CHAPTER V

THE ANTIGENIC DIVERSITY AND GENETIC EVOLUTION OF CONTEMPORARY H7 AIVS FROM NORTH AMERICA DURING 1971 to 2012

H7 AIVs have caused at least 500 confirmed human infections since 2003 and culling of more than 75 million birds in recent years. Vaccine could serve as a valuable component in a successful AIV control strategy, and antigenic match between vaccine seed strain and circulating viral strains is one of the keys to a successful vaccination program. However, limited data is available for the antigenic diversity of contemporary H7 AIVs from North America. In this chapter, we antigenically and genetically characterized 93 AIV isolates from North America (85 from wild birds [1976-2010], seven from domestic poultry [1971–2012], and one from a seal [1980]). The hemagglutinin genes of these H7 viruses are separated from those from Eurasia. Gradual accumulation of nucleotide and amino acid substitutions was observed in the hemagglutinin of H7 AIVs isolated from wild and domestic birds. Genotype characterization suggested that H7 AIVs circulating in North American wild birds form diverse and transient internal gene constellations. Serologic analyses showed that the 93 isolates cross-reacted with each other to different extents. Antigenic cartography showed that the average antigenic distance among them was 1.14 units (standard deviation [SD], 0.57 unit) and that antigenic diversity among the H7 isolates we tested was limited. Our



results suggest that the continuous genetic evolution has not led to significant antigenic diversity for H7 AIVs from North America. These findings add to our understanding of the natural history of IAVs and will inform public health decision-making regarding the threat these viruses pose to humans and poultry.

Introduction

H7 AIVs have been frequently reported to cause outbreaks in domestic poultry and humans. The first outbreak of HPAI H7 virus in Pakistan was reported in 1995, and the threat to domestic poultry has persisted in the region since then (231, 232). In 2003, a HPAI H7N7 outbreak in the Netherlands led to the death or culling of more than 30,000,000 birds and 89 infections in humans, one of which was fatal (19, 233). In March 2013, a LPAI H7N9 virus emerged in eastern China (177) and has become enzootic in the region (234). The virus causes asymptomatic infection in domestic poultry, including chickens and waterfowl, but high morbidity and mortality in human infections (235). Since the emergence of H7N9 virus, more than 500 laboratory-confirmed cases in human have been reported, of which more than 100 were fatal

(http://www.who.int/influenza/human_animal_interface/influenza_h7n9/en/). Furthermore, epidemics caused by H7N1 and H7N3 AIVs were reported in Italy during 1999–2004 (150, 189). H7 AIVs were also reported to cause outbreaks in domestic poultry in Australia (236), Germany (237), and the United Kingdom (238). In North America, H7N2 AIV was first identified in 1994 in the LPM system in the northeastern United States, and during 1997–2002 it was linked with outbreaks among poultry in Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and North Carolina(129, 181). HPAI H7N3



viruses were responsible for outbreaks in poultry in Canada (in 2004 and 2007) and Mexico (in 2012) (61, 239, 240), and subsequently spilled over to humans (64, 241).

The conventional strategy for controlling the spread of avian influenza outbreaks in domestic poultry involves enforcement of biosecurity measures, diagnostics and surveillance, and culling of infected birds. In addition, vaccination programs have been implemented in multiple countries to control H7 AIV outbreaks among domestic poultry. For example, in Italy, vaccine was used against H7N1 virus in 2000 and against H7N3 virus in 2002; vaccines have been used in Pakistan since 1995 to control H7N3 virus; and in North America, vaccine was used against a 2003 H7N2 virus outbreak in Connecticut, United States, and against the on-going H7N3 virus outbreak in Mexico. Early experience showed that vaccine could serve as a valuable component in a successful AIV control strategy.

IAVs evolve by two major mechanisms: mutation and reassortment. Point mutations within surface glycoproteins HA and NA can lead to a small antigenic change, so called antigenic drift. Reassortment refers to the exchange of individual gene segments or combinations of segments between IAVs during mixed infections in the same cell. The switch of HA and/or NA by reassortment can cause a large antigenic change, so called antigenic shift. Reassortment occurs frequently between IAVs, and it facilitates generation of epidemic and pandemic influenza strains. Both antigenic drift and antigenic shift allow IAVs to evade the herd immunity established from previous influenza infections or vaccination.

Earlier studies have showed minor antigenic diversity for wild bird-origin H7 AIVs from Eurasia (195, 196); however, limited data is available for antigenic diversity



of contemporary H7 AIVs from North America. Understanding the antigenic diversity of H7 AIVs circulating in North America will facilitate the detection of antigenic variants and development of effective strategies for disease prevention and control. In this chapter, we antigenically characterized 93 H7 AIVs derived from wild waterfowl, domestic poultry, and a seal; the isolates were collected across North America during 1971–2012. The genomic sequences were analyzed to determine the genetic evolution dynamics of H7 AIVs in North America.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All experiments involved in animals were approved by Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee, Mississippi State University (Project No. 13-090). All experiments were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

Viruses

A total of 93 H7 isolates were included in the study (Table 9): 85 were derived from wild birds during 1976-2010, seven were derived from domestic poultry during 1971-2012, and one was derived from a seal in 1980. Among these isolates, A/cinnamon teal/Mexico/2817/2006(H7N3) was the vaccine strain used during the vaccination campaign against HPAI H7N3 virus in Mexico in 2012, strain A/chicken/British Columbia/314514-2/2004(H7N3) was isolated from the outbreak in domestic poultry in Canada in 2004, and strain A/chicken/Jalisco/CPA-12283/2012(H7N3) was from the outbreak in Mexico in 2012. The wild bird-origin isolates represent those recovered from Canada, Mexico, and 28 states within the United States. They also represent the four



major bird migratory flyways in North America: the Atlantic, Central, Mississippi, and Pacific flyway (Figure 22).

The isolates were propagated by using nine day old specific pathogen free chicken embryonated eggs; the eggs were inoculated and incubated for 72 hours at 37° C before the virus was harvested. Viruses were then aliquoted and stored at -80° C until use.

Generation of Reference Antisera in Chicken

A total of 15 H7 isolates were selected to generate reference antisera; the isolates were selected to maximize the representative subtype and species diversity and geographic and temporal coverage of the 93 isolates (Table 9). Three-week-old specific pathogen free chickens were used to produce antisera. Chickens were inoculated intranasally with 10⁶ 50% tissue culture infective doses of an H7 AIV isolate. If the sera titers were $\geq 1:160$ at two weeks post inoculation, the sera were collected at three weeks post inoculation; if the viral titers were < 1:160 at two weeks post inoculation, the birds were re-inoculated intranasally with 10⁶ 50% tissue culture infective doses of the same H7 AIV isolate and the sera were collected at two weeks post re-inoculation. Blood was collected from the chickens' heart four weeks after the first inoculation. Serum was separated from the erythrocytes after centrifugation at 2,000 rpm for ten minutes. All sera were aliquoted and stored at -80° C until use.

HA and HI Assays

Before performing the HI tests, we treated the chicken antisera with 100% packed chicken red blood cells to eliminate non-specific antigen reactions. The HA and HI assays were performed in accordance with World Organisation for Animal Health



guidelines. HI tests were carried out by using four hemagglutinin units and a 1% chicken red blood cells suspension.



Virus	Date collected	Flyway	Subtype
Isolates from wild birds			
A/American green-winged teal/Colorado/A00551331/2007 ^a	10-Nov-07	Central	H7N3
A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00374996/2007	15-Dec-07	Pacific	H7N3
A/domestic duck/West Virginia/A00140912/2008	9-Jul-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/domestic duck/West Virginia/A00140913/2008	9-Jul-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/domestic duck/West Virginia/A00140915/2008	9-Jul-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325105/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325108/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325112/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325114/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325115/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325117/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325125/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325129/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325136/2008	6-Aug-08	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mallard/Wisconsin/A00465618/2008	5-Sep-08	Mississippi	H7N3
A/blue-winged teal/Missouri/A00624483/2008	8-Sep-08	Mississippi	H7N3
A/blue-winged teal/Missouri/A00624484/2008	8-Sep-08	Mississippi	H7N3
A/mallard/Iowa/A00558620/2008	18-Oct-08	Mississippi	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Colorado/A00660616/2008	9-Nov-08	Central	H7N3
A/mallard/South Dakota/A00649542/2008	19-Nov-08	Central	H7N3

Table 9List of H7 AIVs isolated in North America that were subjected to antigenic
characterization.



Table 9 (continued)

Vinne	Date	F I	Sack tarms
Virus	collected	Fiyway	Subtype
Isolates from wild birds			
A/mallard/New Jersey/A00122457/2008	22-Nov-08	Atlantic	H7N8
A/mallard/Kansas/A00523306/2008	25-Nov-08	Central	H7N3
A/mallard/Indiana/A00142205/2008	26-Nov-08	Mississippi	H7N3
A/northern shoveler/Nevada/A00505416/2008	6-Dec-08	Pacific	H7N6
A/American green-winged teal/Wyoming/A00230796/2008	7-Dec-08	Central	H7N3
A/bufflehead/Virginia/A00120022/2008	9-Dec-08	Atlantic	H7N2
A/northern shoveler/Oregon/A00654616/2008	22-Dec-08	Pacific	H7N3
A/northern shoveler/Mississippi/A00682947/2008	27-Dec-08	Mississippi	H7N7
A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00833077/2009	2-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Arizona/A00115994/2009	3-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Arizona/A00115995/2009	9 3-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00831743/2009	3-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/gadwall/Arizona/A00663934/2009	3-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N7
A/northern shoveler/Mississippi/A00602284/2009	3-Jan-09	Mississippi	H7N2,N7
A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00831758/2009	8-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00654391/2009	9-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00461135/2009	15-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N1
A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00461136/2009	15-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N1
A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00614935/2009	15-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/mallard/Oklahoma/A00449368/2009	15-Jan-09	Central	H7N3



Table 9 (continued)

	Data		
Virus	collected	Flyway	Subtype
Isolates from wild birds			
A/mallard/Oklahoma/A00449455/2009	15-Jan-09	Central	H7N3
A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00461133/2009	15-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N4
A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00468752/2009	15-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00468772/2009	16-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N7
A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00468715/2009	16-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N6
A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00468766/2009	16-Jan-09	Pacific	H7N3
A/northern pintail/Texas/A00466052/2009	18-Jan-09	Central	H7N3
A/ring-necked duck/Texas/A00766403/2009	19-Jan-09	Central	H7N1
A/blue-winged teal/Louisiana/A00637297/2009	22-Jan-09	Mississippi	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Texas/A00604024/2009	3-Feb-09	Central	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Texas/A00604029/2009	3-Feb-09	Central	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Texas/A00604032/2009	3-Feb-09	Central	H7N3
A/American green-winged teal/Texas/A00604814/2009	3-Feb-09	Central	H7N3
A/blue-winged teal/Texas/A00605473/2009	13-Mar-09	Central	H7N3
A/blue-winged teal/Minnesota/A00137660/2009	27-Jul-09	Mississippi	H7N3
A/mallard/Montana/A00750842/2009	16-Sep-09	Central	H7N3
A/blue-winged teal/South Dakota/A00772794/2009	28-Sep-09	Central	H7N7
A/mallard/Michigan/A00869519/2009	14-Oct-09	Mississippi	H7N3
A/mallard/Nebraska/A00709657/2009	28-Nov-09	Central	H7N3
A/mallard/New York/A00723392/2009	12-Dec-09	Atlantic	H7N3
A/mallard/New York/A00723400/2009	12-Dec-09	Atlantic	H7N4



Table 9 (continued)

Virus	Date collected	Flyway	Subtype
Isolates from wild birds		. .	
A/mallard/Oklahoma/A00749161/2009	12-Dec-09	Central	H7N3
A/mallard/Oklahoma/A00744383/2009	13-Dec-09	Central	H7N3
A/northern shoveler/Oklahoma/A00744384/2009	13-Dec-09	Central	H7N3
A/mallard/Illinois/A00325439/2009	18-Dec-09	Mississippi	H7N3
A/mallard/Illinois/A00755320/2009	18-Dec-09	Mississippi	H7N3
A/mallard/New Jersey/A00926089/2010	17-Feb-10	Atlantic	H7N3
A/American black duck/Delaware/A00870108/2010	18-Feb-10	Atlantic	H7N3
A/blue-winged teal/Texas/A00463679/2010	10-Mar-10	Central	H7N3
A/duck/Alberta/49/1976	1976		H7N3
A/mallard/Ohio/421/1987	1987		H7N8
A/pintail/MN/423/1999	1999		H7N3
A/laughing gull/NJ/2455/2000	2000		H7N3
A/ruddy turnstone/DE/1538/2000	2000		H7N9
A/ruddy turnstone/DE/892/2006	2002		H7N3
A/cinnamon teal/Mexico/2817/2006	2006		H7N3
A/ruddy turnstone/NJ/207/2006	2006		H7N3
Isolates from domestic poultry			
A/turkey/Oregon/1971	1971		H7N3
A/turkey/MN/38429/1988	1988		H7N9
A/turkey/NY/4450-4/1994	1994		H7N2
A/turkey/VA/SEP-67/2002	2002		H7N2
A/chicken/CT/260413-2/2003	2003		H7N2
A/chicken/British Columbia/314514-2/2004b	2004		H7N3
A/chicken/Jalisco/CPA-12283/2012 ^b	2012		H7N3
Isolate from seal			
A/seal/MA/1/1980	1980		H7N7

A/seal/MA/1/19801950*a* Viruses used to generated reference antisera are in bold.

^b Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus.

Isolates' name was colored-coded according to their genetic clusters. Red indicates virus in cluster I, green indicates virus in cluster II, and blue indicates virus in cluster III.





Figure 22 Geographic distribution of H7 AIVs selected for antigenic characterization.

The isolates represent strains from Canada, Mexico, and the United States. Triangles indicate viruses isolated from wild birds, dots indicate viruses isolated from domestic poultry, and the square indicates virus isolated from a seal. Map of North America with US States and Canadian Provinces by FreeVectorMaps.com,

https://freevectormaps.com/world-maps/north-america/WRLD-NA-02-0003.



Full Genome Sequencing

Viral RNA was extracted from the allantoic fluid of specific pathogen free embryonated chicken eggs by using the QIAamp Viral RNA Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The full-length cDNA for eight influenza gene segments was amplified by using a SuperScript One-Step RT-PCR kit (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) with influenza virus-specific primers (242). PCR products were separated by using agarose gel electrophoresis and purified by using a QIAquick Gel Purification Kit (QIAGEN). Amplified viral DNA products were quantitated by using a High Sensitivity DNA kit on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system (both from Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). An equal amount of each sample was used to prepare the sequencing library with the Illumina Nextera DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Library samples were further quantitated, normalized, and pooled together. Pooled library samples were sequenced by using a MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 (500 cycles) on a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina); the sequencing protocol suggested by the manufacturer was followed. When any gene segment presented more than one copy of sequence, PCR was performed with specifically designed primer to confirm the existence of multiple copies of sequences. These sequences were excluded from further analysis. Sequences obtained in this study are available in GenBank under accession numbers KU289738 to KU290331.

Genomic Assembly

Genomic assembly was conducted with the in-house influenza genome assembly pipeline, which integrates quality trimming by Trimmomatic (198), *de novo* assembly by Velvet (200), reference search by BLAST (201), and mapping by Bowtie v2.0 (199). In



brief, quality trimming was first conducted by using Trimmomatic, which trims bases from both ends of each read if the quality falls below 30 and clips reads if the average quality drops below 28 (in a sliding window of ten bases). Reads less than 100 nucleotides in length were not included in the downstream analyses. The quality-filtered reads were then *de novo* assembled in Velvet to build long contigs, and resulting contigs were searched against the Influenza Virus Resource (243) by using BLAST to select the reference sequence. Quality-filtered reads were then mapped to the reference sequences by using Bowtie v2.0. Last, consensus sequences were generated with a minimum 10fold mapping coverage and supported by at least 90% of reads at a given position. The mapping profile was visualized by using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (244) and manually checked to correct potential assembly errors.

Evolutionary Analysis

المسلف في الاستشارات

Phylogenetic trees were inferred by using the maximum likelihood method implemented in RAxML v8.1.17 (245). A general time-reversible model of nucleotide substitution and a γ -distributed rate variation among sites was applied throughout the analysis. Sequence alignments were conducted by using MUSCLE v3.8 (222).

To understand the evolutionary history of the H7 gene of AIVs isolated from North America, two rounds of phylogenetic analyses were conducted. In the first round, all genomic sequences of the H7 gene from AIVs were downloaded from the Influenza Virus Resource in March 2015. A total of 1,315 sequences were available after combining these database sequences with sequences recovered in this study. Two major lineages, North American and Eurasian, were identified from the topology of this preliminary phylogeny. Sequences falling into the North American lineage were kept,



and 19 sequences were selected to represent the Eurasian lineage. After that, 775 sequences were retained. In the second round, phylogenetic analysis was conducted on the HA1 domain of these 775 nucleotide sequences for a clear comparison of the antigenic and genetic profiles. Topological robustness of the tree was evaluated by 1,000 pseudo-replicates.

Two rounds of phylogenetic analysis were conducted to assess the evolutionary history of the six internal gene segments of H7 AIVs circulating among wild birds in North America. In the first round, 8,545 genomic sequences from 1,030 complete genomes of H7 AIVs were downloaded from the Influenza Virus Resource. When multiple copies of genomic sequences were present for one gene segment, the sequence corresponding to the maximal length was reserved. These database sequences were analyzed with complete genomes recovered in this study. A total of 1,098 complete genomes were retained, and phylogenetic tree was inferred for each gene segment. Topology of the phylogeny was supported by 100 pseudo-replicates. North American and Eurasian lineages were identified from the tree topology. In the second round of analysis, the phylogenetic tree was inferred for 316 complete genomes corresponding to wild birdorigin isolates in the North American NA-WB lineage. Topology of the phylogeny was supported by 1,000 pseudo-replicates. Genotypes were defined on the basis of the phylogenetic tree of each gene segment. A monophyletic clade was identified by two criteria: (1) it was supported by a bootstrap value above 60, and (2) all sequences in the clade had an average genetic distance greater than 95%.

The extent of reassortment among the internal gene segments was determined on the basis of the six gene segment phylogenetic trees for viruses in the NA-WB lineage. A



maximum likelihood method was implemented to measure the congruence among these trees. Each gene segment tree was fitted to six gene data sets in turn, and the log likelihood value was obtained after optimizing model parameters and branch lengths. The similarity in topology among six gene trees corresponding to the same dataset was determined by the difference in log likelihood values. To put the distribution of log likelihood value in context, 100 random trees were generated for each gene dataset. Log likelihood values were obtained, using the same approach, after fitting them to the reference gene dataset.

Inference of Amino Acid Sites under Positive Selection

Selection pressure for the HA gene segment was investigated by using codon substitution models implemented in the Codeml program of PAML v 4.8 (246). The site models were used, allowing the ratio of non-synonymous/synonymous substitution rates (d_N/d_S) to vary among sites. Four different models were used: M1a, M2a, M7, and M8. Likelihood ratio tests for two pairs of models (M2a versus M1a and M8 versus M7) were conducted according to instructions in the manual. In the test, twice the log likelihood difference between the alternative model and null model was calculated. Small p-values (<0.01) lead to rejection of the null models. If null models were rejected, then Bayes Empirical Bayes analysis was implemented for estimation of specific codons under positive selection. Before analysis, identical sequences and sequences with ambiguous positions were removed. The nucleotide sequences coding the HA1 protein were analyzed.



Molecular Dating

Molecular dating was conducted by using the Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo method implemented in BEAST v1.8.0 (223). A HKY85 nucleotide substitution model, Bayesian skyline coalescent tree prior, and relaxed uncorrelated lognormal clock model were applied. For each analysis, a chain length of 50 million steps was run and 2,000 samples were generated. The results were analyzed in Tracer v1.6 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/), and convergence was assessed with a cutoff of 200 for the effective sample size. The mean nucleotide substitution rate and time to the most common ancestor were computed after 10% of the samples were removed as burnin, and the statistical uncertainty was evaluated by using the values of the 95% HPD.

Construction of the Antigenic Cartography and Molecular Characterization

Antigenic cartography was constructed on the basis of HI data by using AntigenMap (247). Each entry in the HI table was normalized by dividing the maximum HI value for the reference antiserum. Missing HI titers and those below the cutoff value for low reactors were analyzed by low-rank matrix completion. An HI titer of ten was used as the low-reactor cutoff in the HI assay. Antigenic distance between two antigens was defined as the Euclidean distance between the HI values of the two viruses against all the antisera. Each unit of the antigenic distance corresponded to a 2-fold change in HI titer. Multidimensional scaling was used to project viruses to a 2-dimensional map by minimizing the sum-squared error between map distance and antigenic distance. Antigenic distance was subjected to hierarchical clustering analysis implemented in R (https://www.r-project.org/) to determine the potential division of viruses.



To investigate the difference in antibody binding site within the HA sequences, the protein sequences of these isolates were aligned with the H3 protein sequences and the antibody binding sites were annotated based on those in H3N2 influenza A virus as shown previously (169). 135 amino acid positions corresponding to five antibody binding sites A, B, C, D, and E in the H3 protein were identified.

Results

H7 HA Genes are Genetically Diverse

Phylogenetic analyses showed that HA gene of H7 AIVs was divided into two geographically dependent lineages: Eurasian and North American (Figure 23, Phylogenetic tree for HA1 nucleotide sequences of H7 AIVs.pdf). Sporadic intercontinental gene flow between these two genetic pools was observed. Five H7 viruses isolated in North America fell into the Eurasian lineage, and at least two independent introductions (in 1992 and 1994) were identified. AIVs A/softbill/CA/33445-158/1992(H7N1) and A/softbill/California/33445-136/1992(H7N1) were most closely related to A/non-psittacine/England-Q/1985/89(H7N7), sharing nucleotide sequence identities of 96.3% and 96.4%, respectively. In addition, A/softbill/California/13907-21/1994(H7N1) and A/Pekin robin/California/30412/1994(H7N1) are closely related to a group of AIVs of the same subtype isolated from wild birds in the Netherlands, Singapore, and England in 1994; nucleotide sequence identities ranged from 97.8% to 99.4%. One H7 virus isolated in China, A/duck/Guangdong/1/1996(H7N3), was grouped with bird-origin viruses from North America and was genetically closely related to A/ruddy turnstone/Delaware Bay/135/1996(H7N3), sharing a nucleotide sequence identity of 99.4%.



nucleotide/amino acid	identity with viruse	s in genetic cluster
II		III
.0/96.8 88	.0/90.4	89.4/94.9
96	.1/95.7	89.5/92.0
		95.6/98.0
.	nucleotide/amino acid II .0/96.8 88 96	nucleotide/amino acid identity with viruse II .0/96.8 88.0/90.4 96.1/95.7

Table 10Sequence identity of HA1 protein of H7 AIVs from distinct genetic clusters
from North America.

Three major genetic clusters were identified in the North American lineage. Cluster I comprised the viruses isolated from wild and domestic birds during the 1970s into the early 1990s. From the available data, a clear temporal division was observed around 1994: cluster II mainly comprised the domestic poultry-origin H7 viruses isolated during1994–2006, and cluster III comprised the viruses recovered from wild and domestic birds after 1993. The tree topology was supported by the sequence identities: the average shared identities between HA1 nucleotide sequences within these clusters were 94.0% (cluster I), 96.1% (cluster II), and 95.6% (cluster III), and the average identities between viruses from one cluster and another were 88.0% (clusters I and II), 89.5% (clusters II and III), and 89.4% (clusters I and III) (Table 10).

Cluster I was estimated to emerge around 1969 (Table 12), and it circulated in North America for 24 years before its extinction in 1993. This cluster included one isolate recovered from a seal in 1980. Subtype H7N2 was the predominant subtype in cluster II, and the H7N2 viruses were mainly isolated from the LPM system in the northeastern United States and from commercial poultry farms in four US states (Maryland, Pennsylvania, North Carolina, and Virginia). Cluster II was further divided into two clades: II-1 and II-2. Clade II-1 mainly consisted of viruses isolated during 1994-1996, and clade II-2 consisted of viruses isolated during 1996-2006. A clear



molecular difference separated these two clades: a deletion of eight amino acids at positions 212–219 in the HA1 protein. Cluster II included A/New York/107/2003(H7N2), which infected a human with suspected exposure to poultry (202). A similar 8-amino acid deletion was also observed in the HA1 protein of this virus.

Cluster III represents the contemporary genetic pool of H7 AIVs in North America, including viruses from wild birds and from outbreaks among domestic poultry. H7 viruses isolated during individual outbreaks among domestic poultry formed independent monophyletic clades in the phylogeny, suggesting that they originated from separate introductions from wild birds (194). Three of the H7 viruses evolved into HPAI viruses: A/chicken/Canada/314514-2/2005(H7N3), A/chicken/SK/HR-00011/2007(H7N3), and A/chicken/Jalisco/CPA1/2012(H7N3). Another H7 introduction, A/chicken/Delaware/10851/2014(H7N7), did not evolve into an HPAI virus.

Limited Antigenic Diversity among Tested H7 AIVs

Serologic analyses showed that the antisera generated against 15 selected isolates cross-reacted with the tested H7 isolates to different extents (Table 11, Table 13). Antigenic cartography showed no clear division of these isolates (Figure 23). The average antigenic distance among these isolates was 1.14 units (SD, 0.57 unit), and each unit represented a 2-fold change in HI titer. The hierarchical clustering method grouped these viruses into one cluster at a distance of 1.46 units, except for one outlier, A/laughing gull/NJ/2455/2000(H7N3). The average distance from the outlier to the remaining isolates was 2.01 units. Viruses from three distinct genetic clusters were grouped in this antigenic cluster; no clear correlation between the genetic diversity and antigenic property was observed (Figure 23).



titers were underlined.	,)	4)
				Titer to	chicken a	ntiserum			
Virus	BUFF1 20022	MALL1 22457	MALL4 65618	AGWT 551331	ABDU8 70108	MALL7 50842	MALL7 09657	AGWT 115995	MALL5 58620
A/bufflehead/Virginia/A00120022/2008	80	80	80	160	320	80	80	80	80
A/mallard/New Jersey/A00122457/2008	80	80	80	80	160	80	40	20	80
A/mallard/Wisconsin/A00465618/2008	80	160	160	160	160	160	40	40	80
A/American green-winged teal/Colorado/A00551331/2007	80	160	80	80	160	80	40	20	40
A/American black duck/Delaware/A00870108/2010	80	80	80	160	160	80	80	80	160
A/mallard/Montana/A00750842/2009	160	160	320	320	320	320	160	160	160
A/mallard/Nebraska/A00709657/2009	80	320	80	80	160	80	160	160	80
A/American green-winged teal/Arizona/A00115995/2009	20	80	40	40	80	40	40	<u>40</u>	80
A/mallard/Iowa/A00558620/2008	20	80	40	40	40	40	40	20	<u>40</u>
A/mallard/Indiana/A00142205/2008	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	40
A/American green winged teal/Colorado/A00660616/2008	40	160	40	80	160	40	40	40	40
A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00461136/2009	20	160	160	160	160	40	40	40	40
7 A/blue winged teal/Missouri/A00624484/2008	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	80
A/ring-necked duck/Texas/A00766403/2009	40	160	80	80	160	80	40	40	40
A/blue-winged teal/South Dakota/A00772794/2009	160	640	320	320	320	320	320	320	640
A/seal/MA/1/1980	40	160	80	160	80	40	40	40	80
A/chicken/CT/260413-2/2003	40	40	40	80	40	40	20	20	40
A/chicken/British Columbia/314514-2/2004	80	160	160	160	160	160	80	160	160
A/turkey/Oregon/1971	160	320	320	640	640	160	160	320	320
A/turkey/MN/38429/1988	40	40	80	80	80	40	40	40	40
A/chicken/Jalisco/CPA-12283/2012	40	40	80	80	80	40	40	40	40
A/turkey/VA/SEP-67/2002	40	40	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
A/turkey/NY/4450-4/1994	80	80	80	160	80	80	80	40	80
A/duck/Alberta/49/1976	80	320	160	160	160	160	160	80	320
A/pintail/MN/423/1999	80	160	320	640	320	160	80	80	320
A/laughing gull/NJ/2455/2000	10	10	320	640	320	160	320	160	320
A/ruddy turnstone/DE/1538/2000	40	80	80	80	80	40	40	80	160
A/mallard/Ohio/421/1987	40	160	80	80	80	40	40	40	80
A/cinnamon teal/Mexico/2817/2006	80	160	320	320	320	160	160	160	320
A/ruddy turnstone/DE/892/2006	20	40	40	40	80	20	20	20	40
A/ruddy turnstone/NJ/207/06	20	40	40	40	40	40	20	20	40
A/American green-winged teal/Arizona/A00115994/2009	40	80	80	160	80	80	80	40	80
A/blue-winged teal/Minnesota/A00137660/2009	80	160	80	80	80	80	80	40	320

Cross-HI data obtained for testing H7 avian influenza viruses against representative chicken sera. The homologous Table 11

المنسلين للاستشارات

ارات											
(ستشه											
JU 2		Table 11 (continued)									
ġ		A/domestic duck/West Virginia/A00140912/2008	40	80	80	80	80	40	160	20	40
J		A/domestic duck/West Virginia/A00140913/2008 A/domestic duck/Mset Virginia/A00140015/2008	80	80	80	80	80	80 80	40	40	40
		A/American green-winged teal/Wyoming/A00230796/2008	40	80 80	80	00 80	00 80	80	40	40 4	0 1 08
		A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325105/2008	160	160	160	80	160	40	40	40	40
i		A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325108/2008	40	80	40	40	40	20	20	20	20
		A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325112/2008	20	40	40	40	40	20	20	20	80
		A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325114/2008	20	40	40	40	40	20	40	40	80
		A/mute Swan/Khode Istand/AU0525112/2008 A/mainte article a food a football a hord a football and a football and a football and a football and a football	100	100	04	80	100	04 c	040	040	04 c
		A/IIIUG SWall/Dubits Toland/And 25115/1008 A/minte swan/Rhode Tsland/A00325125/2008	40	00 80	40	40	0+0 80	40 7	40 70	070	07 70
		A/mite swan/Rhode Island/A00325129/2008	010	40 40	0 1	40	40 00	0 ⁺ C	07	207	940
		A/mute swan/Rhode Island/A00325136/2008	40	80	80	80	80	914	40	20	4 0 7
		A/mallard/Illinois/A00325439/2009	40	80	80	80	80	40	40	40	40
		A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00374996/2007	80	160	160	160	160	160	80	40	80
		A/mallard/Oklahoma/A00449368/2009	80	160	80	80	80	40	40	40	80
		A/mallard/Oklahoma/A00449455/2009	16	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	1	A/mallard/Delaware/A00456271/2009	80	320	320	320	320	160	80	80	160
	22	A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00461133/2009	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
		A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00461135/2009	80	80 370	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
		A/outue-willgeu teal/ texas/A/0466050/9/2010 A/northern nintail/Texas/A/0466052/2009	00 40	075 80	40	100 80	160	00 40	00 40	20 20	40
		A/American green-winged teal/Mississippi/A00468514/2009	160	160	320	320	320	160	320	160	160
		A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00468715/2009	20	20	20	20	40	20	20	20	40
		A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00468752/2009	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
		A/NOTTNETT SINVELET/UTANU408 /00/2009 A/A marinan arran arringed teal/1114a/A/00/68772/2000	80 70	100 80	80 80	80 80	100 80	80 70	104	04	20 160
		A/northern shoveler/Nevada/A00505416/2008	40	80	80	80	80	4 1	40	0 1	40
		A/mallard/Kansas/A00523306/2008	80	160	80	160	160	80	40	40	40
		A/blue-winged teal/Louisiana/A00557206/2009	160	160	160	160	160	160	40	80	160
		A/northern shoveler/Louisiana/A00557321/2009	40	80	40	40	40	40	40	20	320
		A/American green-winged teal/Texas/A00586649/2009	40	80	80	80	80	40	40	40	20
		A/northern shoveler/Mississippi/A00602284/2009	160	640	320	640	640	160	320 80	160	160
W		A/American green-winged teal/1exas/A006040.24/2009 A/American green-winged teal/Texas/A006040.29/2009	80 40	160 80	160 80	16U 80	16U 80	80 40	80 40	04 040	04 04 04
ŴŴ		A/American green-winged teal/Texas/A00604032/2009	320	160	320	320	320	160	160	80	320
ı.m		A/American green-winged teal/Texas/A00604814/2009	160	$\frac{320}{22}$	160 1	320	160 1	160 2 ĉ	80	80	320 22
nan		A/blue-winged teal/ 1 exas/A006034 / <i>5</i> /2009 A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00614935/2009	20 160	80 320	40 160	40 160	40 320	20 160	20 160	20 160	320

www.manaraa.com

Table 11 (continued) Table 11 (continued) Abne-wing d tail/Mission/Anssign		20	160	40	40 40	160	40	80	640	40	64	320	320	40	160	40	160	20	320	40	80		(H7N3);	(H7N7):						
Table 11 (continued) American green-winged tar/Miscient/0005/3433.2006 160 40 <td></td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>40 40</td> <td>160</td> <td>20</td> <td>80</td> <td>160</td> <td>20</td> <td>40</td> <td>160</td> <td>80</td> <td>40</td> <td>80</td> <td>40</td> <td>160</td> <td>40</td> <td>80</td> <td>20</td> <td>80</td> <td></td> <td>1331/2007</td> <td>), 5995/2009</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		20	20	20	40 40	160	20	80	160	20	40	160	80	40	80	40	160	40	80	20	80		1331/2007), 5995/2009						
Table 11 (continued) Table 11 (continued) Ables-winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables-winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables-winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables-winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables-winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables-winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Ables winged teal/hissentr/M05/2483/2008 Able winged teal/hissentr/M06/2483/2008 Able winged teal/hissentr/M06/2483/2008 Able winged teal/hissentr/M06/2483/2008 Able winged teal/hissentr/M06/2483/2008 <td></td> <td>20</td> <td>40</td> <td>20</td> <td>40 40</td> <td>80</td> <td>40</td> <td>80</td> <td>160</td> <td>20</td> <td>40</td> <td>160</td> <td>80</td> <td>40</td> <td>80</td> <td>40</td> <td>160</td> <td>40</td> <td>80</td> <td>40</td> <td>80</td> <td>8(H7N8);</td> <td>O/A00551</td> <td>Z/A00112</td> <td>[7N3);</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		20	40	20	40 40	80	40	80	160	20	40	160	80	40	80	40	160	40	80	40	80	8(H7N8);	O/A00551	Z/A00112	[7N3);					
Table 11 (continued) Table 11 (continued) A hume-winged teal/Misseni//M062443.2008 60 40 60 60 A hume-winged teal/Misseni//M062443.2008 A hume-winged teal/Misseni//M062443.2008 60 40 60 60 A hume-winged teal/Misseni//M062443.2008 A hume-winged teal/Misseni//M062443.2008 80 80 60		40	160	20	08 80	80	80	80	320	20	80	80	80	40	160	160	160	160	80	40	160	2457/200	iged teal/C	12/24/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/	05/2008(H	,				
Table 11 (continued) Table 11 (continued) Ablue-winged teal/Insourt/A005/3483/2008 Ablue-winged teal/Insourt/A006/3793/2008 Ablue-winged teal/Insourt/A006/3793/2008 </td <td></td> <td>160</td> <td>160</td> <td>40</td> <td>160 80</td> <td>160</td> <td>160</td> <td>80</td> <td>160</td> <td>40</td> <td>160</td> <td>160</td> <td>160</td> <td>80</td> <td>320</td> <td>160</td> <td>160</td> <td>160</td> <td>80</td> <td>80</td> <td>320</td> <td>I. /NJ/A0012</td> <td>green win</td> <td>uuruuuuuu ereen wir</td> <td>/A001422</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		160	160	40	160 80	160	160	80	160	40	160	160	160	80	320	160	160	160	80	80	320	I. /NJ/A0012	green win	uuruuuuuu ereen wir	/A001422					
Table 11 (continued) 160 80 40 Arbine-winged teal/Missonri/A0062443/2008 160 80 40 Arbine-winged teal/Louisiana A00637297/2009 200 160 80 40 Arbine-winged teal/Louisiana A00637297/2009 200 160 80 40 Arbine-winged teal/Louisiana A00637297/2009 200 160 80 40 Arbine-winged teal/Louisiana A0063791/2009 80 30 40 40 Arbinerdisouth Dakota A0074943/2009 80 30 80 30 80 40 Arbinerdisouth Dakota A007491/2009 80 30 30 80 30 80 30 80 30 80 30 <		40	160	40	80 80	80	160	80	320	40	160	160	80	40	160	160	320	40	80	80	320	n cluster II A/mallard,	/American	, A/IIIaIIala /American	mallard/IN	(H7N3);	N1);			
Table 11 (continued) Table 11 (continued) Table 11 (continued) Ablue-winged teal/Missioni/A00624483/2008 80 Ablue-winged teal/Missioni/A00624483/2008 80 80 Ablue-winged teal/Missioni/A00637997/2009 160 80 Ablue-winged teal/Missioni/A0063793/2009 20 80 80 Ablue-winged teal/Missioni/A0063793/2009 80 80 80 80 Ablue-winged teal/Missioni/A0063934/2009 80		40	160	40	80 80	80	80	80	320	40	80	80	80	40	160	160	320	160	80	40	320	ttes virus i L122457,	551331, Á 1 7 2004 2	115995. A	42205, A/	0616/2008	5/2009(H7	H7N3);	N1); 09(H7N7)	
Izable 11 (continued) Ablue-winged teal/Missionri/A0065433729/72009 Ablue-winged teal/Missionri/A006543372972009 Ablue-winged teal/Missionri/A006543372972009 Ablue-winged teal/Louisiana/A00653729772009 Ablue-winged teal/Louisiana/A00653729772009 Ablue-winged teal/Coregon/A00653729772009 Ablue-winged teal/Urah/A00654616/2008 Ablue-winged teal/Coregon/A0065362009 Ablue-winged teal/Coregon/A006539542009 Ablue-winged teal/Coregon/A006539472009 Ablue-winged teal/Coregon/A00653043912009 Ablue-winged teal/Coregon/A00653043912009 Ablue-winged teal/Coregon/A00653043912009 Ablue-winged teal/Utah/A00533322009 Ablue-winged teal/Utah/A00753402009 Ablue winged teal/Utah/A008317432009 Ablue winged teal/Utah/A008317432009 Ablue winged teal/Utah/A008317432009 Ablue-winged teal/Utah/A008317432009 Ablue-winged teal/Utah/A008317432009 Ablue-winged teal/Utah/A008317432009 Ablue-winged teal/Utah/A008317432009 Ablue-winged teal/Utah/A008317432009		80	160	80	160 80	320	80	320	80	80	80	160	320	80	320	160	160	160	320	160	320	blue indica N2); MAL); AGWT	AGWT (CVI)); MALL1	do/A0066	A00461136	-84/2008(F	772,794/20 02/797277	
Table 11 (continued) Table 11 (continued) Arbine-winged teal/Mississispipi/A00630203/2009 A/bine-winged teal/Mississispipi/A00630203/2009 A/bine-winged teal/Mississispi/A00630203/2009 A/bine-winged teal/Mississispi/A00630203/2009 A/bine-winged teal/Mississispi/A00630203/2009 A/bine-winged teal/Mississispi/A0063393/2009 A/bine-winged teal/Texas/A006534616/2008 A/bine-winged teal/Texas/A00653934/2009 A/bine-winged teal/Mississipi/A00630203 A/bine-winged teal/Mississipi/A00630203 A/bine-winged teal/Mississipi/A00630203 A/bine-winged teal/Utah/A008317432009 A/merican green-winged teal/Utah/A00831743/2009 A/mallard/New York/A0072019 A/mallard/New York/A0072009		160	20	40	80 80	80	80	40	160	20	40	80	80	40	80	160	80	40	80	80	160	ter II, and 2/2008(H7	2008(HJN)	U)0102/00	008(H7N3	teal/Colora	teal/Utah//	1/A006244	400 / 6640. akota/ A00	
	Table 11 (continued)	A/blue-winged teal/Missouri/A00624483/2008	A/American green-winged teal/Mississippi/A00630203/2009	A/blue-winged teal/Louisiana/A00637297/2009	A/mallard/South Dakota/A00649542/2008 A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00654391/2009	A/northern shoveler/Oregon/A00654616/2008	A/gadwall/Arizona/A00663934/2009	A/blue-winged teal/Texas/A00676566/2009	A/northern shoveler/Mississippi/A00682947/2008	A/mallard/New York/A00723392/2009	A/mallard/New York/A00723400/2009	A/mallard/Oklahoma/A00744383/2009	A/northern shoveler/Oklahoma/A00744384/2009	A/mallard/Oklahoma/A00749161/2009	A/mallard/Illinois/A00755320/2009	A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00831743/2009	A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00831758/2009	 A/American green winged teal/Utah/A00833077/2009 	Z A/mallard/Michigan/A00869519/2009	A/mallard/New Jersey/A00926089/2010	A/northern shoveler/Mississippi/A00630207/2009	Red indicates virus in cluster I, green indicates virus in clus Abbreviations: BUFF120022, A/bufflehead/VA/A0012002	MALL465618, A/mallard/WI/A00465618/7	MALL709657. A/mallard/NE/A00709657/	MALL558620, A/mallard/IA/A00558620/2	AGWT660616, A/American green-winged	AGWT461136, A/American green-winged	BWTE624484, A/blue-winged teal/Missour	KNDU / 00405, A/TING-necked auck/ 1 exas/ BWTF772794 A/hlue-winged teal/South D	
	۷ للاستشارات	J				1	P	5																						

Viruses isolated from wild and domestic birds lacked antigenic diversity. The average antigenic distance among wild bird-origin isolates was 1.14 units (SD, 0.57 unit). With the exception of one outlier, A/laughing gull/NJ/2455/2000(H7N3), hierarchical clustering showed that these isolates merged into one cluster at a distance of 1.47 units. The average antigenic distance among seven poultry-origin isolates was 1.13 units (SD, 0.71 unit). These viruses were grouped into one cluster, at a distance of 1.63 units, by the hierarchical clustering method. Poultry-origin isolates were antigenically similar to those from wild birds; the distance between poultry-origin isolates and the most antigenically similar wild bird-origin isolates was 0.18–0.86 units.

Limited antigenic diversity was supported by the comparison of amino acid sequence of the 135 residues corresponding to those in the reported antibody binding sites in influenza HA protein. Results showed that the average shared identity among tested isolates was 96.6%, and lack of divergence of these amino acid positions (Amino_acid_variations_in_antibody_binding_sites_of_H7_AIVs.pdf).





Figure 23 Comparison of genetic and antigenic evolution of H7 AIVs from North America.

(A) Schematic phylogenetic tree of the HA1 nucleotide sequences of H7 AIVs. Boxes represent the three major genetic clusters; branches corresponding to viruses subjected to antigenic characterization are colored-coded as described in the key; the same color code is used in (B). The Eurasian lineage (EU) is represented by the large black triangle, and Am represents North American lineage. (B) Antigenic cartography constructed on the basis of HI data. Each gridline (horizontal and vertical) represents a 2-fold difference in HI titer.



Genetic Evolution Dynamics of the HA Gene

To evaluate the genetic evolution dynamics for the HA gene of H7 AIVs isolated from North America, we analyzed (in temporal order) the genetic distance from each virus to A/turkey/Oregon/1971(H7N3), the oldest isolate in the phylogeny (Figure 24). The average evolutionary rate on the nucleotide level was determined by the slope of the linear regression line that fits the data. Viruses in cluster I demonstrated a gradual and stable increase of genetic distance to A/turkey/Oregon/1971(H7N3). The regression line for cluster I had a slope of 0.0061 (adjusted $R^2 = 0.88$; p < 2.20E-16). The lack of continuations from 1971 to 1976 was due to the limited sampling during that time period. Viruses in cluster II evolved at a faster rate than those in cluster III (0.0052 [adjusted R^2 = 0.82; p < 2.20E-16] vs. 0.00218 [adjusted R² = 0.42; p < 2.20E-16], respectively). Cluster II was separated into two clades at the 1996 time point, and an elevated increase in genetic distance was identified at that time. To determine the fluctuation of evolutionary dynamics in cluster II, we independently analyzed viruses in two clades. Regression analysis showed that viruses in clade II-1 evolved faster than those in clade II-2 (0.008 [adjusted $R^2 = 0.59$; p < 4.07E-9] vs. 0.0046 [adjusted $R^2 = 0.67$; p <2 .20E-16], respectively). Cluster III consisted of viruses isolated from wild birds and individual outbreaks among domestic poultry. To evaluate the evolutionary status for H7 AIVs in wild birds more precisely, we conducted additional analyses for wild bird-origin viruses in this cluster. The slope of the regression line was 0.0019 (adjusted $R^2 = 0.31$; p < 2.20E-16), which is lower than that for the entire population.




لاستشارا

Comparison of temporal genetic and antigenic evolution dynamics for H7 AIVs from North America. Figure 24

(TK/71) were determined from phylogenetic analysis. Dashed line indicates linear regression fit for viruses in genetic clusters I and III; solid lines indicate linear regression fit for two clades in genetic cluster II. (B) Same as panel A, but genetic distances antigenic evolution dynamics. Antigenic distances were calculated from antigenic cartography. 71 (1971), and 16 (2016). In (A) Year-by-year analysis of genetic evolution dynamics. Genetic distances between each virus and A/turkey/Oregon/1971 panel B and C, dots indicate viruses isolated from domestic poultry, triangles indicate viruses isolated from wild birds, the are characterized by the number of amino acid substitutions (aa subst.) in the HA1 protein. (C) Year-by-year analysis of square indicates virus isolated from a seal, and crosses indicate virus isolated from human.

Similar analyses were conducted at the amino acid level by characterizing the genetic distance by the number of amino acid substitutions (Figure 24). A consistent evolution trend was observed for cluster I, which showed an average of 0.63 amino acid substitutions per year (adjusted $R^2 = 0.70$; p < 3.27E-14). Cluster II had an average change of 1.47 amino acids per year (adjusted $R^2 = 0.71$; p < 2.20E-16). A dramatic increase in the number of amino acid substitutions was observed in 1996; this finding is consistent with the observed deletion of eight amino acids in the HA1 protein at the same time. However, viruses in clades II-1 and II-2 had a similar average rate of evolution. The slopes of regression lines for these two clades were 0.80 (adjusted $R^2 = 0.26$; p < 0.00044) and 0.78 (adjusted $R^2 = 0.46$; p < 2.20E-16), respectively. The temporal fluctuation of amino acid substitutions for viruses in cluster III was not well fitted by the linear regression model. However, it was observed that the number of amino acid substitutions in viruses isolated from poultry and humans is larger than that in wild bird-origin viruses.

Rates of mean nucleotide substitution and the time to the most recent common ancestor were also estimated by using the Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo method (Table 12). The mean evolutionary rate for the cluster I was estimated as 5.11×10^{-3} substitutions per site per year (sub/site/year) (95% HPD, $3.94-6.25 \times 10^{-3}$). Cluster II evolved at a higher mean evolutionary rate (5.34×10^{-3} sub/site/year; 95% HPD, 4.68- 6.11×10^{-3}) than cluster III (4.84×10^{-3} sub/site/year; 95% HPD, $4.34-5.31 \times 10^{-3}$). For cluster II, the estimated evolutionary rate for a collection of viruses in clade II-2 decreased to 4.90×10^{-3} sub/site/year (95% HPD, $4.19-5.67 \times 10^{-3}$). This result suggested that cluster II experienced a higher evolutionary rate during 1994–1996 and a



lower evolutionary rate afterwards. For cluster III, the estimated evolutionary rate for a collection of wild bird-origin viruses was 4.60×10^{-3} sub/site/year (95% HPD, 4.10–5.11 $\times 10^{-3}$), which is lower than that for the entire cluster III population.

The corresponding analysis of antigenic evolution dynamics showed that antigenicity was relatively stable during 1971–2012 (Figure 24). No clear correspondence was observed between the genetic and antigenic evolution dynamics. Of the 93 characterized viruses, 72 were isolated in 2008 and 2009; the antigenic distances between these viruses and A/turkey/Oregon/1971(H7N3) ranged from 0.86 to 3.12 units. The antigenic distances between the other 21 isolates and A/turkey/Oregon/1971(H7N3) also fall into this range.

Genetic		Substitution rate $(\times 10^{-3} \text{ substitutions/site/year})$		TMRCA (calendar year)			
cluster	Model	Mean	95% HPD ^a	ESS ^b	Mean	95% HPD	ESS
Ι	SRD06-UCL–Skyline ^c	5.11	3.94-6.25	347	1969	1963–1970	289
II	SRD06-UCL-Skyline	5.34	4.68-6.11	693	1993	1990–1993	406
Π^d	SRD06-UCL-Skyline	4.90	4.19–5.67	856	1996	1995–1996	1070
III	SRD06-UCL-Skyline	4.84	4.34-5.31	389	1992	1991–1992	780
III ^e	SRD06-UCL-Skyline	4.60	4.10-5.11	299	1992	1990–1992	693

Table 12Estimated rates of nucleotide substitution and time to most recent common
ancestor for H7 AIVs from distinct genetic clusters from North America.

^{*a*} HPD: highest probability density.

^b Effective sample size.

^c SRD06: HKY substitution model; UCL: uncorrelated lognormal molecular clock,

Skyline Coalescent Bayesian Skyline tree model.

^d Includes only viruses isolated after 1996.

^{*e*} Includes only viruses isolated from wild birds.



						Titer to	chicken antiser	um generated ag	ainst 15 selected	Isolates					
Virus	BUFF120022	MALL122457	MALL465618	AGWT551331	ABDU870108	MALL750842	MALL709657	AGWT115995	MALL558620	MALL142205	AGWT660616	AGWT461136	BWTE624484	RNDU766403	BWTE772794
A/turkey/Oregon/1971	160	320	320	640	640	160	160	320	320	320	40	40	160	320	640
A/duck/Alberta/49/1976	80	320	160	160	160	160	160	80	320	320	160	160	80	160	320
A/seal/MA/1/1980	40	160	80	160	80	40	40	40	80	80	80	80	20	80	160
A/mallard/Ohio/421/1987	40	160	80	80	80	40	40	40	80	160	80	80	20	80	160
A/turkey/MN/38429/1988	40	40	80	80	80	40	40	40	40	80	40	80	40	80	80
A/turkey/NY/4450-4/1994	80	80	80	160	80	80	80	40	80	80	160	80	40	80	40
A/pintail/MN/423/1999	80	160	320	640	320	160	80	80	320	320	160	80	80	160	320
A/laughing gull/NJ/2455/2000	10	10	320	640	320	160	320	160	320	320	320	640	160	320	640
A/turkey/VA/SEP-67/2002	40	40	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	40	80	40	80	80
A/chicken/CT/260413-2/2003	40	40	40	80	40	40	20	20	40	40	40	20	20	40	40
A/chicken/British Columbia/314514-2/2004	80	160	160	160	160	160	80	160	160	160	80	80	80	160	320
A/cinnamon tea/Mexico/2817/2006	80	160	320	320	320	160	160	160	320	320	320	320	160	320	320
A/northern shoveler/Utah/A00374996/2007	80	160	160	160	160	160	80	40	80	160	80	320	40	320	320
A/bufflehead/Virginia/A00120022/2008	80	80	80	160	320	80	80	80	80	640	160	320	80	160	320
A/American green-winged teal/Arizona/A00115994/2009	40	80	80	160	80	80	80	40	80	160	40	160	40	160	160
A/blue-winged teal/Texas/A00463679/2010	80	320	160	160	160	80	80	40	160	320	80	320	80	160	320
A/chicken/Jalisco/CPA-12283/2012	40	40	80	80	80	40	40	40	40	80	40	80	40	80	160

i

Cross HI data obtained for representative H7 AIVs against chicken serum. Table 13

🐴 للاستشارات

BUFF120022, A/bufflehead/VA/A00120022/2008(H7N2); MALL122457, A/mallard/NJ/A00122457/2008(H7N8); MALL465618, A/mallard/WI/A00465618/2008(H7N3); AGWT551331, A/American green winged teal/CO/A00551331/2007(H7N3); ABDU870108, A/black duck/DE/A00870108/2010(H7N3); MALL750842,

MALL709657, A/mallard/NE/A00709657/2009(H7N3); AGWT115995, A/American green winged teal/AZ/A00115995/2009(H7N7);MALL558620, A/mallard/MT/A00750842/2009(H7N3);

A/mallard/IA/A00558620/2008(H7N3);

MALL142205, A/mallard/IN/A00142205/2008(H7N3); AGWT660616, A/American green-winged teal/Colorado/A00660616/2008(H7N3); AGWT461136, A/American green-winged teal/Utah/A00461136/2009(H7N1); BWTE624484, A/blue-winged teal/Missouri/A00624484/2008(H7N3); RNDU766403, A/ring-necked duck/Texas/A00766403/2009(H7N1);BWTE772794, A/blue-winged teal/South Dakota/A00772794/2009(H7N7).

Natural Selection for HA Gene of H7 AIVs in North America

The selection pressure for the HA gene was investigated independently for each of the three genetic clusters (Table 14). The mean d_N/d_S for cluster II ($d_N/d_S = 0.1875-0.2154$) was higher than that for cluster III ($d_N/d_S = 0.1232-0.1407$). The HA gene of viruses in clade II-2 had a lower mean d_N/d_S (0.1821-0.2121) than the entire population in cluster II. This finding suggests that the purifying selection for cluster II was lower during 1994–1996 than afterwards. Wild bird-origin isolates in cluster III were considered separately, and the results showed that the mean d_N/d_S was lower than that for the entire population in cluster III. This finding suggests that the purifying selection pressure was greater for wild bird-origin viruses than for poultry-origin viruses. Overall, the HA gene of H7 AIVs circulating in North America is under strong purifying selection, although there is variation in selection pressure for distinct genetic clusters.

Amino acid position 189 (H3 numbering; H7 numbering, 180) in the HA1 protein was found to be under positive selection for the wild bird-origin viruses in cluster III. The amino acid profile of this position for H7 AIVs from Eurasia and North America was analyzed. Viruses isolated from land-based poultry and waterfowl were considered separately (Table 15). For viruses in the North American lineage, position 189 was found to be highly polymorphic in genetic clusters I and III: three and five distinct amino acids were observed, respectively, and T was the major amino acid in both clusters. HA gene in cluster II showed a distinct and conserved profile for position 189; with only one exception, S was the predominant amino acid. Amino acid profiles were also analyzed for three H7 viruses isolated from humans in North America: A/NewYork/107/2003(H7N2),



which is from cluster II, and A/Mexico/InDRE7218/2012(H7N3) and

A/Canada/rv504/2004(H7N3), which are from cluster III. Amino acid S was observed at position 189 in A/NewYork/107/2003(H7N2), and amino acid T was observed in the other two viruses. Amino acid S was not observed at position 189 of Eurasian lineage H7 AIVs.

Genetic cluster, model	d_N/d_S	Positively selected sites (probability)
Ι		
Mla	0.1048	
M7	0.0999	
II		
Mla	0.2154	
M7	0.1875	
II ^a		
Mla	0.2121	
M7	0.1821	
III		
Mla	0.1407	
M7	0.1232	
III ^b		
Mla	0.1242	
M8	0.1086	189° (99.7%)

Table 14Selection pressure in HA1 protein of H7 AIVs from North America.

^{*a*} Include only viruses isolated after 1996.

^b Include only viruses isolated from waterfowl.

^c H3 numbering.

Table 15Amino acid polymorphism at position 189 (H3 numbering) in HA1 protein
for H7 AIVs from North America and Eurasia.

Genetic group	Species	No. of sequence	Relevant amino	acidsNo. of corresponding amino acids
NA I ^a	L ^b	16	T	16
	W	32	A/N/T	5/4/23
NA II	L	221	I/S	1/220
	W	15	S	15
NA III	L	39	A/N/T	1/6/32
	W	351	A/D/I/N/T	11/48/1/20/271
NA human isolates	He	3	S/T	1/2
EA^{c}	L/W	1,118	A/G/N/T	670/1/1/446

^{*a*} Genetic cluster I in North American lineage.

^b L, land-based poultry; W, waterfowl; H, human.

^{*c*} Eurasian lineage.



Frequent Reassortment of Internal Genes of H7 AIVs in NA-WB Lineage

Panoramic phylogenetic analyses of all H7 complete genomes showed a clear division of the two major lineages (North American and Eurasian) for each gene segment (Figure 25). It is noteworthy that the topology of the NS gene segment phylogeny showed a deep divergence between the A and B alleles: within each allele, virus isolated from North America and Eurasia was separated. Phylogenetic analysis of internal genes in the NA-WB lineage demonstrated a high level of heterogeneity (Figure 26). Multiple distinct clades could be identified for each gene segment. The largest number of clades was observed in the PB2 phylogeny, which had 14 clades. Phylogeny of PB1, PA, and NP gene segments could be separated into 12 clades. Less genetic diversity was observed for the matrix protein and NS gene segments, which had three and two distinct clades, respectively.

The frequencies and patterns of reassortment of the six internal gene segments were assessed by determining the congruence among each gene's phylogenetic tree. Results showed that the topologies of the internal gene trees were more similar to each other than to random phylogenetic trees (Figure 27), suggesting that the internal gene segments are not completely independent from each other. However, the dissimilarities in tree topology were extensive. The most significant incongruence was observed between NS and other gene segments; the NS gene tree was found to be closer in topology to random trees rather than to the other five internal gene trees. These results indicate frequent reassortment of the internal genes for H7 AIVs in lineage NA-WB; no clear link among specific gene segments was observed.



We assigned genotypes to viruses in the NA-WB lineage and analyzed the dynamics of these genotypes. Because 91% of the viruses were isolated during 2001–2013, our analysis was constrained to viruses from this time period. H7 AIVs in the NA-WB lineage demonstrated diverse genotypes: we identified 104 distinct genotypes for the internal gene constellation (Figure 28). Multiple genotypes were observed in the same year, and the largest number of genotype (29) was observed in 2009. Internal gene constellations were transient rather than stable, and no individual genotype existed throughout 2001–2013. A total of 92 genotypes existed for only one year, and two genotypes existed for the maximal time span of five years.





Figure 25 Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for internal gene segments of H7 AIVs from Eurasian and North American lineage.

Black bars on the lower right indicate two alleles in the NS gene segment phylogenetic tree. Bootstrap values estimated from 100 resamplings of the sequence data are shown adjacent to selected nodes.





Figure 26 Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees for internal gene segments of H7 AIVs derived from wild birds in North America.

Boxes represent the genetic clades. Scale bars indicate substitutions per site.







Each column represents the difference in log likelihood value when six internal gene phylogenies and 100 random phylogenies were fitted to the same dataset. The difference in log likelihood value for each gene phylogeny is indicated by a colored dot. Range for random phylogenies is represented by two solid lines.





Figure 28 Year-by-year analysis of the evolution dynamics of internal gene constellations for H7 AIVs derived from wild birds in North America during 2001–2013.

Small black dots indicate gene constellations that existed for only one year. Larger colored dots indicate gene constellations that were observed in multiple years; the span of years is indicated by a dashed line between the dots, and colors indicate the number of years constellations existed.



Discussion

We genetically and antigenically characterized 93 H7 AIVs isolated from North America. Our results show that H7 AIVs in North America have wide genetic diversity. Gradual accumulation of nucleotide and amino acid substitutions is observed for the HA gene of H7 AIVs isolated from wild and domestic birds. Our results also show a limited antigenic diversity among the H7 viruses we tested.

In wild birds, the limited antigenic diversity for H7 AIVs is consistent with the concept of evolutionary stasis (248). These results are consistent with those from other studies of wild bird-origin H7 viruses in other regions. For example, a 2005 study showed that four H7 AIVs isolated from mallards in Sweden and the Netherlands in 2000 and 2002 had relatively conserved antigenic properties (249). In addition, antigenic differences between these mallard-derived H7 isolates and an HPAI H7N7 strain isolated in the Netherlands in 2003 were within a 4-fold change. No significant antigenic differences (i.e., within a 4-fold change) were observed for nine H7 AIVs isolated in Italy and China during 1999–2005 (196); of the nine isolates, four were H7N7 viruses derived from ducks in China in 2003, three were H7 isolates derived from mallards in Italy during 2001–2005, and two were H7 viruses derived from turkeys in Italy in 1999 and 2002.

Our molecular characterization results suggest that the genetic evolution pattern in the isolates we tested is gradual and stable. The estimated mean rate of evolution for the H7 gene of AIVs circulating among wild birds in North America is 4.60×10^{-3} sub/site/year, which is similar to the rate for Eurasian lineage H7 viruses (5.75×10^{-3} sub/site/year) (234). Selection analysis showed that the H7 gene is under strong purifying selection in wild birds; however, no amino acids at known antibody binding sites were



under positive selection. The limited antigenic diversity among the H7 isolates might be associated with the absence of certain pattern recognition receptors in the immune response system of wild birds. These receptors are triggered by influenza virus and could initiate the activation of innate immune responses. A 2010 study (250) showed that the presence of the retinoic acid–inducible gene 1 (RIG-I) in ducks induces the production of IFN- β and expression of downstream IFN-stimulated antiviral genes; however, the absence of RIG-I in chickens contributes to their increased susceptibility (compared with that of ducks) to influenza virus. In addition, Toll-like receptors (TLR) induce the expression of type I IFN and proinfammatory cytokines (251). TLRs 3,7,8, and 9 upregulate in naturally occurring influenza, and they are associated with innate virus inhibitory and proinflammatory responses (252). TLRs in birds differ from those in mammals. Furthermore, TLR9 is absent in avian species, and many TLRs in wild birds have yet to be identified (253).

Vaccination is a key component of the control strategy for HPAI viruses. However, antigenic variations in AIVs from domestic poultry have been reported, and these variations seem to be caused by the use of vaccine in poultry flocks. In 2002, poultry flocks across Italy were vaccinated to prevent an outbreak of H7N3 virus; the vaccine contained an inactivated H7N1 AIV (i.e., a vaccine with the same HA subtype as the outbreak virus but with an antigenically and genetically different NA subtype). Antigenic characterization of a longitudinal collection of 41 isolates showed large antigenic difference between viruses isolated before and after implementation of the vaccination program (169). Antigenic change was mirrored by the simultaneous appearance of four amino acid substitutions within the antibody binding sites, and one



amino acid was positively selected after the use of vaccine. In addition, the poultry vaccination program in Mexico has facilitated the antigenic evolution of HPAI H5N2 virus in that country. An outbreak of HPAI H5N2 virus was detected in 1994 in Mexico, and a vaccination program, using inactivated vaccine, was implemented in 1995. Phylogenetic analysis of 52 H5N2 viruses isolated during 1993–2002 showed that two genetically different sub-lineages had emerged after introduction of the vaccine program and replaced the early sub-lineages (168). Viruses in these two new sub-lineages had undergone antigenic drift and acquired a more than 4-fold antigenic change from the vaccine strain. Six amino acid substitutions located within antibody sites A, B, and C were detected for these two novel sub-lineages. Similar changes were observed for the emergence of an H5N1 influenza variant in China. Since September 2005, routine vaccination programs against H5N1 virus in domestic poultry have been conducted nationwide in China. However, results of serologic testing of serum samples from 1,113 chickens in Guangdong and Guizhou, China, suggested that among the vaccinated poultry, protection against an FJ-like H5N1 variant was poor compared with that against other co-circulating H5N1 viruses (254). The presence of vaccine pressure probably selected the FJ-like H5N1 viruses, and these viruses became predominant in the region.

The change of antigenicity in these outbreaks was mirrored by the observed fast rate of evolution. The H7N3 AIVs from the Italian epidemic were estimated to evolve at a mean rate of $8.04 \times 10 \times 10^{-3}$ sub/site/year, whereas the evolutionary rates for two emerging H5N2 sub-lineages in Mexico were estimated to be 12×10^{-3} and 10×10^{-3} sub/site/year, respectively. These evolutionary rates are significantly higher than those reported for H7 and H5 viruses from domestic poultry in the absence of vaccine program,



as exemplified by the range of evolutionary rates for H5N1 viruses isolated from Thailand, Turkey, and Nigeria: 2.5×10^{-3} to 5.2×10^{-3} sub/site/year (166). The findings from these studies (166, 168, 169, 254) suggest that the presence of vaccine pressure may drive antigenic drift of AIVs in domestic poultry. In our study, antigenic cartography showed that the average antigenic distance among seven poultry-origin H7 isolates was 1.13 units (SD, 0.71 unit). The limited antigenic diversity may be attributable to the absence of large-scale vaccination programs against H7 AIVs in North America, with the exception of Mexico. The estimated mean evolution rate for the H7 gene of AIVs from domestic poultry in the United States was 5.34×10^{-3} sub/site/year. This rate is significantly lower than that found in the presence of a vaccination program but similar to that found in the absence of vaccine program.

Adaptations are required when IAVs are transmitted across species, including from waterfowl to land-based birds (e.g., chickens and turkeys) (9). HA protein plays an important role in host-cell recognition, and mutation in HA protein has been identified as a major determinant of host shift to domestic poultry. Previous research identified two amino acid substitutions in the HA1 protein for H7 AIVs after their introduction from wild birds to domestic poultry (150). Furthermore, a 1997 study showed that evolution of the HA gene could increase significantly after introduction into domestic poultry; the evolution rate for the HA gene of H5 AIVs increased significantly after introduction into domestic poultry in Mexico (255). Our results showed the same trend for the H7 gene of AIVs from North America: the HA gene of poultry-origin H7 AIVs evolves faster than that of waterfowl-origin viruses. Moreover, results showed that the evolution rate for the H7 gene was faster during 1994–1996 than in subsequent years. The rapid evolution



during 1994–1996 was mirrored by an 8–amino acid deletion in 1996 at positions 212– 219 in the HA1 protein. This deletion removes five of six consecutive amino acids in part of the receptor binding site. These findings suggest that the H7 gene underwent rapid adaptation in the receptor binding domain after introduction into domestic poultry.

Of interest, position 189 (H3 numbering; H7 numbering, 180) in the HA1 protein was identified as being under positive selection pressure, and this site is located in the receptor binding site for H7 IAVs (256). Extensive polymorphisms, representing six distinct amino acids (A, D, I, N, S, and T), are present at position 189. A previous study showed that propagation of human H1N1 influenza virus in embryonated chicken eggs could cause the substitution E189K at the HA1 protein (257). R189K was found to have contributed to the antigenic drift of H3N2 IAV (258), and position 189 plays an important role for the antigenicity of H3 equine influenza virus (259). Another study showed that single amino acid changes at position A186D (H3 numbering, 189) could increase yield of A/California/7/09(H1N1) virus in eggs (260). Most influenza viruses recovered from avian samples have been propagated by using chicken embryonated eggs, and a previous study demonstrated frequent adaptation of H1N1 waterfowl-origin AIVs during propagation in embryonated eggs (261). The receptors in chicken embryonated eggs and those in the mallard gastrointestinal track are not exactly the same. In addition, expression of sialic acids showed substantial host-specific distinctions among avian species. Expression of $\alpha 2,3$ -linked sialic acids and $\alpha 2,6$ -linked sialic acids were observed in chicken trachea, whereas $\alpha 2,3$ -linked sialic acids were predominant in ducks (262, 263). Thus, it is possible that the polymorphisms and positive selection detected in position 189 in the HA1 protein were due to viral propagation in embryonated eggs.



Our phylogenetic analysis identified the 2-way intercontinental flow of the AIV H7 gene through the migration of wild birds. At least two independent introductions (in 1992 and 1994) from the Eurasian genetic pool to the North American genetic pool were identified. These introductions were not observed in earlier studies with smaller datasets (264, 265). Early research detected a subtype H6 IAV in the United States with an HA gene derived from the Eurasian gene pool; the virus subsequently caused an outbreak among poultry in California during 2000–2002 (50). The introduced Eurasian H6 virus has led to the replacement of the endemic H6 AIVs in North America. Recently, outbreaks of novel HPAI H5 viruses have been detected in the United States and Canada. These viruses originated from a wholly Eurasia-origin H5N8 virus introduced to North America by migratory birds through Beringia in 2014 (266). Two novel reassortants (H5N2 and H5N1) were generated by reassortments with viruses circulating in North America. The Beringian Crucible, including Alaska and the Russian Far East, serves as a common breeding area for diverse bird species from Asia and North America. This area provides an ideal environment for reassortment between IAVs carried by migratory birds from distinct gene pools, and it allows for the intercontinental transfer of gene segments or whole virus. Gene flow increases the diversity in individual genetic pools, and diversity could enhance the risk of generating novel strains that can spread more efficiently among birds and even cross the species barrier and cause transmission to mammals.

Our evolutionary analysis revealed frequent reassortment of six internal gene segments of H7 AIVs in the NA-WB lineage; no clear link was identified for any specific gene segment. The internal gene constellation was diverse and transient. This finding was



concordant with those from a 2008 study conducted with a smaller dataset of AIVs and without differentiating the HA subtype (48). However, our finding of a diverse and transient gene constellation differed from the finding of a limited number of stable internal gene cassettes for IAVs adapted to mammals. The eight gene segments of IAVs could evolve differently due to different selection pressures. The evolution of the internal gene segments may be determined by functional constraints rather than immune pressure for the genes coded for the two surface proteins. In wild birds, internal protein genes are highly conserved on the amino acid level, and they could form a large pool of functionally equivalent gene segments. Such a pool would allow frequent reassortment because the exchange of functionally equivalent gene segments is not likely to attenuate the relative fitness of the reassorted viruses. For mammalian adapted IAVs, certain internal gene constellations were suggested to confer a selective advantage to the virus (227). Mutations may be acquired for internal genes after adaptation to a new host, and those mutations would separate the viruses from those in the natural reservoir. The protein-protein interaction could force the co-evolution of these gene segments and maintain the existence of a specific internal gene constellation.

In summary, our findings demonstrate a limited antigenic diversity among contemporary H7 avian-origin IAVs from North America. H7 IAVs from wild birds, domestic poultry, and a seal, which together represent a diverse geographic and temporal coverage, were included in this study. The limitation of this study was the small number of H7N3 isolates from the ongoing AIV outbreak in Mexico. Additional studies on a longitudinal collection of H7N3 viruses from the outbreak in Mexico would add to our understanding of the influence vaccination programs have on genetic and antigenic



evolutionary dynamics. LPAI H7N9 viruses are enzootic in China (234), but the antigenic properties of these viruses have not been characterized. An antigenic comparison of H7 AIVs from the United State and those from outbreaks in other regions, including China and Europe, is also lacking. Due to the possible transmission of H7 AIVs across regions and continents, constant monitoring of emerging H7 AIVs in North America must continue.



CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSIONS

The emergence of novel avian origin IAVs presents a continuous threat to animal and public health. The objectives of this dissertation research are to infer the genesis of two emerging avian origin IAVs, LPAI H10N8 and HPAI H7N8 viruses, and to investigate the antigenic diversity and genetic evolution of H7 AIVs isolated from North America over the past 40 years. The findings and contributions are concluded as following.

First, a gradual increase in IAV prevalence and detection of H10 viruses was observed in the LPM visited by the first patient infected with the novel H10N8 virus. AIVs that are genetically close to the human H10N8 isolate were recovered from this LPM. High seroprevalence of H10 virus was observed in chickens and ducks from five LPMs in the city. These findings suggested that LPM is the most probable source of human infection with this novel H10N8 virus, and this virus appears to present throughout the LPM system in the city. These findings also highlight the role of LPMs in the zoonotic transmission of AIVs and suggest that the existing influenza ecosystem in Southern China could favor the emergence of novel IAVs that present potential risk to animal and human health.

Second, the novel H7N8 virus most likely circulated among diving ducks in the Mississippi flyway during autumn 2015 and was subsequently introduced to Indiana



turkey, in which it evolved from LPAI into HPAI. H4N8 IAVs circulating among diving duck possess a gene constellation comprising five H7N8–like gene segments (except PA, HA, and NP, >98% sequence identity). Preceding the outbreak, an isolate with six gene segments (except NP and MP) sharing >99% sequence identity with those of H7N8 turkey viruses was recovered from a diving duck. These findings suggest that viral gene constellations circulating among diving ducks could contribute towards the emergence of IAVs that can affect domestic poultry, and diving ducks may serve as the potentially unique IAV reservoir or uniquely contribute to the maintenance, diversification, and transmission of IAVs in wild birds. These findings also highlight the importance of genomic sequencing and gene constellation characterization in wild bird IAV surveillance.

Third, a gradual accumulation of nucleotide and amino acid substitutions in the HA gene of H7 AIVs isolated from wild and domestic birds in North America has led to wide genetic diversity. Limited antigenic diversity was observed among the 93 North American contemporary H7 AIVs we tested. These findings suggested that continuous genetic evolution has not led to significant antigenic diversity for contemporary H7 AIVs from North America.

In summary, this dissertation study addresses the immediate public and animal health problem presented by the emergence of two novel avian origin IAVs, LPAI H10N8 and HPAI H7N8 viruses. In addition, it investigates the long-term antigenic and genetic evolution of H7 AIVs from North America over the past 40 years. The findings in this dissertation add to our knowledge of the natural history of IAVs and are critical for formulation of effective disease prevention and control strategies.



REFERENCES

- 1. **Morens DM, Folkers GK, Fauci AS.** 2004. The challenge of emerging and reemerging infectious diseases. Nature **430**:242-249.
- 2. **Potter CW.** 2001. A history of influenza. Journal of applied microbiology **91:**572-579.
- 3. **Bouvier NM, Palese P.** 2008. The biology of influenza viruses. Vaccine **26:**D49-D53.
- Webster RG, Bean WJ, Gorman OT, Chambers TM, Kawaoka Y. 1992. Evolution and ecology of influenza A viruses. Microbiological reviews 56:152-179.
- 5. **Hause BM, Collin EA, Liu R, Huang B, Sheng Z, Lu W, Wang D, Nelson EA, Li F.** 2014. Characterization of a novel influenza virus in cattle and swine: proposal for a new genus in the Orthomyxoviridae family. MBio **5**:e00031-00014.
- 6. **Collin EA, Sheng Z, Lang Y, Ma W, Hause BM, Li F.** 2015. Cocirculation of two distinct genetic and antigenic lineages of proposed influenza D virus in cattle. Journal of virology **89:**1036-1042.
- 7. **Osterhaus A, Rimmelzwaan G, Martina B, Bestebroer T, Fouchier R.** 2000. Influenza B virus in seals. Science **288:**1051-1053.
- 8. Kimura H, Abiko C, Peng G, Muraki Y, Sugawara K, Hongo S, Kitame F, Mizuta K, Numazaki Y, Suzuki H. 1997. Interspecies transmission of influenza C virus between humans and pigs. Virus research **48**:71-79.
- 9. **Taubenberger JK, Kash JC.** 2010. Influenza virus evolution, host adaptation, and pandemic formation. Cell host & microbe **7:**440-451.
- 10. **Horimoto T, Kawaoka Y.** 2001. Pandemic threat posed by avian influenza A viruses. Clinical microbiology reviews **14:**129-149.
- Tong S, Li Y, Rivailler P, Conrardy C, Castillo DAA, Chen L-M, Recuenco S, Ellison JA, Davis CT, York IA. 2012. A distinct lineage of influenza A virus from bats. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109:4269-4274.



- 12. **Reid AH, Taubenberger JK.** 2003. The origin of the 1918 pandemic influenza virus: a continuing enigma. Journal of General Virology **84:**2285-2292.
- 13. **Taubenberger JK, Morens DM.** 2006. 1918 Influenza: the mother of all pandemics. Rev Biomed **17:**69-79.
- Johnson NP, Mueller J. 2002. Updating the accounts: global mortality of the 1918-1920" Spanish" influenza pandemic. Bulletin of the History of Medicine 76:105-115.
- Thompson M, Shay D, Zhou H, Bridges C, Cheng P, Burns E, Bresee J, Cox N. 2010. Estimates of deaths associated with seasonal influenza-United States, 1976-2007. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 59:1057-1062.
- Thompson WW, Shay DK, Weintraub E, Brammer L, Bridges CB, Cox NJ, Fukuda K. 2004. Influenza-associated hospitalizations in the United States. Jama 292:1333-1340.
- 17. **Molinari N-AM, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Messonnier ML, Thompson WW, Wortley PM, Weintraub E, Bridges CB.** 2007. The annual impact of seasonal influenza in the US: measuring disease burden and costs. Vaccine **25**:5086-5096.
- 18. **Swayne D, Suarez D.** 2000. Highly pathogenic avian influenza. Revue Scientifique et Technique-office International des Epizooties **19:4**63-475.
- Stegeman A, Bouma A, Elbers AR, de Jong MC, Nodelijk G, de Klerk F, Koch G, van Boven M. 2004. Avian influenza A virus (H7N7) epidemic in The Netherlands in 2003: course of the epidemic and effectiveness of control measures. Journal of Infectious Diseases 190:2088-2095.
- Subbarao K, Klimov A, Katz J, Regnery H, Lim W, Hall H, Perdue M, Swayne D, Bender C, Huang J. 1998. Characterization of an avian influenza A (H5N1) virus isolated from a child with a fatal respiratory illness. Science 279:393-396.
- 21. **Cauthen AN, Swayne DE, Schultz-Cherry S, Perdue ML, Suarez DL.** 2000. Continued circulation in China of highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses encoding the hemagglutinin gene associated with the 1997 H5N1 outbreak in poultry and humans. Journal of virology **74:**6592-6599.
- 22. Peiris J, Yu W, Leung C, Cheung C, Ng W, Nicholls Ja, Ng T, Chan K, Lai S, Lim W. 2004. Re-emergence of fatal human influenza A subtype H5N1 disease. The Lancet 363:617-619.
- 23. Hien TT, Liem NT, Dung NT, San LT, Mai PP, Chau NvV, Suu PT, Dong VC, Mai LTQ, Thi NT. 2004. Avian influenza A (H5N1) in 10 patients in Vietnam. New England Journal of Medicine **350**:1179-1188.



- 24. Sedyaningsih ER, Isfandari S, Setiawaty V, Rifati L, Harun S, Purba W, Imari S, Giriputra S, Blair PJ, Putnam SD. 2007. Epidemiology of cases of H5N1 virus infection in Indonesia, July 2005–June 2006. Journal of Infectious Diseases 196:522-527.
- 25. Lee C-W, Suarez DL, Tumpey TM, Sung H-W, Kwon Y-K, Lee Y-J, Choi J-G, Joh S-J, Kim M-C, Lee E-K. 2005. Characterization of highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza A viruses isolated from South Korea. Journal of Virology **79**:3692-3702.
- 26. Viseshakul N, Thanawongnuwech R, Amonsin A, Suradhat S, Payungporn S, Keawchareon J, Oraveerakul K, Wongyanin P, Plitkul S, Theamboonlers A. 2004. The genome sequence analysis of H5N1 avian influenza A virus isolated from the outbreak among poultry populations in Thailand. Virology **328**:169-176.
- 27. Liu J, Xiao H, Lei F, Zhu Q, Qin K, Zhang X-W, Zhang X-I, Zhao D, Wang G, Feng Y. 2005. Highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus infection in migratory birds. Science **309**:1206-1206.
- 28. Ducatez M, Olinger C, Owoade A, De Landtsheer S, Ammerlaan W, Niesters H, Osterhaus A, Fouchier R, Muller C. 2006. Avian flu: multiple introductions of H5N1 in Nigeria. Nature 442:37-37.
- 29. Salzberg SL, Kingsford C, Cattoli G, Spiro DJ, Janies DA, Aly MM, Brown IH, Couacy-Hymann E, De Mia GM, Dung DH. 2007. Genome analysis linking recent European and African influenza (H5N1) viruses. Emerging infectious diseases 13:713.
- 30. Alexander DJ. 2000. A review of avian influenza in different bird species. Veterinary microbiology 74:3-13.
- 31. **Becker W.** 1966. The isolation and classification of tern virus: influenza virus A/tern/South Africa/1961. Journal of Hygiene **64:**309-320.
- 32. Slemons RD, Johnson DC, Osborn JS, Hayes F. 1974. Type-A influenza viruses isolated from wild free-flying ducks in California. Avian diseases:119-124.
- Olsen B, Munster VJ, Wallensten A, Waldenström J, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. 2006. Global patterns of influenza A virus in wild birds. science 312:384-388.
- 34. Vandegrift KJ, Sokolow SH, Daszak P, Kilpatrick AM. 2010. Ecology of avian influenza viruses in a changing world. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1195:113-128.



- 35. **Hinshaw V, Webster R, Turner B.** 1980. The perpetuation of orthomyxoviruses and paramyxoviruses in Canadian waterfowl. Canadian Journal of Microbiology **26**:622-629.
- 36. Kawaoka Y, Chambers TM, Sladen WL, Gwebster R. 1988. Is the gene pool of influenza viruses in shorebirds and gulls different from that in wild ducks? Virology 163:247-250.
- 37. Fouchier RA, Munster V, Wallensten A, Bestebroer TM, Herfst S, Smith D, Rimmelzwaan GF, Olsen B, Osterhaus AD. 2005. Characterization of a novel influenza A virus hemagglutinin subtype (H16) obtained from black-headed gulls. Journal of virology 79:2814-2822.
- Krauss S, Obert CA, Franks J, Walker D, Jones K, Seiler P, Niles L, Pryor SP, Obenauer JC, Naeve CW. 2007. Influenza in migratory birds and evidence of limited intercontinental virus exchange. PLOS pathog 3:e167.
- 39. **Slemons RD, Easterday BC.** 1978. Virus replication in the digestive tract of ducks exposed by aerosol to type-A influenza. Avian diseases:367-377.
- 40. Webster RG, Yakhno M, Hinshaw VS, Bean WJ, Murti KC. 1978. Intestinal influenza: replication and characterization of influenza viruses in ducks. Virology 84:268-278.
- 41. **Stallknecht D, Shane S, Kearney M, Zwank P.** 1990. Persistence of avian influenza viruses in water. Avian diseases:406-411.
- 42. **Ito T, Gorman OT, Kawaoka Y, Bean WJ, Webster RG.** 1991. Evolutionary analysis of the influenza A virus M gene with comparison of the M1 and M2 proteins. Journal of virology **65**:5491-5498.
- 43. Lin Y, Shu L, Wright S, Bean W, Sharp G, Shortridge K, Webster R. 1994. Analysis of the influenza virus gene pool of avian species from southern China. Virology **198:**557-566.
- 44. **Donis RO, Bean WJ, Kawaoka Y, Webster RG.** 1989. Distinct lineages of influenza virus H4 hemagglutinin genes in different regions of the world. Virology **169:**408-417.
- 45. Widjaja L, Krauss SL, Webby RJ, Xie T, Webster RG. 2004. Matrix gene of influenza a viruses isolated from wild aquatic birds: ecology and emergence of influenza a viruses. Journal of virology **78:**8771-8779.
- 46. Winker K, Gibson DD. 2010. The Asia-to-America influx of avian influenza wild bird hosts is large. Avian diseases **54:**477-482.



- 47. Koehler AV, Pearce JM, Flint PL, Franson JC, Ip HS. 2008. Genetic evidence of intercontinental movement of avian influenza in a migratory bird: the northern pintail (Anas acuta). Molecular Ecology 17:4754-4762.
- 48. **Dugan VG, Chen R, Spiro DJ, Sengamalay N, Zaborsky J, Ghedin E, Nolting J, Swayne DE, Runstadler JA, Happ GM.** 2008. The evolutionary genetics and emergence of avian influenza viruses in wild birds. PLoS Pathog **4**:e1000076.
- Webby R, Woolcock P, Krauss S, Walker D, Chin P, Shortridge K, Webster R. 2003. Multiple genotypes of nonpathogenic H6N2 influenza viruses isolated from chickens in California. Avian diseases 47:905-910.
- 50. **Woolcock P, Suarez D, Kuney D.** 2003. Low-pathogenicity avian influenza virus (H6N2) in chickens in California, 2000-02. Avian diseases **47**:872-881.
- 51. **Halvorson D.** 2002, p 65-69. Proceedings of the 53rd north central avian disease conference, Minneapolis, 6-8 October 2002.
- 52. **Halvorson D, Kelleher C, Senne D.** 1985. Epizootiology of avian influenza: effect of season on incidence in sentinel ducks and domestic turkeys in Minnesota. Applied and environmental microbiology **49**:914-919.
- 53. Swayne D, Beck J, Garcia M, Stone H. 1999. Influence of virus strain and antigen mass on efficacy of H5 avian influenza inactivated vaccines. Avian Pathology 28:245-255.
- 54. **Suarez D, Schultz-Cherry S.** 2000. Immunology of avian influenza virus: a review. Developmental & Comparative Immunology **24:**269-283.
- 55. Webster RG, Rott R. 1987. Influenza virus A pathogenicity: the pivotal role of hemagglutinin. Cell **50:**665-666.
- 56. Lee C-W, Saif YM. 2009. Avian influenza virus. Comparative immunology, microbiology and infectious diseases **32:**301-310.
- 57. Eagles D, Siregar E, Dung D, Weaver J, Wong F, Daniels P. 2009. H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza in Southeast Asia. Revue scientifique et technique 28:341.
- 58. **Cattoli G, Fusaro A, Monne I, Capua I.** 2009. H5N1 virus evolution in Europe—an updated overview. Viruses 1:1351-1363.
- 59. Alexander DJ. 2007. Summary of avian influenza activity in Europe, Asia, Africa, and Australasia, 2002-2006. Avian diseases **51:**161-166.



- 60. Suarez DL, Senne DA, Banks J, Brown IH, Essen SC, Lee C-W, Manvell RJ, Mathieu-Benson C, Moreno V, Pedersen JC. 2004. Recombination resulting in virulence shift in avian influenza outbreak, Chile. Emerg Infect Dis 10:693-699.
- 61. Hirst M, Astell CR, Griffith M, Coughlin SM, Moksa M, Zeng T, Smailus DE, Holt RA, Jones S, Marra MA. 2004. Novel avian influenza H7N3 strain outbreak, British Columbia. Emerging infectious diseases 10:2192.
- 62. Berhane Y, Hisanaga T, Kehler H, Neufeld J, Manning L, Argue C, Handel K, Hooper-McGrevy K, Jonas M, Robinson J. 2009. Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus A (H7N3) in domestic poultry, Saskatchewan, Canada, 2007. Emerg Infect Dis 15:1492-1495.
- 63. **Maurer-Stroh S, Lee RT, Gunalan V, Eisenhaber F.** 2013. The highly pathogenic H7N3 avian influenza strain from July 2012 in Mexico acquired an extended cleavage site through recombination with host 28S rRNA. Virology journal **10:**1.
- 64. Lopez-Martinez I, Balish A, Barrera-Badillo G, Jones J, Nuñez-García TE, Jang Y, Aparicio-Antonio R, Azziz-Baumgartner E, Belser JA, Ramirez-Gonzalez JE. 2013. Highly pathogenic avian influenza A (H7N3) virus in poultry workers, Mexico, 2012. Emerging infectious diseases 19:1531.
- 65. Lee Y-J, Kang H-M, Lee E-K, Song B-M, Jeong J, Kwon Y-K, Kim H-R, Lee K-J, Hong M-S, Jang I. 2014. Novel reassortant influenza A (H5N8) viruses, South Korea, 2014. Emerging infectious diseases **20:**1087.
- 66. **Wu H, Peng X, Xu L, Jin C, Cheng L, Lu X, Xie T, Yao H, Wu N.** 2014. Novel reassortant influenza A (H5N8) viruses in domestic ducks, eastern China. Emerging infectious diseases **20:**1315.
- 67. Ip HS, Torchetti MK, Crespo R, Kohrs P, DeBruyn P, Mansfield KG, Baszler T, Badcoe L, Bodenstein B, Shearn-Bochsler V. 2015. Novel Eurasian Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A H5 Viruses in Wild Birds, Washington, USA, 2014. Emerging infectious diseases 21:886.
- 68. Clement T, Kutish GF, Nezworski J, Scaria J, Nelson E, Christopher-Hennings J, Diel DG. 2015. Complete genome sequence of a highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N2) associated with an outbreak in commercial chickens, Iowa, USA, 2015. Genome announcements **3**:e00613-00615.
- 69. **Pasick J, Berhane Y, Joseph T, Bowes V, Hisanaga T, Handel K,** Alexandersen S. 2015. Reassortant highly pathogenic influenza A H5N2 virus containing gene segments related to Eurasian H5N8 in British Columbia, Canada, 2014. Scientific reports **5**.



- 70. Torchetti MK, Killian ML, Dusek RJ, Pedersen JC, Hines N, Bodenstein B, White CL, Ip HS. 2015. Novel H5 clade 2.3. 4.4 reassortant (H5N1) virus from a green-winged teal in Washington, USA. Genome announcements 3:e00195-00115.
- 71. **Jhung MA, Nelson DI.** 2015. Outbreaks of avian influenza A (H5N2),(H5N8), and (H5N1) among birds—United States, December 2014–January 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **64:**111.
- 72. **Koen J.** 1919. A practical method for field diagnosis of swine diseases. Am J Vet Med **14:**468-470.
- 73. **Shope RE.** 1931. Swine influenza III. Filtration experiments and etiology. The Journal of experimental medicine **54**:373-385.
- 74. **Brown IH.** 2000. The epidemiology and evolution of influenza viruses in pigs. Veterinary microbiology **74:**29-46.
- 75. **Hinshaw VS, Bean WJ, Webster RG, Easterday B.** 1978. The prevalence of influenza viruses in swine and the antigenic and genetic relatedness of influenza viruses from man and swine. Virology **84:**51-62.
- 76. Chambers T, Hinshaw VS, Kawaoka Y, Easterday B, Webster R. 1991. Influenza viral infection of swine in the United States 1988–1989. Archives of virology 116:261-265.
- 77. **Olsen C, Carey S, Hinshaw L, Karasin A.** 2000. Virologic and serologic surveillance for human, swine and avian influenza virus infections among pigs in the north-central United States. Archives of virology **145**:1399-1419.
- 78. **Olsen CW.** 2002. The emergence of novel swine influenza viruses in North America. Virus research **85:**199-210.
- 79. Zhou NN, Senne DA, Landgraf JS, Swenson SL, Erickson G, Rossow K, Liu L, Yoon K-j, Krauss S, Webster RG. 1999. Genetic reassortment of avian, swine, and human influenza A viruses in American pigs. Journal of virology 73:8851-8856.
- 80. Vincent AL, Ma W, Lager KM, Janke BH, Richt JA. 2008. Swine influenza viruses: a North American perspective. Advances in virus research 72:127-154.
- 81. **Pensaert M, Ottis K, Vandeputte J, Kaplan MM, Bachmann P.** 1981. Evidence for the natural transmission of influenza A virus from wild ducks to swine and its potential importance for man. Bulletin of the World Health Organization **59:**75.



- 82. Scholtissek C, Bürger H, Bachmann P, Hannoun C. 1983. Genetic relatedness of hemagglutinins of the H1 subtype of influenza A viruses isolated from swine and birds. Virology 129:521-523.
- 83. Schultz U, Fitch WM, Ludwig S, Mandler J, Scholtissek C. 1991. Evolution of pig influenza viruses. Virology 183:61-73.
- 84. Su S, Qi W, Chen J, Zhu W, Huang Z, Xie J, Zhang G. 2013. Seroepidemiological evidence of avian influenza A virus transmission to pigs in southern China. Journal of clinical microbiology **51**:601-602.
- Guan Y, Shortridge K, Krauss S, Li P, Kawaoka Y, Webster R. 1996. Emergence of avian H1N1 influenza viruses in pigs in China. Journal of virology 70:8041-8046.
- 86. **Hu Y, Liu X, Li S, Guo X, Yang Y, Jin M.** 2012. Complete genome sequence of a novel H4N1 influenza virus isolated from a pig in central China. Journal of virology **86:**13879-13879.
- 87. Su S, Qi W-b, Chen J-d, Cao N, Zhu W-j, Yuan L-g, Wang H, Zhang G-h. 2012. Complete genome sequence of an avian-like H4N8 swine influenza virus discovered in southern China. Journal of virology **86**:9542-9542.
- 88. He L, Zhao G, Zhong L, Liu Q, Duan Z, Gu M, Wang X, Liu X, Liu X. 2013. Isolation and characterization of two H5N1 influenza viruses from swine in Jiangsu Province of China. Archives of virology 158:2531-2541.
- Zhang G, Kong W, Qi W, Long L-P, Cao Z, Huang L, Qi H, Cao N, Wang W, Zhao F. 2011. Identification of an H6N6 swine influenza virus in southern China. Infection, Genetics and Evolution 11:1174-1177.
- 90. **Zhao G, Chen C, Huang J, Wang Y, Peng D, Liu X.** 2013. Characterisation of one H6N6 influenza virus isolated from swine in China. Research in veterinary science **95**:434-436.
- 91. Cong YL, Pu J, Liu QF, Wang S, Zhang GZ, Zhang XL, Fan WX, Brown EG, Liu JH. 2007. Antigenic and genetic characterization of H9N2 swine influenza viruses in China. Journal of General Virology **88**:2035-2041.
- 92. Wang N, Zou W, Yang Y, Guo X, Hua Y, Zhang Q, Zhao Z, Jin M. 2012. Complete genome sequence of an H10N5 avian influenza virus isolated from pigs in central China. Journal of virology **86:**13865-13866.
- 93. Ito T, Couceiro JNS, Kelm S, Baum LG, Krauss S, Castrucci MR, Donatelli I, Kida H, Paulson JC, Webster RG. 1998. Molecular basis for the generation in pigs of influenza A viruses with pandemic potential. Journal of virology 72:7367-7373.



- 94. Scholtissek C. 1990. Pigs as 'mixing vessels' for the creation of new pandemic influenza A viruses. Medical Principles and Practice 2:65-71.
- 95. Garten RJ, Davis CT, Russell CA, Shu B, Lindstrom S, Balish A, Sessions WM, Xu X, Skepner E, Deyde V. 2009. Antigenic and genetic characteristics of swine-origin 2009 A (H1N1) influenza viruses circulating in humans. science 325:197-201.
- 96. Scholtissek C, Rohde Wv, Von Hoyningen V, Rott R. 1978. On the origin of the human influenza virus subtypes H2N2 and H3N2. Virology 87:13-20.
- 97. Schäffr JR, Kawaoka Y, Bean WJ, Süss J, Senne D, Webster RG. 1993. Origin of the pandemic 1957 H2 influenza A virus and the persistence of its possible progenitors in the avian reservoir. Virology **194:**781-788.
- 98. **Kawaoka Y, Krauss S, Webster RG.** 1989. Avian-to-human transmission of the PB1 gene of influenza A viruses in the 1957 and 1968 pandemics. Journal of virology **63:**4603-4608.
- 99. **Kilbourne ED.** 2006. Influenza pandemics of the 20th century. Emerging infectious diseases **12**:9.
- 100. Morens DM, Fauci AS. 2007. The 1918 influenza pandemic: insights for the 21st century. Journal of Infectious Diseases **195:**1018-1028.
- Taubenberger JK, Reid AH, Lourens RM, Wang R, Jin G, Fanning TG. 2005. Characterization of the 1918 influenza virus polymerase genes. Nature 437:889-893.
- 102. Taubenberger JK, Reid AH, Krafft AE, Bijwaard KE, Fanning TG. 1997. Initial genetic characterization of the 1918 "Spanish" influenza virus. Science 275:1793-1796.
- 103. **Reid AH, Fanning TG, Hultin JV, Taubenberger JK.** 1999. Origin and evolution of the 1918 "Spanish" influenza virus hemagglutinin gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **96**:1651-1656.
- 104. **Virus NS-OIAHN, Team I.** 2009. Emergence of a novel swine-origin influenza A (H1N1) virus in humans. N Engl j Med **2009:**2605-2615.
- 105. Neumann G, Noda T, Kawaoka Y. 2009. Emergence and pandemic potential of swine-origin H1N1 influenza virus. Nature **459**:931-939.
- 106. **Rambaut A, Holmes E.** 2009. The early molecular epidemiology of the swineorigin A/H1N1 human influenza pandemic. PLoS currents **1**.



- 107. Simonsen L, Spreeuwenberg P, Lustig R, Taylor RJ, Fleming DM, Kroneman M, Van Kerkhove MD, Mounts AW, Paget WJ. 2013. Global mortality estimates for the 2009 Influenza Pandemic from the GLaMOR project: a modeling study. PLoS Med 10:e1001558.
- 108. Dapat IC, Dapat C, Baranovich T, Suzuki Y, Kondo H, Shobugawa Y, Saito R, Suzuki H, Group JICS. 2012. Genetic characterization of human influenza viruses in the pandemic (2009–2010) and post-pandemic (2010–2011) periods in Japan. PloS one 7:e36455.
- 109. de la Rosa-Zamboni D, Vázquez-Pérez JA, Ávila-Ríos S, Carranco-Arenas AP, Ormsby CE, Cummings CA, Soto-Nava M, Hernández-Hernández VA, Orozco-Sánchez CO, Alvarado-de la Barrera C. 2012. Molecular characterization of the predominant influenza A (H1N1) pdm09 virus in Mexico, December 2011–February 2012. PloS one 7:e50116.
- 110. Zehender G, Pariani E, Piralla A, Lai A, Gabanelli E, Ranghiero A, Ebranati E, Amendola A, Campanini G, Rovida F. 2012. Reconstruction of the evolutionary dynamics of the A (H1N1) pdm09 influenza virus in Italy during the pandemic and post-pandemic phases. PloS one 7:e47517.
- 111. **Trifonov V, Khiabanian H, Rabadan R.** 2009. Geographic dependence, surveillance, and origins of the 2009 influenza A (H1N1) virus. New England Journal of Medicine **361:**115-119.
- 112. Smith GJ, Vijaykrishna D, Bahl J, Lycett SJ, Worobey M, Pybus OG, Ma SK, Cheung CL, Raghwani J, Bhatt S. 2009. Origins and evolutionary genomics of the 2009 swine-origin H1N1 influenza A epidemic. Nature 459:1122-1125.
- 113. Mena I, Nelson MI, Quezada-Monroy F, Dutta J, Cortes-Fernández R, Lara-Puente JH, Castro-Peralta F, Cunha LF, Trovão NS, Lozano-Dubernard B. 2016. Origins of the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic in swine in Mexico. eLife 5:e16777.
- 114. **Heldt FS, Kupke SY, Dorl S, Reichl U, Frensing T.** 2015. Single-cell analysis and stochastic modelling unveil large cell-to-cell variability in influenza A virus infection. Nature communications **6**.
- 115. Domingo E, Martínez-Salas E, Sobrino F, de la Torre JC, Portela A, Ortín J, López-Galindez C, Pérez-Breña P, Villanueva N, Nájera R. 1985. The quasispecies (extremely heterogeneous) nature of viral RNA genome populations: biological relevance—a review. Gene 40:1-8.
- 116. Lauring AS, Andino R. 2010. Quasispecies theory and the behavior of RNA viruses. PLoS Pathog 6:e1001005.



- 117. Holland J, Spindler K, Horodyski F, Grabau E, Nichol S, VandePol S. 1982. Rapid evolution of RNA genomes. Science 215:1577-1585.
- 118. Wille M, Tolf C, Avril A, Latorre-Margalef N, Wallerström S, Olsen B, Waldenström J. 2013. Frequency and patterns of reassortment in natural influenza A virus infection in a reservoir host. Virology 443:150-160.
- 119. Hatchette TF, Walker D, Johnson C, Baker A, Pryor SP, Webster RG. 2004. Influenza A viruses in feral Canadian ducks: extensive reassortment in nature. Journal of General Virology 85:2327-2337.
- 120. **Rambaut A, Pybus OG, Nelson MI, Viboud C, Taubenberger JK, Holmes EC.** 2008. The genomic and epidemiological dynamics of human influenza A virus. Nature **453**:615-619.
- 121. Holmes EC, Ghedin E, Miller N, Taylor J, Bao Y, St George K, Grenfell BT, Salzberg SL, Fraser CM, Lipman DJ. 2005. Whole-genome analysis of human influenza A virus reveals multiple persistent lineages and reassortment among recent H3N2 viruses. PLoS Biol 3:e300.
- 122. Boni MF, Zhou Y, Taubenberger JK, Holmes EC. 2008. Homologous recombination is very rare or absent in human influenza A virus. Journal of virology 82:4807-4811.
- 123. Pasick J, Handel K, Robinson J, Copps J, Ridd D, Hills K, Kehler H, Cottam-Birt C, Neufeld J, Berhane Y. 2005. Intersegmental recombination between the haemagglutinin and matrix genes was responsible for the emergence of a highly pathogenic H7N3 avian influenza virus in British Columbia. Journal of General Virology 86:727-731.
- 124. Kida H, Kawaoka Y, Naeve CW, Webster RG. 1987. Antigenic and genetic conservation of H3 influenza virus in wild ducks. Virology **159**:109-119.
- 125. Bailey E, Long L, Zhao N, Hall JS, Baroch JA, Nolting J, Senter L, Cunningham FL, Pharr GT, Hanson L. 2016. Antigenic Characterization of H3 Subtypes of Avian Influenza A Viruses from North America. Avian Diseases.
- 126. **Fitch WM, Bush RM, Bender CA, Cox NJ.** 1997. Long term trends in the evolution of H (3) HA1 human influenza type A. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **94:**7712-7718.
- 127. Lewis NS, Anderson TK, Kitikoon P, Skepner E, Burke DF, Vincent AL. 2014. Substitutions near the hemagglutinin receptor-binding site determine the antigenic evolution of influenza A H3N2 viruses in US swine. Journal of virology 88:4752-4763.



- 128. **Suarez DL.** 2000. Evolution of avian influenza viruses. Veterinary microbiology **74:**15-27.
- 129. **Suarez DL, Garcia M, Latimer J, Senne D, Perdue M.** 1999. Phylogenetic analysis of H7 avian influenza viruses isolated from the live bird markets of the Northeast United States. Journal of virology **73**:3567-3573.
- 130. Smith DJ, Lapedes AS, de Jong JC, Bestebroer TM, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. 2004. Mapping the antigenic and genetic evolution of influenza virus. Science **305**:371-376.
- Sun H, Yang J, Zhang T, Long L-P, Jia K, Yang G, Webby RJ, Wan X-F. 2013. Using sequence data to infer the antigenicity of influenza virus. MBio 4:e00230-00213.
- 132. Logan W, MacKay D. 1951. Development of influenza epidemics. The Lancet 257:284-287.
- 133. **Isaacs A, Gledhill A, Andrewes CH.** 1952. Influenza A viruses: laboratory studies, with special reference to European outbreak of 1950-1. Bulletin of the World Health Organization **6:**287.
- Rasmussen A, STOKES JC, SMADEL JE. 1948. The army experience with influenza, 1946–1947. II. Laboratory aspects. American Journal of Epidemiology 47:142-149.
- 135. Kendal AP, Noble GR, Skehel JJ, Dowdle WR. 1978. Antigenic similarity of influenza A (H1N1) viruses from epidemics in 1977–1978 to "Scandinavian" strains isolated in epidemics of 1950–1951. Virology **89:**632-636.
- 136. Scholtissek C, Von Hoyningen V, Rott R. 1978. Genetic relatedness between the new 1977 epidemic strains (H1N1) of influenza and human influenza strains isolated between 1947 and 1957 (H1N1). Virology **89:**613-617.
- 137. Hay AJ, Gregory V, Douglas AR, Lin YP. 2001. The evolution of human influenza viruses. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci **356**:1861-1870.
- 138. Medina RA, Stertz S, Manicassamy B, Zimmermann P, Sun X, Albrecht RA, Uusi-Kerttula H, Zagordi O, Belshe RB, Frey SE. 2013. Glycosylations in the globular head of the hemagglutinin protein modulate the virulence and antigenic properties of the H1N1 influenza viruses. Science translational medicine 5:187ra170-187ra170.
- 139. Dea S, Bilodeau R, Sauvageau R, Montpetit C, Martineau G. 1992. Antigenic variant of swine influenza virus causing proliferative and necrotizing pneumonia in pigs. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation 4:380-392.



- 140. Olsen C, McGregor M, Cooley A, Schantz B, Hotze B, Hinshaw V. 1993. Antigenic and genetic analysis of a recently isolated H1N1 swine influenza virus. American journal of veterinary research 54:1630-1636.
- 141. **Rekik M, Arora D, Dea S.** 1994. Genetic variation in swine influenza virus A isolate associated with proliferative and necrotizing pneumonia in pigs. Journal of clinical microbiology **32:**515-518.
- 142. Lorusso A, Vincent AL, Harland ML, Alt D, Bayles DO, Swenson SL, Gramer MR, Russell CA, Smith DJ, Lager KM. 2011. Genetic and antigenic characterization of H1 influenza viruses from United States swine from 2008. Journal of General Virology 92:919-930.
- 143. Feng Z, Gomez J, Bowman AS, Ye J, Long L-P, Nelson SW, Yang J, Martin B, Jia K, Nolting JM. 2013. Antigenic characterization of H3N2 influenza A viruses from Ohio agricultural fairs. Journal of virology:JVI. 00804-00813.
- 144. De Jong J, Van Nieuwstadt A, Kimman T, Loeffen W, Bestebroer T, Bijlsma K, Verweij C, Osterhaus A, Claas E. 1999. Antigenic drift in swine influenza H3 haemagglutinins with implications for vaccination policy. Vaccine 17:1321-1328.
- 145. De Jong J, Smith D, Lapedes A, Donatelli I, Campitelli L, Barigazzi G, Van Reeth K, Jones T, Rimmelzwaan G, Osterhaus A. 2007. Antigenic and genetic evolution of swine influenza A (H3N2) viruses in Europe. Journal of virology 81:4315-4322.
- 146. Vines A, Wells K, Matrosovich M, Castrucci MR, Ito T, Kawaoka Y. 1998. The role of influenza A virus hemagglutinin residues 226 and 228 in receptor specificity and host range restriction. Journal of virology **72**:7626-7631.
- Connor RJ, Kawaoka Y, Webster RG, Paulson JC. 1994. Receptor specificity in human, avian, and equine H2 and H3 influenza virus isolates. Virology 205:17-23.
- 148. Matrosovich M, Tuzikov A, Bovin N, Gambaryan A, Klimov A, Castrucci MR, Donatelli I, Kawaoka Y. 2000. Early alterations of the receptor-binding properties of H1, H2, and H3 avian influenza virus hemagglutinins after their introduction into mammals. Journal of virology 74:8502-8512.
- 149. Banks J, Speidel E, Moore E, Plowright L, Piccirillo A, Capua I, Cordioli P, Fioretti A, Alexander D. 2001. Changes in the haemagglutinin and the neuraminidase genes prior to the emergence of highly pathogenic H7N1 avian influenza viruses in Italy. Archives of virology 146:963-973.



- 150. Campitelli L, Mogavero E, De Marco MA, Delogu M, Puzelli S, Frezza F, Facchini M, Chiapponi C, Foni E, Cordioli P. 2004. Interspecies transmission of an H7N3 influenza virus from wild birds to intensively reared domestic poultry in Italy. Virology **323:**24-36.
- 151. Mitnaul LJ, Matrosovich MN, Castrucci MR, Tuzikov AB, Bovin NV, Kobasa D, Kawaoka Y. 2000. Balanced hemagglutinin and neuraminidase activities are critical for efficient replication of influenza A virus. Journal of virology 74:6015-6020.
- 152. Zhang H, Li X, Guo J, Li L, Chang C, Li Y, Bian C, Xu K, Chen H, Sun B. 2014. The PB2 E627K mutation contributes to the high polymerase activity and enhanced replication of H7N9 influenza virus. Journal of General Virology 95:779-786.
- 153. Hatta M, Gao P, Halfmann P, Kawaoka Y. 2001. Molecular basis for high virulence of Hong Kong H5N1 influenza A viruses. Science **293:**1840-1842.
- 154. de Wit E, Munster VJ, van Riel D, Beyer WE, Rimmelzwaan GF, Kuiken T, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. 2010. Molecular determinants of adaptation of highly pathogenic avian influenza H7N7 viruses to efficient replication in the human host. Journal of virology 84:1597-1606.
- 155. Li Z, Chen H, Jiao P, Deng G, Tian G, Li Y, Hoffmann E, Webster RG, Matsuoka Y, Yu K. 2005. Molecular basis of replication of duck H5N1 influenza viruses in a mammalian mouse model. Journal of virology **79:**12058-12064.
- 156. **Stöhr K.** 2003. The global agenda on influenza surveillance and control. Vaccine **21:**1744-1748.
- 157. Salk JE, Suriano PC. 1949. Importance of Antigenic Composition of Influenza Virus Vaccine in Protecting against the Natural Disease*†: Observations during the Winter of 1947-1948. American Journal of Public Health and the Nations Health 39:345-355.
- 158. **Krammer F, Palese P.** 2015. Advances in the development of influenza virus vaccines. Nature reviews Drug discovery **14**:167-182.
- 159. Allison JE, Glezen WP, Taber LH, Paredes A, Webster RG. 1977. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of bivalent influenza A and monovalent influenza B virus vaccines in high-risk children. Journal of Infectious Diseases 136:S672-S676.
- 160. Russell CA, Jones TC, Barr IG, Cox NJ, Garten RJ, Gregory V, Gust ID, Hampson AW, Hay AJ, Hurt AC. 2008. Influenza vaccine strain selection and recent studies on the global migration of seasonal influenza viruses. Vaccine 26:D31-D34.


- 161. Xie H, Wan X-F, Ye Z, Plant EP, Zhao Y, Xu Y, Li X, Finch C, Zhao N, Kawano T. 2015. H3N2 Mismatch of 2014–15 Northern Hemisphere Influenza Vaccines and Head-to-head Comparison between Human and Ferret Antisera derived Antigenic Maps. Scientific reports 5.
- 162. Li Y, Myers JL, Bostick DL, Sullivan CB, Madara J, Linderman SL, Liu Q, Carter DM, Wrammert J, Esposito S. 2013. Immune history shapes specificity of pandemic H1N1 influenza antibody responses. The Journal of experimental medicine 210:1493-1500.
- 163. **Hensley SE.** 2014. Challenges of selecting seasonal influenza vaccine strains for humans with diverse pre-exposure histories. Current opinion in virology **8**:85-89.
- 164. **Villarreal C.** 2005. Control and eradication strategies of avian influenza in Mexico. Developments in biologicals **124:**125-126.
- 165. **Swayne DE.** 2012. Impact of vaccines and vaccination on global control of avian influenza. Avian diseases **56**:818-828.
- 166. Cattoli G, Fusaro A, Monne I, Coven F, Joannis T, El-Hamid HSA, Hussein AA, Cornelius C, Amarin NM, Mancin M. 2011. Evidence for differing evolutionary dynamics of A/H5N1 viruses among countries applying or not applying avian influenza vaccination in poultry. Vaccine 29:9368-9375.
- 167. **Villareal C, Flores A.** 2003. The Mexican avian influenza (H5N2) outbreak. Avian Diseases **47:**18-22.
- 168. Lee C-W, Senne DA, Suarez DL. 2004. Effect of vaccine use in the evolution of Mexican lineage H5N2 avian influenza virus. Journal of virology **78**:8372-8381.
- 169. Beato MS, Xu Y, Long L-P, Capua I, Wan X-F. 2014. Antigenic and Genetic Evolution of Low-Pathogenicity Avian Influenza Viruses of Subtype H7N3 following Heterologous Vaccination. Clinical and Vaccine Immunology 21:603-612.
- 170. Chen H, Yuan H, Gao R, Zhang J, Wang D, Xiong Y, Fan G, Yang F, Li X, Zhou J. 2014. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of a fatal case of avian influenza A H10N8 virus infection: a descriptive study. The Lancet **383**:714-721.
- Shortridge Kt, Stuart-Harris C. 1982. An influenza epicentre? The Lancet 320:812-813.
- 172. Huang K, Bahl J, Fan X, Vijaykrishna D, Cheung C, Webby R, Webster R, Chen H, Smith GJ, Peiris J. 2010. Establishment of an H6N2 influenza virus lineage in domestic ducks in southern China. Journal of virology **84:**6978-6986.



- 173. Webster RG. 2004. Wet markets—a continuing source of severe acute respiratory syndrome and influenza? The Lancet **363:**234-236.
- 174. **Shortridge K.** 1982. Avian influenza A viruses of southern China and Hong Kong: ecological aspects and implications for man. Bulletin of the World Health Organization **60:**129.
- 175. Huang K, Zhu H, Fan X, Wang J, Cheung C-L, Duan L, Hong W, Liu Y, Li L, Smith DK. 2012. Establishment and lineage replacement of H6 influenza viruses in domestic ducks in southern China. Journal of virology:JVI. 06389-06311.
- 176. **Peiris M, Yuen K, Leung C, Chan K, Ip P, Lai R, Orr W, Shortridge K.** 1999. Human infection with influenza H9N2. The Lancet **354:**916-917.
- 177. Gao R, Cao B, Hu Y, Feng Z, Wang D, Hu W, Chen J, Jie Z, Qiu H, Xu K. 2013. Human infection with a novel avian-origin influenza A (H7N9) virus. New England Journal of Medicine **368**:1888-1897.
- 178. **Senne D, Pearson J, Panigrahy B.** 2003. Live poultry markets: a missing link in the epidemiology of avian influenza. Avian Diseases:50-58.
- 179. Cardona C, Yee K, Carpenter T. 2009. Are live bird markets reservoirs of avian influenza? Poultry science 88:856-859.
- 180. **Suarez DL, Senne DA.** 2000. Sequence analysis of related low-pathogenic and highly pathogenic H5N2 avian influenza isolates from United States live bird markets and poultry farms from 1983 to 1989. Avian diseases:356-364.
- 181. **Spackman E, Senne DA, Davison S, Suarez DL.** 2003. Sequence analysis of recent H7 avian influenza viruses associated with three different outbreaks in commercial poultry in the United States. Journal of virology **77**:13399-13402.
- 182. Wan X-F, Dong L, Lan Y, Long L-P, Xu C, Zou S, Li Z, Wen L, Cai Z, Wang W. 2011. Indications that live poultry markets are a major source of human H5N1 influenza virus infection in China. Journal of virology 85:13432-13438.
- 183. Mounts AW, Kwong H, Izurieta HS, Ho Y-y, Au T-k, Lee M, Bridges CB, Williams SW, Mak KH, Katz JM. 1999. Case-control study of risk factors for avian influenza A (H5N1) disease, Hong Kong, 1997. Journal of Infectious Diseases 180:505-508.
- 184. Zhou L, Liao Q, Dong L, Huai Y, Bai T, Xiang N, Shu Y, Liu W, Wang S, Qin P. 2009. Risk factors for human illness with avian influenza A (H5N1) virus infection in China. Journal of Infectious Diseases **199:**1726-1734.



- 185. Liu D, Shi W, Shi Y, Wang D, Xiao H, Li W, Bi Y, Wu Y, Li X, Yan J. 2013. Origin and diversity of novel avian influenza A H7N9 viruses causing human infection: phylogenetic, structural, and coalescent analyses. The Lancet 381:1926-1932.
- 186. Yu H, Wu JT, Cowling BJ, Liao Q, Fang VJ, Zhou S, Wu P, Zhou H, Lau EH, Guo D. 2014. Effect of closure of live poultry markets on poultry-to-person transmission of avian influenza A H7N9 virus: an ecological study. The Lancet 383:541-548.
- 187. Wu P, Jiang H, Wu JT, Chen E, He J, Zhou H, Wei L, Yang J, Yang B, Qin Y. 2014. Poultry market closures and human infection with influenza A (H7N9) virus, China, 2013–14. Emerg Infect Dis 20:1891-1894.
- 188. Garcia M, Crawford JM, Latimer JW, Rivera-Cruz E, Perdue ML. 1996. Heterogeneity in the haemagglutinin gene and emergence of the highly pathogenic phenotype among recent H5N2 avian influenza viruses from Mexico. Journal of General Virology 77:1493-1504.
- 189. Capua I, Mutinelli F, Marangon S, Alexander DJ. 2000. H7N1 avian influenza in Italy (1999 to 2000) in intensively reared chickens and turkeys. Avian Pathology 29:537-543.
- 190. **Kawaoka Y, Webster RG.** 1985. Evolution of the A/Chicken/Pennsylvania/83 (H5N2) influenza virus. Virology **146:**130-137.
- 191. Horimoto T, Rivera E, Pearson J, Senne D, Krauss S, Kawaoka Y, Webster R. 1995. Origin and molecular changes associated with emergence of a highly pathogenic H5N2 influenza virus in Mexico. Virology 213:223-230.
- 192. Tweed SA, Skowronski DM, David ST, Larder A, Petric M, Lees W, Li Y, Katz J, Krajden M, Tellier R. 2004. Human illness from avian influenza H7N3, British Columbia. Emerg Infect Dis 10:2196-2199.
- 193. Fouchier RA, Schneeberger PM, Rozendaal FW, Broekman JM, Kemink SA, Munster V, Kuiken T, Rimmelzwaan GF, Schutten M, van Doornum GJ. 2004. Avian influenza A virus (H7N7) associated with human conjunctivitis and a fatal case of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Proceedings of the National Academy of sciences of the United States of América 101:1356-1361.
- 194. Krauss S, Stucker KM, Schobel SA, Danner A, Friedman K, Knowles JP, Kayali G, Niles LJ, Dey AD, Raven G. 2015. Long-term surveillance of H7 influenza viruses in American wild aquatic birds: are the H7N3 influenza viruses in wild birds the precursors of highly pathogenic strains in domestic poultry&quest. Emerging Microbes & Infections 4:e35.



- 195. Munster VJ, Wallensten A, Baas C, Rimmelzwaan GF, Schutten M, Olsen B, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. 2005. Mallards and highly pathogenic avian influenza ancestral viruses, northern Europe. Emerging infectious diseases 11:1545-1551.
- 196. Campitelli L, Di Martino A, Spagnolo D, Smith GJ, Di Trani L, Facchini M, De Marco MA, Foni E, Chiapponi C, Martin AM. 2008. Molecular analysis of avian H7 influenza viruses circulating in Eurasia in 1999–2005: detection of multiple reassortant virus genotypes. Journal of general virology 89:48-59.
- 197. **Andrews S.** 2010. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Reference Source.
- 198. **Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B.** 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics:btu170.
- 199. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods 9:357-359.
- 200. **Zerbino DR, Birney E.** 2008. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome research **18**:821-829.
- 201. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of molecular biology **215**:403-410.
- 202. Ostrowsky B, Huang A, Terry W, Anton D, Brunagel B, Traynor L, Abid S, Johnson G, Kacica M, Katz J. 2012. Low pathogenic avian influenza A (H7N2) virus infection in immunocompromised adult, New York, USA, 2003. Emerging infectious diseases 18:1128.
- 203. Butt K, Smith GJ, Chen H, Zhang L, Leung YC, Xu K, Lim W, Webster RG, Yuen K, Peiris JM. 2005. Human infection with an avian H9N2 influenza A virus in Hong Kong in 2003. Journal of clinical microbiology **43**:5760-5767.
- 204. Huang Y, Li X, Zhang H, Chen B, Jiang Y, Yang L, Zhu W, Hu S, Zhou S, Tang Y. 2015. Human infection with an avian influenza A (H9N2) virus in the middle region of China. Journal of medical virology **87:**1641-1648.
- 205. Spackman E, Senne DA, Myers TJ, Bulaga LL, Garber LP, Perdue ML, Lohman K, Daum LT, Suarez DL. 2002. Development of a real-time reverse transcriptase PCR assay for type A influenza virus and the avian H5 and H7 hemagglutinin subtypes. J Clin Microbiol **40**:3256-3260.
- 206. Hoffmann E, Stech J, Guan Y, Webster RG, Perez DR. 2001. Universal primer set for the full-length amplification of all influenza A viruses. Arch Virol 146:2275-2289.



- 207. **Zwickl D.** 2006. GARLI, vers. 0.951. Genetic algorithm approaches for the phylogenetic analysis of large biological sequence datasets under the maximum likelihood criterion. Ph. D. dissertation, University of Texas, Austin, Texas, USA.
- 208. Hurt AC, Holien JK, Parker MW, Barr IG. 2009. Oseltamivir resistance and the H274Y neuraminidase mutation in seasonal, pandemic and highly pathogenic influenza viruses. Drugs **69:**2523-2531.
- 209. Hay A, Wolstenholme A, Skehel J, Smith MH. 1985. The molecular basis of the specific anti-influenza action of amantadine. The EMBO journal 4:3021.
- 210. Wan X-F, Carrel M, Long L-P, Alker AP, Emch M. 2013. Perspective on emergence and re-emergence of amantadine resistant influenza A viruses in domestic animals in China. Infection, Genetics and Evolution **20**:298-303.
- 211. Shinya K, Hamm S, Hatta M, Ito H, Ito T, Kawaoka Y. 2004. PB2 amino acid at position 627 affects replicative efficiency, but not cell tropism, of Hong Kong H5N1 influenza A viruses in mice. Virology **320**:258-266.
- 212. **Guo X, Liao M, Xin C.** 2003. Sequence of HA gene of avian influenza A/Chicken/Guangdong/SS/1994 (H9N2) virus. Avian diseases **47:**1118-1121.
- Li C, Yu K, Tian G, Yu D, Liu L, Jing B, Ping J, Chen H. 2005. Evolution of H9N2 influenza viruses from domestic poultry in Mainland China. Virology 340:70-83.
- 214. Choi Y, Ozaki H, Webby R, Webster R, Peiris J, Poon L, Butt C, Leung Y, Guan Y. 2004. Continuing evolution of H9N2 influenza viruses in Southeastern China. Journal of virology **78:**8609-8614.
- 215. Xu K, Smith G, Bahl J, Duan L, Tai H, Vijaykrishna D, Wang J, Zhang J, Li K, Fan X. 2007. The genesis and evolution of H9N2 influenza viruses in poultry from southern China, 2000 to 2005. Journal of virology **81**:10389-10401.
- 216. Sun Y, Pu J, Jiang Z, Guan T, Xia Y, Xu Q, Liu L, Ma B, Tian F, Brown E. 2010. Genotypic evolution and antigenic drift of H9N2 influenza viruses in China from 1994 to 2008. Veterinary microbiology 146:215-225.
- 217. Guan Y, Shortridge K, Krauss S, Chin P, Dyrting K, Ellis T, Webster R, Peiris M. 2000. H9N2 influenza viruses possessing H5N1-like internal genomes continue to circulate in poultry in southeastern China. Journal of virology 74:9372-9380.
- 218. Lin Y, Shaw M, Gregory V, Cameron K, Lim W, Klimov A, Subbarao K, Guan Y, Krauss S, Shortridge K. 2000. Avian-to-human transmission of H9N2 subtype influenza A viruses: relationship between H9N2 and H5N1 human isolates. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97:9654-9658.



- 219. Xu Y, Bailey E, Spackman E, Li T, Wang H, Long L-P, Baroch JA, Cunningham FL, Lin X, Jarman RG, DeLiberto TJ, Wan X-F. 2016. Limited Antigenic Diversity in Contemporary H7 Avian-Origin Influenza A Viruses from North America. Scientific Reports 6:20688.
- 220. Squires RB, Noronha J, Hunt V, García Sastre A, Macken C, Baumgarth N, Suarez D, Pickett BE, Zhang Y, Larsen CN. 2012. Influenza research database: an integrated bioinformatics resource for influenza research and surveillance. Influenza and other respiratory viruses 6:404-416.
- 221. **Stamatakis A.** 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics:btu033.
- 222. Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic acids research **32:**1792-1797.
- 223. Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Molecular biology and evolution 29:1969-1973.
- 224. Yang J, Grünewald S, Xu Y, Wan X-F. 2014. Quartet-based methods to reconstruct phylogenetic networks. BMC systems biology 8:1.
- 225. Krauss S, Walker D, Pryor SP, Niles L, Chenghong L, Hinshaw VS, Webster RG. 2004. Influenza A viruses of migrating wild aquatic birds in North America. Vector-Borne & Zoonotic Diseases 4:177-189.
- 226. Stallknecht DE, Goekjian VH, Wilcox BR, Poulson RL, Brown JD. 2010. Avian influenza virus in aquatic habitats: what do we need to learn? Avian diseases **54:**461-465.
- 227. Ma W, Lager KM, Lekcharoensuk P, Ulery ES, Janke BH, Solorzano A, Webby RJ, García-Sastre A, Richt JA. 2010. Viral reassortment and transmission after co-infection of pigs with classical H1N1 and triple-reassortant H3N2 swine influenza viruses. Journal of General Virology 91:2314-2321.
- 228. Bahl J, Krauss S, Kühnert D, Fourment M, Raven G, Pryor SP, Niles LJ, Danner A, Walker D, Mendenhall IH. 2013. Influenza A virus migration and persistence in North American wild birds. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003570.
- 229. Lam TTY, Ip HS, Ghedin E, Wentworth DE, Halpin RA, Stockwell TB, Spiro DJ, Dusek RJ, Bortner JB, Hoskins J. 2012. Migratory flyway and geographical distance are barriers to the gene flow of influenza virus among North American birds. Ecology letters 15:24-33.



- 230. Bevins SN, Pedersen K, Lutman MW, Baroch JA, Schmit BS, Kohler D, Gidlewski T, Nolte DL, Swafford SR, DeLiberto TJ. 2014. Large-scale avian influenza surveillance in wild birds throughout the United States. PloS one 9:e104360.
- 231. Naeem K, Hussain M. 1995. An outbreak of avian influenza in poultry in Pakistan. Veterinary record 137:439-439.
- 232. Naeem K, Siddique N, Ayaz M, Jalalee M. 2007. Avian influenza in Pakistan: outbreaks of low-and high-pathogenicity avian influenza in Pakistan during 2003-2006. Avian diseases **51**:189-193.
- 233. Koopmans M, Wilbrink B, Conyn M, Natrop G, van der Nat H, Vennema H, Meijer A, van Steenbergen J, Fouchier R, Osterhaus A. 2004. Transmission of H7N7 avian influenza A virus to human beings during a large outbreak in commercial poultry farms in the Netherlands. The Lancet **363**:587-593.
- 234. Lam TT-Y, Wang J, Shen Y, Zhou B, Duan L, Cheung C-L, Ma C, Lycett SJ, Leung CY-H, Chen X. 2013. The genesis and source of the H7N9 influenza viruses causing human infections in China. Nature 502:241-244.
- Dudley JP, Mackay IM. 2013. Age-specific and sex-specific morbidity and mortality from avian influenza A (H7N9). Journal of Clinical Virology 58:568-570.
- 236. Selleck P, Arzey G, Kirkland P, Reece R, Gould A, Daniels P, Westbury H. 2003. An outbreak of highly pathogenic avian influenza in Australia in 1997 caused by an H7N4 virus. Avian diseases 47:806-811.
- 237. Werner O, Starick E, Grund C. 2003. Isolation and characterization of a lowpathogenicity H7N7 influenza virus from a turkey in a small mixed free-range poultry flock in Germany. Avian diseases 47:1104-1106.
- 238. **Team EE.** 2007. Avian influenza A/(H7N2) outbreak in the United Kingdom. Euro Surveill **12**:E070531.
- 239. Berhane Y, Hisanaga T, Kehler H, Neufeld J, Manning L, Argue C, Handel K, Hooper-McGrevy K, Jonas M, Robinson J. 2009. Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus A (H7N3) in domestic poultry, Saskatchewan, Canada, 2007. Emerging infectious diseases 15:1492.
- 240. Wainwrighta S, Trevenneca C, Claesa F. 2012. Highly pathogenic avian influenza in Mexico (H7N3): a significant threat to poultry production not to be underestimated. Empres Watch 26.



- 241. Tweed SA, Skowronski DM, David ST, Larder A, Petric M, Lees W, Li Y, Katz J, Krajden M, Tellier R. 2004. Human illness from avian influenza H7N3, British Columbia. Emerging infectious diseases 10:2196.
- 242. Hoffmann E, Stech J, Guan Y, Webster R, Perez D. 2001. Universal primer set for the full-length amplification of all influenza A viruses. Archives of virology 146:2275-2289.
- 243. Bao Y, Bolotov P, Dernovoy D, Kiryutin B, Zaslavsky L, Tatusova T, Ostell J, Lipman D. 2008. The influenza virus resource at the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Journal of virology 82:596-601.
- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP. 2011. Integrative genomics viewer. Nature biotechnology 29:24-26.
- 245. **Stamatakis A.** 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics **30**:1312-1313.
- 246. **Yang Z.** 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Molecular biology and evolution **24:**1586-1591.
- 247. Cai Z, Zhang T, Wan X-F. 2010. A computational framework for influenza antigenic cartography. PLoS Comput Biol 6:e1000949.
- 248. Sturm-Ramirez KM, Hulse-Post DJ, Govorkova EA, Humberd J, Seiler P, Puthavathana P, Buranathai C, Nguyen TD, Chaisingh A, Long HT, Naipospos TS, Chen H, Ellis TM, Guan Y, Peiris JS, Webster RG. 2005. Are ducks contributing to the endemicity of highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus in Asia? J Virol **79:**11269-11279.
- 249. Munster VJ, Wallensten A, Baas C, Rimmelzwaan GF, Schutten M, Olsen B, Osterhaus A, Fouchier R. 2005. Mallards and highly pathogenic avian influenza ancestral viruses, northern Europe. Emerg Infect Dis 11:1545-1551.
- 250. **Barber MR, Aldridge JR, Webster RG, Magor KE.** 2010. Association of RIG-I with innate immunity of ducks to influenza. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **107**:5913-5918.
- 251. Kawai T, Akira S. 2010. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on Toll-like receptors. Nature immunology 11:373-384.
- 252. Lee N, Wong C, Hui D, Chan P. 2014. Role of toll-like receptors in naturally occurring influenza virus infection. Hong Kong Med J 20.
- 253. Chen S, Cheng A, Wang M. 2013. Innate sensing of viruses by pattern recognition receptors in birds. Vet. Res 44:82.



- 254. Smith G, Fan X, Wang J, Li K, Qin K, Zhang J, Vijaykrishna D, Cheung C, Huang K, Rayner J. 2006. Emergence and predominance of an H5N1 influenza variant in China. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103:16936-16941.
- 255. Garcia M, Suarez D, Crawford J, Latimer J, Slemons R, Swayne D, Perdue M. 1997. Evolution of H5 subtype avian influenza A viruses in North America. Virus research 51:115-124.
- 256. Gambaryan AS, Matrosovich TY, Philipp J, Munster VJ, Fouchier RA, Cattoli G, Capua I, Krauss SL, Webster RG, Banks J. 2012. Receptor-binding profiles of H7 subtype influenza viruses in different host species. Journal of virology 86:4370-4379.
- 257. Gambaryan A, Robertson J, Matrosovich M. 1999. Effects of egg-adaptation on the receptor-binding properties of human influenza A and B viruses. Virology 258:232-239.
- 258. Ye J, Xu Y, Harris J, Sun H, Bowman AS, Cunningham F, Cardona C, Yoon KJ, Slemons RD, Wan X-F. 2013. Mutation from arginine to lysine at the position 189 of hemagglutinin contributes to the antigenic drift in H3N2 swine influenza viruses. Virology 446:225-229.
- 259. Woodward A, Rash AS, Medcalf E, Bryant NA, Elton DM. 2015. Using epidemics to map H3 equine influenza virus determinants of antigenicity. Virology **481**:187-198.
- 260. Chen Z, Wang W, Zhou H, Suguitan AL, Shambaugh C, Kim L, Zhao J, Kemble G, Jin H. 2010. Generation of live attenuated novel influenza virus A/California/7/09 (H1N1) vaccines with high yield in embryonated chicken eggs. Journal of virology 84:44-51.
- 261. **Nolting JM.** 2008. Phenotypic and Genotypic Variations in Low Pathogenic H1N1 Waterfowl-Origin Avian Influenza Viruses. The Ohio State University.
- 262. Gambaryan A, Webster R, Matrosovich M. 2002. Differences between influenza virus receptors on target cells of duck and chicken. Archives of virology 147:1197-1208.
- 263. Kuchipudi SV, Nelli R, White GA, Bain M, Chang KC, Dunham S. 2009. Differences in influenza virus receptors in chickens and ducks: implications for interspecies transmission. Journal of molecular and genetic medicine: an international journal of biomedical research **3**:143.
- 264. Lebarbenchon C, Stallknecht DE. 2011. Host shifts and molecular evolution of H7 avian influenza virus hemagglutinin. Virol J 8:328.



- Banks J, Speidel E, McCauley J, Alexander D. 2000. Phylogenetic analysis of H7 haemagglutinin subtype influenza A viruses. Archives of virology 145:1047-1058.
- 266. Lee D-H, Torchetti MK, Winker K, Ip HS, Song C-S, Swayne DE. 2015. Intercontinental Spread of Asian-Origin H5N8 to North America through Beringia by Migratory Birds. Journal of virology **89:**6521-6524.



APPENDIX A

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS



All supplemental materials are shown in the following PDF files. Reading these files requires Adobe Reader, v6.10.

- Phylogenetic_trees_of_internal_genes_recovered_from_the_samples_collected_at _the_LPM.pdf
- Phylogenetic_trees_of_IAVs_isolated_from_wild_and_domestic_birds_in_the_A mericas.pdf
- Time_scale_phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf
- Phylogenetic_trees_for_eight_gene_segments.pdf
- Phylogenetic_tree_for_HA1_nucleotide_sequences_of_H7_AIVs.pdf
- Amino_acid_variations_in_antibody_binding_sites_of_H7_AIVs.pdf

